

Decision number: CCH-D-000003248-73-02/F

Helsinki, 19 April 2013

# DECISION ON A COMPLIANCE CHECK OF A REGISTRATION PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 41(3) OF REGULATION (EC) NO 1907/2006

- beneficiano	propylidenedij	ohenol, CAS No	80-05-7 (EC	No 201-245-8	;), registration
Addressee:	OLD LANGE				

The European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) has taken the following decision in accordance with the procedure set out in Articles 50 and 51 of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH Regulation).

# I. Procedure

Pursuant to Article 41(1) of the REACH Regulation ECHA has performed a compliance check of the registration dossier for 4,4'-isopropylidenediphenol, CAS No 80-05-7 (EC No 201-245-8), submitted by (Registrant).

This decision is based on the registration dossier as submitted with submission number, for the tonnage band 1000 tonnes or more per year. This decision does not take into account any updates after 18 January 2013, the date upon which ECHA notified its draft decision to the Competent Authorities of the Member States pursuant to Article 51(1) of the REACH Regulation.

This compliance check decision does not prevent ECHA to initiate further compliance checks on the registration at a later stage.

The compliance check was initiated on 28 September 2012 and is targeted at the technical dossier information for the standard information requirements of Sections 8.6.2. and 8.7.2. of Annex IX, and of Sections 8.7.2. and 8.7.3. of Annex X of the REACH Regulation.

On 21 November 2012 ECHA sent the draft decision to the Registrant and invited him to provide comments within 30 days of the receipt of the draft decision.

On 20 December 2012 ECHA received comments from the Registrant, merely indicating an intention to update the registration dossier by 21 February 2013. ECHA considered the Registrant's comments received and decided not to amend the draft decision.

On 18 January 2013 ECHA notified the Competent Authorities of the Member States of its draft decision and invited them pursuant to Article 51(1) of the REACH Regulation to submit proposals to amend the draft decision within 30 days of the receipt of the notification.

Subsequently, Competent Authorities of the Member States did not propose amendments to the draft decision and ECHA took the decision pursuant to Article 51(3) of the REACH Regulation.



## II. Information required

- 1) Pursuant to Articles 41(1)(a) and (b), 41(3), 10(a)(vii), 12(1)(e), 13 and Annexes IX and X of the REACH Regulation the Registrant shall submit the following information generated with the test methods as indicated on the registered substance subject to the present decision:
  - a. Sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day) in rats, oral route (Annex IX, 8.6.2., test method EU B.29/OECD 413);
  - b. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study in rats, oral route (Annex IX, 8.7.2., test method EU B.31/OECD 414);
  - c. Second pre-natal developmental toxicity study in mouse, oral route (Annex X, 8.7.2., test method EU B.31/OECD 414);
  - d. Two-generation reproductive toxicity study in rats, oral route (Annex X, 8.7.3., EU B.35).

ECHA notes that other registrants of the same substance have already submitted in their registration dossiers information from experimental studies involving vertebrate animals in order to fulfil the relevant information requirements. In accordance with Title III of the REACH Regulation, namely the obligations to request access to available information of studies on vertebrate animals (Articles 27 and 30 of the REACH Regulation), the Registrant shall not perform new testing involving vertebrate animals in order to comply with the present decision where such data is already available and is compelled to request this information from other registrants of the same substance.

More specifically, Article 30(1) of the REACH Regulation imposes on the Registrant to request from other substance information exchange forum (SIEF) participants to share the studies involving tests on vertebrate animals already available. The Registrant and the other SIEF participants shall make every effort to ensure that the costs of sharing the information are determined in a fair, transparent and non discriminatory way.

In addition, the Registrant is reminded of the obligation imposed by Article 11 of the REACH Regulation on all the registrants of the same substance to submit registrations for the same substance jointly.

Pursuant to Article 41(4) of the REACH Regulation the Registrant shall submit the required information in the form of an updated IUCLID dossier to ECHA by **19 July 2013**.

# III. Statement of reasons

Based on the examination of the technical dossier, ECHA concludes that the information therein, submitted by the Registrant for registration of the above mentioned substance for the purpose of registration within the applicable tonnage band of above 1000 tonnes per year in accordance with Article 6 of the REACH Regulation, does not comply with the requirements of Articles 10, 12 and with Annexes I, IX, X and XI thereof. Consequently, the Registrant is requested to submit the information mentioned above that is needed to bring the registration into compliance with the relevant information requirements.



# 1) Missing information related to endpoints

# a. Sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day)

A sub-chronic toxicity study (90 day) is a standard information requirement as laid down in Annex IX, section 8.6.2. of the REACH Regulation.

The technical dossier did not contain any robust study summaries for this endpoint. The data waiving cites 'other justification', but the information provided in the justification for the data waiving is a brief overview of a US National Toxicology Programme report (1982) outlining the method and giving brief details of observations and results. In the absence of supporting data, this is not a valid adaptation according to column 2 of Annex IX, 8.6.2., or according to Annex XI of the REACH Regulation.

Consequently there is an information gap and it is necessary to provide information for the endpoint of Annex IX, 8.6.2. The Registrant is accordingly requested to submit information for this endpoint generated by the following test on the registered substance: 90-day repeated dose toxicity study in the rat, by the oral route (EU B.29/OECD 413).

The Registrant is requested to make every effort to obtain from other registrants this information available in joint submission for the same substance for the update of the technical dossier and the CSR.

# b. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study

A pre-natal developmental toxicity study for a first species is a standard information requirement as laid down in Annex IX, section 8.7.2. of the REACH Regulation.

The technical dossier did not contain any robust study summaries for this endpoint. The information requirement for this endpoint in the technical dossier was waived with the following justification: the NTP review report (2008) reported that there was clear evidence of adverse developmental effects in rats and mice and the EU harmonized classification is as a Reprotox Cat 3. However, in the absence of supporting data, this justification is not a valid adaptation according to column 2 of Annex IX, 8.7., or according to Annex XI.

Consequently there is an information gap and it is necessary to provide information for the endpoint of Annex IX, 8.7.2. The Registrant is accordingly requested to submit information for this endpoint generated by the following test on the registered substance: Prenatal developmental toxicity study in the rat, by the oral route (EU B.31/OECD 414).

The Registrant is requested to make every effort to obtain from other registrants this information available in joint submission for the same substance for the update of the technical dossier and the CSR.

#### c. Second Pre-natal developmental toxicity study

A pre-natal developmental toxicity study for a second species is a standard information requirement as laid down in Annex X, section 8.7.2. of the REACH Regulation.

The technical dossier did not contain any robust study summaries for this endpoint. The information requirement for this endpoint in the technical dossier was waived with the following justification: the NTP review report (2008) reported that there was clear evidence



of adverse developmental effects in rats and mice and the EU harmonized classification is as a Reprotox Cat 3. However, in the absence of supporting data, this justification is not a valid adaptation according to column 2 of Annex IX, 8.7., or according to Annex XI.

Consequently there is an information gap and it is necessary to provide information for the endpoint of Annex X, 8.7.2. The Registrant is accordingly requested to submit the information for this endpoint generated by the following test on the registered substance: Prenatal developmental toxicity study in the mouse, by the oral route (EU B.31/OECD 414).

The Registrant is requested to make every effort to obtain from other registrants this information available in joint submission for the same substance for the update of the technical dossier and the CSR.

# d. Two-generation reproductive toxicity study

A two-generation reproductive toxicity study is a standard information requirement as laid down in Annex X, section 8.7.3. of the REACH Regulation.

The technical dossier did not contain any robust study summaries for this endpoint. The information requirement for this endpoint in the technical dossier was waived with the following justification: the NTP review report (2008) reported that there was clear evidence of adverse developmental effects in rats and mice and the EU harmonized classification is as a Reprotox Cat 3. However, in the absence of supporting data, this justification is not a valid adaptation according to column 2 of Annex IX, 8.7., or according to Annex XI.

Consequently there is an information gap and it is necessary to provide information for the endpoint of Annex X, 8.7.3. According to the test method EU B.35/OECD 416 the rat is the preferred species. ECHA considers this default species appropriate.

The Registrant is accordingly requested to submit the information for this endpoint generated by the following test on the registered substance: Two-generation reproduction toxicity study in the rat, by the oral route (EU Method B.35).

The Registrant is requested to make every effort to obtain from other registrants this information available in joint submission for the same substance for the update of the technical dossier and the CSR.

## IV. Timeline for updating the registration dossier

The present decision requires the Registrant to provide information that in accordance with Article 30(1) of the REACH Regulation he is required to obtain by sharing of information. ECHA considers three months to be sufficient time, (1) to request the studies from the respective data owners, (2) to receive the proof of the costs, (3) to make every effort to ensure that the costs of sharing information are determined in a fair, transparent and non discriminatory way, and (4) to pay and be granted permission to refer to the full study reports after receipt of payment by the respective data owner.



# V. Information on right to appeal

An appeal may be brought against this decision to the Board of Appeal of ECHA under Article 51(8) of the REACH Regulation. Such an appeal shall be lodged within three months of receiving notification of this decision. Further information on the appeal procedure can be found on ECHA's internet page at

http://echa.europa.eu/appeals/app\_procedure\_en.asp. The notice of appeal will be deemed to be filed only when the appeal fee has been paid.



Jukka Malm Director of Regulatory Affairs