

Helsinki, 26 October 2021

**Addressees**

Registrants of JS\_2372-21-6 listed in the last Appendix of this decision

**Date of submission of the dossier subject of a decision**

12/11/2019

**Registered substance subject to this decision, hereafter 'the Substance'**

Substance name: O,O-tert-butyl isopropyl monoperoxy carbonate

EC number: 219-143-7

CAS number: 2372-21-6

**Decision number:** Please refer to the REACH-IT message which delivered this communication (in format TPE-D-XXXXXXXXXX-XX-XX/F)**DECISION ON TESTING PROPOSAL(S)**

Based on Article 40 of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 (REACH), you must submit the information listed below by **31 January 2023**.

The requested information must be generated using the Substance unless otherwise specified.

**A. Information required from the Registrants subject to Annex VII of REACH**

1. Long-term toxicity testing on aquatic invertebrates (Annex VII, Section 9.1.1. in conjunction with Annex I, Section 0.5; test method: EU C.20./OECD TG 211)

Reasons for the request are explained in the appendix entitled "Reasons to request information required under Annexes VII of REACH".

**Information required depends on your tonnage band**

You must provide the information listed above for all REACH Annexes applicable to you, and in accordance with Articles 10(a) and 12(1) of REACH, the information specified in Annexes VII and VIII to REACH, for registration at 10-100 tpa.

You are only required to share the costs of information that you must submit to fulfil your information requirements.

**How to comply with your information requirements**

To comply with your information requirements you must submit the information requested by this decision in an updated registration dossier by the deadline indicated above. You must also update the chemical safety report, where relevant, including any changes to classification and labelling, based on the newly generated information.

You must follow the general testing and reporting requirements provided under the Appendix entitled "Requirements to fulfil when conducting and reporting new tests for REACH purposes". In addition, you should follow the general recommendations provided under the Appendix entitled "General recommendations when conducting and reporting new tests for REACH purposes". For references used in this decision, please consult the Appendix entitled "List of references".

**Appeal**

This decision can be appealed to the Board of Appeal of ECHA within three months of its notification. An appeal, together with the grounds thereof, has to be submitted to ECHA in writing. An appeal has suspensive effect and is subject to a fee. Further details are described under: <http://echa.europa.eu/regulations/appeals>.

Approved<sup>1</sup> under the authority of Christel Schilliger-Musset, Director of Hazard Assessment

---

<sup>1</sup> As this is an electronic document, it is not physically signed. This communication has been approved according to ECHA's internal decision-approval process.

## Appendix A: Reasons to request information required under Annex VII of REACH

This decision is based on the examination of the testing proposals you submitted.

### 1. Long-term toxicity testing on aquatic invertebrates

Short-term toxicity testing on aquatic invertebrates is an information requirement under Annex VII to REACH (Section 9.1.1.). Alternatively, long-term toxicity testing may be considered. Proposals for long-term testing on aquatic invertebrates (listed as standard information in Annex IX, Section 9.1.5.) may be justified further for the purpose of the chemical safety assessment, Annex I, Section 0.5.

#### 1.1. Information provided to fulfil the information requirement

You have submitted a testing proposal for a *Daphnia magna* reproduction test (test method: EU C.20/OECD TG 211). In your comments on the draft decision, you clarified that you propose to conduct this study for the purpose of PNEC refinement.

Your registration dossier also includes the following information on long-term toxicity on aquatic invertebrates:

1. a *Daphnia magna* first brood screening test on the Substance (████, 2018)

We have assessed the available information from your dossier and identified the following issues:

#### A. The test material used in study 1. was not representative of the Substance

To comply with this information requirement, the test material in a study must be representative for the Substance (Article 10 and Recital 19 of REACH; ECHA Guidance R.4.1).

For study 1. above, you have identified the test material as "O,O-tert-butyl isopropyl monoperoxy carbonate", EC number 219-143-7, with a purity of █████% (w/w). You have not reported the presence of an additive in this test material.

Therefore, you have not demonstrated that the test material is representative of the Substance and this information is rejected.

In your comments on the draft decision, you clarified that the study was conducted "with the test material that included both peroxide and the stabiliser (i.e. the Substance)". You specify that you "will update the registration dossier for the Substance to clarify this confusion".

#### B. Study 1. does not meet the requirements of OECD TG 211

To fulfil the information requirement, a study must comply with the OECD TG 211 and the requirements of OECD GD 23 (ENV/JM/MONO(2000)6/REV1) if the substance is difficult to test (Article 13(3) of REACH). Therefore, the following specifications must be met:

##### Key parameter to be measured

- the concentrations of the test material leading to no observed effect (NOECs) on the following parameters (among others) are estimated:
  - 1) the time to production of the first brood.

*Technical specifications impacting the sensitivity/reliability of the test*

- the test duration is 21 days or sufficient to produce at least three broods;

*Reporting of the methodology and results*

- the nominal test concentrations and the results of all analyses to determine the concentrations of the test substance in the test vessels are reported;
- the full record of the daily production of living offspring during the test by each parent animal or in each replicate is provided.

Your dossier provides a *Daphnia magna* first brood screening test, showing the following:

- no information is provided on the time to production of the first brood. Therefore, this study does not provide a comprehensive coverage of the parameters foreseen to be investigated in an OECD TG 211 study;
- the duration of the test was 10 days and only the production of the first brood was assessed. Therefore, the study duration was shorter than required by the OECD TG 211;
- you have not reported the results of all analyses to determine the concentrations of the test substance during the test. Therefore, you have not demonstrated that exposure was satisfactorily maintained; and
- you have not reported the full record of the daily production of living offspring during the test. Therefore, ECHA cannot conduct an independent assessment of the results of this study.

ECHA concludes that this study does not meet the requirements of the OECD TG 211.

In your comments on the draft decision, you provided no comments on the above assessment. You state that the study “*was used for temporary PNEC refinement only (awaiting a GLP test)*”.

On this basis, ECHA concludes that the available information on long-term toxicity on aquatic invertebrates is not valid. Therefore, ECHA agrees that the currently available information on long-term toxicity to aquatic invertebrates cannot be used for the purpose of the PNEC refinement.

### *1.2. Test selection and study specifications*

The proposed *Daphnia magna* reproduction test (test method: EU C.20/OECD TG 211) is appropriate to cover the information requirement for long-term toxicity on aquatic invertebrates (ECHA Guidance R.7.8.4.1.).

The Substance is difficult to test due to the low water solubility of the additive included to stabilise the Substance, as acknowledged by you in your comments on the draft decision. OECD TG 211 specifies that, for difficult to test substances, you must consider the approach described in OECD GD 23 or other approaches, if more appropriate for your substance. In all cases, the approach selected must be justified and documented. Due to the properties of Substance, it may be difficult to achieve and maintain the desired exposure concentrations. Therefore, you must monitor the test concentration(s) of the Substance throughout the exposure duration and report the results. If it is not possible to demonstrate the stability of exposure concentrations (i.e. measured concentration(s) not within 80-120% of the nominal concentration(s)), you must express the effect concentration based on measured values as described in OECD TG 211. In case a dose-response relationship cannot be established (no

observed effects), you must demonstrate that the approach used to prepare test solutions was adequate to maximise the concentration of the Substance in the test solutions.

### 1.3. Outcome

Your testing proposal is accepted under Article 40(3)(a) and you are requested to conduct the test with the Substance, as specified above.

From your comments on the draft decision, ECHA understands that you agreed to conduct the test as you state that you have *“conducted a chronic Daphnia screening study in preparation for the requested OECD 211 study. The definitive test has therefore already been planned by the registrant for PNEC refinement purposes. Analytical validation is currently in progress”*.

## **Appendix B: Requirements to fulfil when conducting and reporting new tests for REACH purposes**

### **A. Test methods, GLP requirements and reporting**

1. Under Article 13(3) of REACH, all new data generated as a result of this decision must be conducted according to the test methods laid down in a European Commission Regulation or to international test methods recognised by the Commission or ECHA as being appropriate.
2. Under Article 13(4) of REACH, ecotoxicological and toxicological tests and analyses must be carried out according to the GLP principles (Directive 2004/10/EC) or other international standards recognised by the Commission or ECHA.
3. Under Article 10(a)(vi) and (vii) of REACH, all new data generated as a result of this decision must be reported as study summaries, or as robust study summaries, if required under Annex I of REACH. See ECHA Practical Guide on How to report robust study summaries<sup>2</sup>.

### **B. Test material**

Before generating new data, you must agree within the joint submission on the chemical composition of the material to be tested (Test material) which must be relevant for all the registrants of the Substance.

1. Selection of the Test material(s)

The Test material used to generate the new data must be selected taking into account the following:

- the variation in compositions reported by all members of the joint submission,
  - the boundary composition(s) of the Substance,
  - the impact of each constituent/ impurity on the test results for the endpoint to be assessed. For example, if a constituent/ impurity of the Substance is known to have an impact on (eco)toxicity, the selected Test material must contain that constituent/ impurity.
2. Information on the Test material needed in the updated dossier
    - You must report the composition of the Test material selected for each study, under the "Test material information" section, for each respective endpoint study record in IUCLID.
    - The reported composition must include all constituents of each Test material and their concentration values and other parameters relevant for the property to be tested. In particular, the identity and concentration of additive(s) must be provided as well as a justification that the selected test material is relevant for all members of the joint submission.

This information is needed to assess whether the Test material is relevant for the Substance and whether it is suitable for use by all members of the joint submission.

Technical instructions on how to report the above is available in the manual on How to prepare registration and PPORD dossiers<sup>3</sup>.

---

<sup>2</sup> <https://echa.europa.eu/practical-guides>

<sup>3</sup> <https://echa.europa.eu/manuals>

## **Appendix C: General recommendations when conducting and reporting new tests for REACH purposes**

### **A. Environmental testing for substances containing multiple constituents**

Your Substance contains multiple constituents and, as indicated in ECHA Guidance R.11 (Section R.11.4.2.2), you are advised to consider the following approaches for persistency, bioaccumulation and aquatic toxicity testing:

- the “known constituents approach” (by assessing specific constituents), or
- the “fraction/block approach, (performed on the basis of fractions/blocks of constituents), or
- the “whole substance approach”, or
- various combinations of the approaches described above

Selection of the appropriate approach must take into account the possibility to characterise the Substance (i.e. knowledge of its constituents and/or fractions and any differences in their properties) and the possibility to isolate or synthesize its relevant constituents and/or fractions.

## **Appendix D: Procedure**

ECHA started the testing proposal evaluation in accordance with Article 40(1) on 20 July 2020.

ECHA followed the procedure detailed in Articles 50 and 51 of REACH.

ECHA notified you of the draft decision and invited you to provide comments.

ECHA took into account your comments, and amended the decision by removing the following request from this decision on your testing proposal:

- Long-term toxicity testing on fish (test method: EU C.47./OECD TG 210).

ECHA notified the draft decision to the competent authorities of the Member States for proposals for amendment.

As no amendments were proposed, ECHA adopted the decision under Article 51(3) of REACH.



**Appendix E: List of references - ECHA Guidance<sup>4</sup> and other supporting documents**Evaluation of available information

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.4 (version 1.1., December 2011), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.4 where relevant.

QSARs, read-across and grouping

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.6 (version 1.0, May 2008), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.6 where relevant.

Read-across assessment framework (RAAF, March 2017)<sup>5</sup>

RAAF - considerations on multi-constituent substances and UVCBs (RAAF UVCB, March 2017)<sup>6</sup>

Physical-chemical properties

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7a (version 6.0, July 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7a in this decision.

Toxicology

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7a (version 6.0, July 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7a in this decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7c (version 3.0, June 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7c in this decision.

Environmental toxicology and fate

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7a (version 6.0, July 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7a in this decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7b (version 4.0, June 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7b in this decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7c (version 3.0, June 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7c in this decision.

PBT assessment

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.11 (version 3.0, June 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.11 in this decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.16 (version 3.0, February 2016), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.16 in this decision.

Data sharing

Guidance on data-sharing (version 3.1, January 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance on data sharing in this decision.

OECD Guidance documents<sup>7</sup>

<sup>4</sup> <https://echa.europa.eu/guidance-documents/guidance-on-information-requirements-and-chemical-safety-assessment>

<sup>5</sup> <https://echa.europa.eu/support/registration/how-to-avoid-unnecessary-testing-on-animals/grouping-of-substances-and-read-across>

<sup>6</sup> [https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13630/raaf\\_uvcb\\_report\\_en.pdf/3f79684d-07a5-e439-16c3-d2c8da96a316](https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13630/raaf_uvcb_report_en.pdf/3f79684d-07a5-e439-16c3-d2c8da96a316)

<sup>7</sup> <http://www.oecd.org/chemicalsafety/testing/series-testing-assessment-publications-number.htm>

Guidance Document on aqueous-phase aquatic toxicity testing of difficult test chemicals – No 23, referred to as OECD GD 23.

Guidance document on transformation/dissolution of metals and metal compounds in aqueous media – No 29, referred to as OECD GD 29.

Guidance Document on Standardised Test Guidelines for Evaluating Chemicals for Endocrine Disruption – No 150, referred to as OECD GD 150.

Guidance Document supporting OECD test guideline 443 on the extended one-generation reproductive toxicity test – No 151, referred to as OECD GD 151.

**Appendix F: Addressees of this decision and the corresponding information requirements applicable to them**

You must provide the information requested in this decision for all REACH Annexes applicable to you.

<b>Registrant Name</b>	<b>Registration number</b>	<b>Highest REACH Annex applicable to you</b>

Where applicable, the name of a third party representative (TPR) may be displayed in the list of recipients whereas ECHA will send the decision to the actual registrant.