

Helsinki, 2 May 2022

#### Addressees

Registrants of JS\_953-378-2 as listed in Appendix 3 of this decision

## Date of submission of the dossier subject to this decision $11/05/2021\,$

## Registered substance subject to this decision ("the Substance")

Substance name: benzenesulfonic acid, 4-c10-13-alkyl derivs.-, salts with amines, c16-c18 (even numbered) alkyl List number: 953-378-2

**Decision number:** Please refer to the REACH-IT message which delivered this communication (in format TPE-D-XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX/F)

## DECISION ON TESTING PROPOSAL(S)

Based on Article 40 of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 (REACH), you must submit the information listed below by **7** August 2024.

Requested information must be generated using the Substance unless otherwise specified.

## Information required from all the Registrants subject to Annex VII of REACH

1. Long-term toxicity testing on aquatic invertebrates also requested below (triggered by Annex VII, Section 9.1.1., column 2)

## Information required from all the Registrants subject to Annex VIII of REACH

2. Long-term toxicity testing on fish also requested below (triggered by Annex VIII, Section 9.1.3., column 2)

#### Information required from all the Registrants subject to Annex IX of REACH

- 3. Long-term toxicity testing on aquatic invertebrates (Annex IX, Section 9.1.5.; test method: EU C.20./OECD TG 211)
- 4. Long-term toxicity testing on fish (Annex IX, Section 9.1.6.; test method: EU C.47./OECD TG 210)

The reasons for the decision(s) are explained in Appendix 1.

## Information required depends on your tonnage band

You must provide the information listed above for all REACH Annexes applicable to you in accordance with Articles 10(a) and 12(1) of REACH. The addressees of the decision and their corresponding information requirements based on registered tonnage band are listed in Appendix 3.



In the requests above, the same study has been requested under different Annexes. This is because some information requirements may be triggered at lower tonnage band(s). In such cases, only the reasons why the information requirement is triggered are provided for the lower tonnage band(s). For the highest tonnage band, the reasons why the standard information requirement is not met, and the specification of the study design are provided. Only one study is to be conducted; all registrants concerned must make every effort to reach an agreement as to who is to carry out the study on behalf of the others under Article 53 of REACH.

You are only required to share the costs of information that you must submit to fulfil your information requirements.

#### How to comply with your information requirements

To comply with your information requirements, you must submit the information requested by this decision in an updated registration dossier by the deadline indicated above. You must also **update the chemical safety report, where** relevant, including any changes to classification and labelling, based on the newly generated information.

You must follow the general requirements for testing and reporting new tests under REACH, see Appendix 4.

#### Appeal

This decision, when adopted under Article 51 of REACH, may be appealed to the Board of Appeal of ECHA within three months of its notification to you. Please refer to <a href="http://echa.europa.eu/regulations/appeals">http://echa.europa.eu/regulations/appeals</a> for further information.

#### Failure to comply

If you do not comply with the information required by this decision by the deadline indicated above, ECHA will notify the enforcement authorities of your Member State.

Authorised<sup>1</sup> under the authority of Mike Rasenberg, Director of Hazard Assessment

Appendix 1: Reasons for the decision

Appendix 2: Procedure

Appendix 3: Addressees of the decision and their individual information requirements Appendix 4: Conducting and reporting new tests under REACH

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As this is an electronic document, it is not physically signed. This communication has been approved according to ECHA's internal decision-approval process.



## Appendix 1: Reasons for the decision

## Contents

	sons for the decision(s) related to the information under Annex VII of REACH	4
1.	Long-term toxicity testing on aquatic invertebrates	4
	sons for the decision(s) related to the information under Annex VIII of REACH	5
2.	Long-term toxicity testing on fish	5
	sons for the decision(s) related to the information under Annex IX of REACH	6
3.	Long-term toxicity testing on aquatic invertebrates	6
4.	Long-term toxicity testing on fish	7
Ref	erences	8



## Reasons for the decision(s) related to the information under Annex VII of REACH

#### **1.** Long-term toxicity testing on aquatic invertebrates

- 1 Short-term toxicity testing on aquatic invertebrates is an information requirement under Column 1 of Annex VII to REACH (Section 9.1.1.). However, long-term toxicity testing on aquatic invertebrates must be considered (Section 9.1.1., Column 2) if the substance is poorly water soluble.
- 2 Poorly water-soluble substances require longer time to reach steady-state conditions. As a result, the short-term tests do not give a true measure of toxicity for this type of substances and the long-term test is required. A substance is regarded as poorly water soluble if, for instance, it has a water solubility below 1 mg/L or below the detection limit of the analytical method of the test material (Guidance on IRs and CSA, Section R.7.8.5).
- 3 Under Section 4.8 of your technical dossier, you have provided an OECD TG 105 study (shake-flask). The saturation concentration of the Substance in water was determined to be <  $64.3 \mu g/L$  for the sulfonate components and < 1.06 mg/L for the amine components at  $20^{\circ}C$ .
- 4 Therefore, the Substance is poorly water soluble and information on long-term toxicity on aquatic invertebrates must be provided.
- 5 The examination of the information provided as well as the selection of the requested test and the test design are addressed under Appendix 1.3.



# Reasons for the decision(s) related to the information under Annex VIII of REACH

#### 2. Long-term toxicity testing on fish

- 6 Short-term toxicity testing on fish is an information requirement under Annex VIII to REACH (Section 9.1.3.). Long-term toxicity testing on fish must be considered (Section 9.1.3., Column 2) if the substance is poorly water soluble.
- 7 Poorly water-soluble substances require longer time to reach steady-state conditions. As a result, the short-term tests do not give a true measure of toxicity for this type of substances and the long-term test is required. A substance is regarded as poorly water soluble if, for instance, it has a water solubility below 1 mg/L or below the detection limit of the analytical method of the test material (ECHA Guidance R.7.8.5).
- 8 As already explained under Appendix 1.1, the Substance is poorly water soluble and information on long-term toxicity on fish must be provided.
- 9 The examination of the information provided, your considerations of alternative methods, of third-party comments (if applicable), as well as the selection of the requested test and the test design are addressed under Appendix 1.4.



## Reasons for the decision(s) related to the information under Annex IX of REACH

## 3. Long-term toxicity testing on aquatic invertebrates

- 10 Long-term toxicity testing on aquatic invertebrates is an information requirement under Annex IX to REACH (Section 9.1.5.).
  - 3.1. Information provided to fulfil the information requirement
- 11 You have submitted a testing proposal for a Daphnia magna reproduction test (test method: EU C.20/OECD TG 211).
- 12 Your registration dossier does not include any information on long-term toxicity on aquatic invertebrates.
- 13 ECHA agrees that an appropriate study on long-term toxicity on aquatic invertebrates is needed.

#### *3.2. Test selection and study specifications*

- 14 The proposed Daphnia magna reproduction test (test method: EU C.20/OECD TG 211) is appropriate to cover the information requirement for long-term toxicity on aquatic invertebrates (Guidance on IRs and CSA, Section R.7.8.4.1.).
- 15 The Substance is difficult to test due to the low water solubility (i.e.  $< 64.3 \mu g/L$  for the sulfonate components and < 1.06 mg/L for the amine components based on OECD TG 105) and adsorptive properties (i.e. log  $k_{oc} > 5.63$  for both the amine and sulfonate components based on OECD TG 121). OECD TG 211 specifies that, for difficult to test substances, you must consider the approach described in OECD GD 23 or other approaches, if more appropriate for your substance. In all cases, the approach selected must be justified and documented. Due to the properties of Substance, it may be difficult to achieve and maintain the desired exposure concentrations. Therefore, you must monitor the test concentration(s) of the Substance throughout the exposure duration and report the results. If it is not possible to demonstrate the stability of exposure concentrations (i.e. measured concentration(s) not within 80-120% of the nominal concentration(s)), you must express the effect concentration based on measured values as described in OECD TG 211. In case a dose-response relationship cannot be established (no observed effects), you must demonstrate that the approach used to prepare test solutions was adequate to maximise the concentration of the Substance in the test solutions.
- 16 For multi-constituents/UVCBs, the analytical method must be adequate to monitor qualitative and quantitative changes in exposure to the dissolved fraction of the test material during the test (e.g. by comparing mass spectral full-scan GC or HPLC chromatogram peak areas or by using targeted measures of key components).
- 17 If you decide to use the Water Accommodated Fraction (WAF) approach, in addition to the above, you must:
  - use loading rates that are sufficiently low to be in the solubility range of most constituents (or that are consistent with the PEC value). This condition is mandatory to provide relevant information for the hazard and risk assessment (Guidance on IRs and CSA, Appendix R.7.8.1-1, Table R.7.8-3);
  - provide a full description of the method used to prepare the WAF (including, among others, loading rates, details on the mixing procedure, method to separate any remaining non-dissolved test material including a justification for the separation technique);



 prepare WAFs separately for each dose level (i.e. loading rate) and in a consistent manner.

#### 3.3. Outcome

- 18 Your testing proposal is accepted under Article 40(3)(a) and you are requested to conduct the test with the Substance, as specified above.
- 19 In the comments to the draft decision, you agree to perform the requested study.

#### 4. Long-term toxicity testing on fish

20 Long-term toxicity testing on fish is an information requirement under Annex IX to REACH (Section 9.1.6.).

#### 4.1. Information provided to fulfil the information requirement

- 21 You have submitted a testing proposal for a Fish, Early-Life Stage Toxicity Test (test method: OECD TG 210).
- 22 Your registration dossier does not include any information on long-term toxicity on fish.
- 23 ECHA requested your considerations for alternative methods to fulfil the information requirement for long-term toxicity on fish. You provided your considerations concluding that there were no alternative methods which could be used to adapt the information requirement(s) for which testing is proposed. ECHA has taken these considerations into account.
- 24 ECHA did not receive information concerning the testing proposal during the third-party consultation.
- 25 ECHA agrees that an appropriate study on long-term toxicity on fish is needed.
  - 4.2. Test selection and study specifications
- 26 The proposed Fish, Early-Life Stage Toxicity Test (test method: OECD TG 210) is appropriate to cover the information requirement for long-term toxicity on fish (Guidance on IRs and CSA, Section R.7.8.4.1.).
- 27 OECD TG 210 specifies that for difficult to test substances OECD GD 23 must be followed. As already explained under Appendix 1.3, the Substance is difficult to test. Therefore, you must fulfil the requirements described in 'Study design' under Appendix 1.3.

#### 4.3. Outcome

- 28 Your testing proposal is accepted under Article 40(3)(a) and you are requested to conduct the test with the Substance, as specified above.
- 29 In the comments to the draft decision, you agree to perform the requested study.



#### References

The following documents may have been cited in the decision.

# *Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment (Guidance on IRs & CSA)*

- Chapter R.4 Evaluation of available information; ECHA (2011).
- Chapter R.6 QSARs, read-across and grouping; ECHA (2008).
- Appendix to Chapter R.6 for nanoforms; ECHA (2019). Chapter R.7a Endpoint specific guidance, Sections R.7.1 – R.7.7; ECHA (2017). Appendix to Chapter R.7a for nanomaterials; ECHA (2017).
- Chapter R.7b Endpoint specific guidance, Sections R.7.8 R.7.9; ECHA (2017). Appendix to Chapter R.7b for nanomaterials; ECHA (2017).
- Chapter R.7c Endpoint specific guidance, Sections R.7.10 R.7.13; (ECHA 2017). Appendix to Chapter R.7a for nanomaterials; ECHA (2017). Appendix R.7.13-2 Environmental risk assessment for metals and metal compounds; ECHA (2008).
- Chapter R.11 PBT/vPvB assessment; ECHA (2017).

Chapter R.16 Environmental exposure assessment; ECHA (2016).

## Guidance on data-sharing; ECHA (2017).

All Guidance on REACH is available online: <u>https://echa.europa.eu/guidance-documents/guidance-on-reach</u>

## Read-across assessment framework (RAAF)

RAAF, 2017Read-across assessment framework (RAAF), ECHA (2017)RAAF UVCB, 2017Read-across assessment framework (RAAF) – considerations on<br/>multi- constituent substances and UVCBs), ECHA (2017).

#### The RAAF and related documents are available online:

https://echa.europa.eu/support/registration/how-to-avoid-unnecessary-testing-onanimals/grouping-of-substances-and-read-across

#### **OECD Guidance documents (OECD GDs)**

OECD GD 23	Guidance document on aquatic toxicity testing of difficult
	substances and mixtures; No. 23 in the OECD series on testing and assessment, OECD (2019).
OECD GD 29	Guidance document on transformation/dissolution of metals and
	metal compounds in aqueous media; No. 29 in the OECD series on
	testing and assessment, OECD (2002).
OECD GD 150	Revised guidance document 150 on standardised test guidelines for
	evaluating chemicals for endocrine disruption; No. 150 in the OECD
	series on testing and assessment, OECD (2018).
OECD GD 151	Guidance document supporting OECD test guideline 443 on the
	extended one-generation reproductive toxicity test; No. 151 in the
	OECD series on testing and assessment, OECD (2013).



## **Appendix 2: Procedure**

ECHA received your testing proposal(s) on 11 May 2021 and started the testing proposal evaluation in accordance with Article 40(1).

ECHA held a third-party consultation for the testing proposal(s) from 30 September 2021 until 15 November 2021. ECHA did not receive information from third parties.

ECHA followed the procedure detailed in Articles 50 and 51 of REACH.

ECHA notified you of the draft decision and invited you to provide comments.

ECHA took into account your comments and amended the deadline.

The timeline indicated in the draft decision to provide the information requested was 12 months from the date of adoption of the decision. In your comments on the draft decision, you requested an extension of the timeline to 24 months. You justified your request by referring to documentation from your selected test laboratory.

Based on the information provided, ECHA has extended the deadline to 24 months.

ECHA notified the draft decision to the competent authorities of the Member States for proposals for amendment.

As no amendments were proposed, ECHA took the decision according to Article 51(3) of the REACH Regulation.



# Appendix 3: Addressees of this decision and their corresponding information requirements

In accordance with Articles 10(a) and 12(1) of REACH, the information requirements for individual registrations are defined as follows:

- the information specified in Annexes VII and VIII to REACH, for registration at 10-100 tpa;
- the information specified in Annexes VII, VIII and IX to REACH, for registration at 100-1000 tpa.

Registrant Name	Registration number	Highest REACH Annex applicable to you

Where applicable, the name of a third-party representative (TPR) may be displayed in the list of recipients whereas ECHA will send the decision to the actual registrant.



## Appendix 4: Conducting and reporting new tests for REACH purposes

## 1. Requirements when conducting and reporting new tests for REACH purposes

#### **1.1.** Test methods, GLP requirements and reporting

- (1) Under Article 13(3) of REACH, all new data generated as a result of this decision must be conducted according to the test methods laid down in a European Commission Regulation or to international test methods recognised by the Commission or ECHA as being appropriate.
- (2) Under Article 13(4) of REACH, ecotoxicological and toxicological tests and analyses must be carried out according to the GLP principles (Directive 2004/10/EC) or other international standards recognised by the Commission or ECHA.
- (3) Under Article 10(a)(vi) and (vii) of REACH, all new data generated as a result of this decision must be reported as study summaries, or as robust study summaries, if required under Annex I of REACH. See ECHA Practical Guide on How to report robust study summaries<sup>2</sup>.

#### 1.2. Test material

Before generating new data, you must agree within the joint submission on the chemical composition of the material to be tested (Test Material) which must be relevant for all the registrants of the Substance.

1. Selection of the Test material(s)

The Test Material used to generate the new data must be selected taking into account the following:

- a) the variation in compositions reported by all members of the joint submission,
- b) the boundary composition(s) of the Substance,
- c) the impact of each constituent/ impurity on the test results for the endpoint to be assessed. For example, if a constituent/ impurity of the Substance is known to have an impact on (eco)toxicity, the selected Test Material must contain that constituent/ impurity.
- 2. Information on the Test Material needed in the updated dossier
  - a) You must report the composition of the Test Material selected for each study, under the "Test material information" section, for each respective endpoint study record in IUCLID.
  - b) The reported composition must include the careful identification and description of the characteristics of the Tests Materials in accordance with OECD GLP (ENV/MC/CHEM(98)16) and EU Test Methods Regulation (EU) 440/2008 (Note, Annex), namely all the constituents must be identified as far as possible as well as their concentration. Also any constituents that have harmonised classification and labelling according to the CLP Regulation must be identified and quantified using the appropriate analytical methods,
  - c) The reported composition must also include other parameters relevant for the property to be tested, in this case, information on the carbon chain length distribution of the sulfonate and amine components.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> <u>https://echa.europa.eu/practical-guides</u>



With that detailed information, ECHA can confirm whether the Test Material is relevant for the Substance.

Technical instructions on how to report the above is available in the manual on How to prepare registration and PPORD dossiers<sup>3</sup>.

## 2. General recommendations for conducting and reporting new tests

## 2.1. Environmental testing for substances containing multiple constituents

Your Substance contains multiple constituents and, as indicated in Guidance on IRs & CSA, Section R.11.4.2.2, you are advised to consider the following approaches for persistency, bioaccumulation and aquatic toxicity testing:

- the "known constituents approach" (by assessing specific constituents), or
- the "fraction/block approach, (performed on the basis of fractions/blocks of constituents), or
- the "whole substance approach", or
- various combinations of the approaches described above

Selection of the appropriate approach must take into account the possibility to characterise the Substance (i.e. knowledge of its constituents and/or fractions and any differences in their properties) and the possibility to isolate or synthesize its relevant constituents and/or fractions.

References to Guidance on REACH and other supporting documents can be found in Appendix 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> <u>https://echa.europa.eu/manuals</u>