Regulation (EU) No 528/2012 concerning the making available on the market and use of biocidal products

# PRODUCT ASSESSMENT REPORT OF A BIOCIDAL PRODUCT (FAMILY) FOR NATIONAL AUTHORISATION APPLICATIONS

(submitted by the evaluating Competent Authority)



# SZUKU szúnyog- és kullancsriasztó folyadék mechanikus pumpával SZUKU mosquito- and tick repellent spray

Product type 19

DEET (N,N-dietil-m-toluamid) 23% w/w

Current case Number in R4BP: BC CL068574-31 Previous authorization Number in R4BP: HU-2014-PA-19-00087-0000

**Evaluating Competent Authority: HUNGARY** 

Date: 30 June 2022

# **Table of Contents**

1	CONCLU	SION	4
2	ASSESSIV	IENT REPORT	6
2	2.1 Sum	MARY OF THE PRODUCT ASSESSMENT	<del>6</del>
	2.1.1	Administrative information	
	2.1.1.1		
	2.1.1.2	Authorisation holder	
	2.1.1.3	Manufacturer(s) of the products of the family	
	2.1.1.4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	2.1.2	Product composition and formulation	
	2.1.2.1		
	2.1.2.2	Candidate(s) for substitution	7
	2.1.2.3	State and the state of the stat	
	2.1.2.4	· ·	
	2.1.2.5	Information on the substance(s) of concern	
	2.1.2.6	No other substances of concern have been identified in the formulation. Type of formulation	
	2.1.3	Hazard and precautionary statements	
	2.1.4	Authorised use(s)	
	2.1.4.1	The state of the s	
	2.1.4.2		
	2.1.4.3	Use-specific risk mitigation measures	
	2.1.4.4	Where specific to the use, the particulars of likely direct or indirect effects, first aid instructions and	
	_	ency measures to protect the environment	
	2.1.4.5 2.1.4.6	Where specific to the use, the instructions for safe disposal of the product and its packaging	
	of stora	·	ILIONS
	2.1.5	General directions for use	15
	2.1.5		
	2.1.5.1		
	2.1.5.3		
		ment	
	2.1.5.4		
	2.1.5.5		
	2.1.6	Other information	
	2.1.7	Packaging of the biocidal product	
	2.1.8	Documentation	
	2.1.8.1		
	2.1.8.2		
2	2.2 Asse	SSMENT OF THE BIOCIDAL PRODUCT (FAMILY)	15
	2.2.1	Intended use(s) as applied for by the applicant	
	2.2.2	Physical, chemical and technical properties	
	2.2.3	Physical hazards and respective characteristics	
	2.2.4	Methods for detection and identification	
	2.2.5	Efficacy against target organisms	
	2.2.5.1		
	2.2.5.2		
	2.2.5.3	Effects on target organisms, including unacceptable suffering	
	2.2.5.4		
	2.2.5.5	Efficacy data	
	2.2.5.6	Occurrence of resistance and resistance management	28
	2.2.5.7		
	2.2.5.8	Evaluation of the label claims	20

	2.2.5.9	Relevant information if the product is intended to be authorised for use with other biocidal product(	s)29
	2.2.6	Risk assessment for human health	30
	2.2.6.1		
	2.2.6.2		
	2.2.6.3		
	2.2.7	Risk assessment for animal health	53
	2.2.8	Risk assessment for the environment	53
	2.2.8.1		
	2.2.8.2	Exposure assessment	56
	2.2.8.3	Risk characterisation	62
	2.2.9	Measures to protect man, animals and the environment	67
3	ANNEXES	S	69
	3.1 LIST	OF STUDIES FOR THE BIOCIDAL PRODUCT (FAMILY): PLEASE CHECK LIST GENERATED BY IUCLID	69
	3.2 OUT	PUT TABLES FROM EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT TOOLS: SEE RELEVANT SECTIONS	69
	3.3 RESII	DUE BEHAVIOUR: NOT RELEVANT FOR THIS PRODUCT	69
	3.4 SUM	MARIES OF THE EFFICACY STUDIES (B.5.10.1-XX): PLEASE SEE IUCLID	69
		FIDENTIAL ANNEX: SEE FILE: CONFIDENTAL ANNEX TO PAR FILE	
		FR' NOT AVAILABLE	60

### 1 CONCLUSION

Szuku Mosquito- and Tick Repellent Spray is a ready-for-use product applied by trigger sray containing 23% N,N-diethyl-meta-toluamide (DEET) as active substance. The product is used as a repellent by general public for the control of mosquitoes, and ticks.

In 2014 the first authorisation of the product was granted by Hungary, authorisation number HU-2014-PA-19-00087-0000.

As the applicant did not submit the renewal of the product authorisation within the requested deadline, the product authorisation could not be granted under the RNL procedure.

The authorisation resulting from this procedure is considered as a new national authorisation.

### **Approval of the active substance:**

The active substance DEET is included in the Union list of approved active substances, the approval of DEET for use in biocidal products of product-type 19 will expire on 31 July 2022. The expiry date of approval of DEET for use in biocidal product of product type 19 was postponed to 31 January 2025 by Decision (EU) 2021/2146.

The overall conclusion of the evaluation is that Szuku Mosquito- and Tick Repellent Spray meets the conditions laid down in Article 19(1) of Regulation (EU) No 528/2012 and therefore can be authorised for the use as repellent against mosquitoese and ticks used by general public The detailed grounds for the overall conclusion are described in this Product Assessment Report.

### Composition

The composition of the product has been changed, the fragrance (0.6% pine scent) has been completely removed from it, concentration of ethyl alcohol was increased accordingly.

The concentration of the active ingredient and all other components remain unchanged.

### Physical hazard and physico-chemical properties

Physical hazard is identified: Flam. Liq. 2;

Information and data submitted allow assessment of the physico-chemical properties of biocidal product, they are deemed acceptable for the appropriate use.

The Hungarian authority is of the opinion that the GLP stability studies with the old formulation are acceptable, as the omission of fragrance has positive impact on shelf life. Accelerated and long-term storage stability data at ambient temperature for the product have been provided, GLP data support the shelf life of 24 months, The shelf life of the product is two years, however post-authorization data requirements are set as more data will need to be provided to demonstrate satisfactory operation of trigger spray and MMAD value of droplets should be given.

#### **Post-authorization data requirements:**

According to the ECHA guidance, the MMAD of droplets should be determined before and after storage.

Data on satisfactory operation of the trigger spray prior to and after storage should be submitted. These should include the spray pattern, the amount of spray delivered with each operation and nozzle observations for clogging, the periodic use of the spray during the storage interval should also be addressed.

As these data are not required for the risk assessment they can be addressed as post-authorization data requirements

.

### Methods for detection and identification

A validated analytical method for the determination of the concentration of the active substance is available

### **Human health**

The risk to non-professionals is acceptable with some risk management measures.

- For adults: one application per day is considered safe with standard use pattern: the product is applied to the face, neck, shoulders, upper and lower arms, hands, upper and lower legs, feet.
- For children between 2 and 6 years: Use of product once per day is safe. However, when we take into account the possibility of hand-to-mouth behaviour, the risk is very close to the maximum safe level. In order to reduce the risk, additional RMMs should be used, as adding a bittering agent (Bitrex) to the product to reduce ingestion and the repellent must be labelled according to the following: it must be applied only by adults; do not apply to children's palms; keep out of reach of children. In addition, the label should direct adults to wash their hands following application.
- For children more than 6 years of age: use of the Szuku Mosquito- and Tick Repellent Spray once per day is considered safe.

### **Efficacy**

New efficacy reports were presented for the evaluation of the current formulation against *Aedes aegypti* and *Ixodes ricinus*.

It can be concluded from the test results that Szuku Mosquito- and Tick Repellent Spray effectively repels mosquitoes for 5.5 hours and ticks for 3 hours when used according to the instructions.

### **Environment**

In conclusion for the environment, the risk is expected to be unacceptable from indirect emission to groundwater from the use of Szuku mosquito- and tick repellent spray. The following risk mitigation measure is proposed to reduce the risk to an acceptable level:

 "Preferably wear long-legged trousers and a long-sleeved shirt to reduce the amount of product needed for protection."

It can be concluded that the conditions of article 19 of regulation (EU) no. 528/2012 are fulfilled and that the product may be authorised.

The biocidal product will be authorised for a period of 10 years in accordance with Article 17(4) of Regulation (EU) No 528/2012.

# **2 ASSESSMENT REPORT**

# 2.1 Summary of the product assessment

### 2.1.1 Administrative information

# 2.1.1.1 Identifier of the product / product family

Identifier <sup>1</sup>	Country (if relevant)
SZUKU szúnyog- és kullancsriasztó folyadék mechanikus pumpával	Hungary
SZUKU mosquito- and tick repellent spray	Hungary

### 2.1.1.2 Authorisation holder

Name and address of the authorisation holder	Name	<b>METATOX</b> Peszticid Gyártó- és Forgalmazó Kft.	
	Address	H-5520 Szeghalom, Kossuth str. 8. HUNGARY	
Authorisation number	HU-2022-MA-19-00400-0000		
Date of the authorisation	08/07/2022		
Expiry date of the authorisation	08/07/203	2	

# 2.1.1.3 Manufacturer(s) of the products of the family

Name of manufacturer	METATOX Peszticid Gyártó- és Forgalmazó Kft.
Address of manufacturer	H-5520 Szeghalom, Kossuth str. 8. HUNGARY
Location of manufacturing sites	H-5520 Szeghalom, Kossuth str. 8. HUNGARY

# 2.1.1.4 Manufacturer(s) of the active substance(s)

Active substance	N,N-diethyl-m-toluamide (DEET)		
	Vertellus Chemicals SA (acting for Vertellus LLC (United States)		
Address of manufacturer	Avenue du Port 86 C BP 204 1000, Bruxelles Belgium		
	2110 High Point Road, Greensboro NC 27403, USA		

6

 $<sup>^{1}\,</sup>$  Please fill in here the identifying product name from R4BP.

### 2.1.2 Product composition and formulation

Does the product have the same identity and composition as the product evaluated in connection with the approval for listing of the active substance(s) on the Union list of approved active substances under Regulation No. 528/2012?

Yes ☐ No ☑

### 2.1.2.1 Identity of the active substance

Main constituent(s)					
ISO name	DEET				
IUPAC or EC name	N,N-diethyl-meta-toluamide				
EC number	205-149-7				
CAS number	134-62-3				
Index number in Annex VI of CLP	616-018-00-2				
Minimum purity / content	min. 97.0 w/w % (970 g/kg)				
Structural formula	CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>				

### 2.1.2.2 Candidate(s) for substitution

DEET is not a candidate for substitution in accordance of Article 10 of Reg. (EU) 528/2012 and its modifications.

2.1.2.3 Qualitative and	d quantitative	information	on the	composition	of the	biocidal
product						

Common name	IUPAC name	Function	CAS number	EC number	Content (w/w%)
DEET, tech.	N,N-diethyl- m- toluamide	Active substance	134-62-3	205-149-7	23.00
Ethanol	ethyl alcohol	Solvent	64-17-5	200-578-6	44.6
Isopropanol	Isopropyl alcohol	Solvent	67-63-0	200-661-7	12.00
внт	2,6-di-tert- butyl-p- cresol	Antioxidant	128-37-0	204-881-4	0.40

Please see the confidential annex for full composition.

### 2.1.2.4 Information on technical equivalence

There are no issues raised regarding the technical equivalence of the active substance. Metatox Kft. has a letter of access to the complete DEET dossier form Vertellus Chemicals SA (acting for Vertellus LLC (United States)), who is among the sponsors of DEET in review program.

### 2.1.2.5 Information on the substance(s) of concern

The product contains ethyl alcohol and isopropyl alcohol.

These substances have harmonized classification at EU level:

Classification of ethyl alcohol by the supplier: Flam. Liq. 2, Eye Irrit. 2;

Harmonised classification of isopropyl alcohol: Flam. Liq. 2, Eye Irrit. 2 and STOT SE 3.

Classification of isopropyl alcohol: Flam. Liq. 2, Eye Irrit. 2 and STOT SE 3.

No harmonized classification is available for BHT, according to the SDS of the supplier classification of BHT is: Aquatic Chronic 1

Ethyl alcohol and isopropyl alcohol are considered as substance of concern (SoC) in relation to physical-chemical and also to human-health endpoints of the product. Due to the BHT concentration and its classification as Aquatic Chronic 1 the product should be classified into Aquatic Chronic 3 hazard class and category, and therefore BHT is considered as substance of concern in relation to environmental endpoints of the product.

# 2.1.2.6 No other substances of concern have been identified in the formulation. Type of formulation

AL – any other liquid; ready to use

# 2.1.3 Hazard and precautionary statements<sup>2</sup>

# Classification and labelling of the products of the family according to the Regulation (EC) 1272/2008

Classification	
Hazard class/category	Flammable Liquids, Category 2 - Flam. Liq. 2. Serious Eye Damage/eye irritation Category 2 - Eye Irrit 2 Long term (chronic) Aquatic Hazard - Category 3 - Aquatic Chronic 3
Hazard statements	H225 Highly flammable liquid and vapour. H319 Causes serious eye irritation. H412 Harmful to aquatic life with long lasting effect.
Labelling	
Signal word-	DANGER
Pictograms	GHS02 GHS07
Hazard statements	H225 Highly flammable liquid and vapour. H319 Causes serious eye irritation. H412 Harmful to aquatic life with long lasting effect
Precautionary statements	P102 Keep out of reach of children. P210 Keep away from heat, sparks,open flames,hot surfaces. No smoking. P260 Do not breathe spray. P273 Avoid release to the environment. P305+P351+P338 IF IN EYES: Rinse cautiously with water for several minutes. Remove contact lenses, if present and easy to do. Continue rinsing. P337+P313 If eye irritation persists: Get medical advice/attention. P501 Dispose of contents/container according to national regulations.
Note	None of the packaging units of the product is larger than 125 ml, so the hazard and precautionary statements can be omitted from the label. P233 statement ( Keep container tightly closed) is triggered by Flam. Liq. 2 hazard class and category.  Due to the type of closure (pump spray cap) typically used for repellents this statement is not relevant since the bottle is not supposed to open by the user.

9

### 2.1.4 Authorised use(s)

### 2.1.4.1 Use description

Table 1. Use # 1 – Ready-to-use mosquito- and tick repellent spray for amateur use

Product Type	PT19 (repellents and attractants)			
Where relevant, an exact description of the authorised use	Repellent for outdoor use to be applied on the skin exposed to mosquito and tick bites.  The formulation is not suitable for the use on cloth and hair. The product should be applied by an adult on children under 12 years old. Adults or children should not use the product more than once a day.			
Target organism (including development stage)	Culicidae (mosquitoes) Stage: adults Ixodidae (hard ticks) Stages: Adults, nymphs			
Field of use	Outdoor use			
Application method(s)	Spraying, spreading evenly on the skin.			
Application rate(s) and frequency	Once a day 1 g / 600 cm² (600 cm² is approximately equal to the skin area of the forearm of an average adult.)  The product repels mosquitoes for 5.5 hours (330 minutes) and repels ticks for 3 hours.			
Category(ies) of users	Non-professionals / general public			
Pack sizes and packaging material	PE/PP/HDPE bottle with integral trigger sprayer. ready-to-use formulation with 30 ml, 40 ml, 50 ml or 90 ml filling; with closure cap			

### 2.1.4.2 Use-specific instructions for use

See general instructions of use

### 2.1.4.3 Use-specific risk mitigation measures

See general instructions of use

2.1.4.4 Where specific to the use, the particulars of likely direct or indirect effects, first aid instructions and emergency measures to protect the environment

See general instructions of use

2.1.4.5 Where specific to the use, the instructions for safe disposal of the product and its packaging

See general instructions of use

2.1.4.6 Where specific to the use, the conditions of storage and shelf-life of the product under normal conditions of storage

See general instructions of use

#### 2.1.5 General directions for use

### 2.1.5.1 Instructions for use

Shake well before use. Spread the spray from 20-25 cm evenly over the skin surface to be protected.

When using on face, apply the repellent to the palms first then spread the product on the face avoiding contact with the eyes and the mouth.

The product should be applied by an adult on children under 12 years old. Adults or children should not use the product more than once a day. Do not apply the product to children's palms.

Do not apply to sunburned or scarred skin, avoid contact with open wounds. Users with known allergies or sensible skin should use the product with special care, i.e. treat only a small area of skin for the first time. Should allergic symptoms (such as rubefaction, rash, etc.) appear on the skin as a result of the use of the product, immediately remove by washing with plenty of water and soap. Once protection against insect bites is not needed any more, wash treated skin with soap and water. The product may damage certain synthetic fibres, imitation leather, plastics, watch glass, painted and varnished surfaces.

### 2.1.5.2 Risk mitigation measures

Use according to the instructions.

Use only outdoors.

Preferably wear long-legged trousers and a long-sleeved shirt to reduce the amount of product needed for protection.

Wash hands thoroughly with soap and water after use and before eating.

The product contains a bitter taste (Bitrex) that prevents accidental human consumption of the product.

Make sure that the product does not get on cutlery, food or feed.

Store locked up.

Manufacturer's recommendation: pregnant and lactating women should avoid using the product if possible.

# 2.1.5.3 Particulars of likely direct or indirect effects, first aid instructions and emergency measures to protect the environment

**Contact with eyes:** wash out immediately with plenty of water. If there are contact lens in the eyes, remove them and continue rinsing for a few minutes. Consult eyespecialist in case of irritation or impaired vision persists.

**Contact with skin:** in case of allergic symptoms, wash affected area with plenty of water. Consult a specialist if you have persistent complaint.

**Inhalation:** move to fresh air.

**Ingestion**: Get immediate medical advice and show the label to the doctor.

Use caution when using the product to minimize the risk of splashing, dripping, and / or scattering on the ground.

#### 2.1.5.4 Instructions for safe disposal of the product and its packaging

Dispose of waste in accordance with local, national regulations. Do not re-use container for any purpose.

Prevent entry into drains or water-bodies.

# 2.1.5.5 Conditions of storage and shelf-life of the product under normal conditions of storage

Shelf life of the products is 2 years.

Store the product in the original container in a dry and cool place away from heat and direct sunlight.

Protect from frost, do not store at temperatures below 0°C.

Store at temperature no greater than 35°C

Keep out of reach of children. Keep away from food, drink and animal feeding stuffs.

### 2.1.6 Other information

not available

## 2.1.7 Packaging of the biocidal product

Type of packaging	Size/volume of the packaging	Material of the packaging	Type and material of closure(s)	Intended user (e.g. professional, non- professional)	Compatibility of the product with the proposed packaging materials (Yes/No)
Ready-to- use mosquito- and tick repellent liquid placed in a PE or PP crucible with 30 ml filling weight.	30 ml	PE or PP plastic jar	Mechanical pump screwable on a crucible, fitted with a closer-cap.	non- professional (amateur use)	Yes
Ready-to- use mosquito- and tick repellent liquid placed in a PE or PP crucible with 40 ml filling weight.	40 ml	PE or PP plastic jar	Mechanical pump screwable on a crucible, fitted with a closer-cap.	non- professional (amateur use)	Yes
Ready-to- use mosquito- and tick repellent liquid placed in a PE or PP crucible with 50 ml filling weight.	50 ml	PE or PP plastic jar	Mechanical pump screwable on a crucible, fitted with a closer-cap.	non- professional (amateur use)	Yes
Ready-to-	90 ml	PE or PP	Mechanical	non-	Yes

use	plastic jar	pump	professional	
mosquito-		screwable	(amateur use)	
and tick		on a		
repellent		crucible,		
liquid placed		fitted with a		
in a PE or PP		closer-cap.		
crucible with				
90 ml filling				
weight.				

### 2.1.8 Documentation

### 2.1.8.1 Data submitted in relation to product application

Data on the active substance are available through Letter of access. Data on the product are available in the IUCLID dossier.

### 2.1.8.2 Access to documentation

In support of the biocidal product authorization of DEET containing products in Hungary Vertellus Chemicals SA (acting for Vertellus LLC United States) granted the Metatox Kft. access to the data of the active ingredient DEET.

# 2.2 Assessment of the biocidal product (family)

# 2.2.1 Intended use(s) as applied for by the applicant

Table 2. Intended use # 1

Product Type(s)	PT19 (repellents and attractants)			
Where relevant, an exact description of the authorised use	The amateur users will use the repellent spray outdoor. Certain risk mitigation measures that reduce the exposure in children are necessary. These mitigation measures include: Children under 12 years old should not apply the product hemselves, only with help from an adult. Do not use on insuitable exposure areas i.e. palms and around eyes and nouth.			
Target organism (including development stage)	Culicidae (mosquitoes) Stage: adults Ixodidae (hard ticks) Stages: Adults, nymphs			
Field of use	Outdoor use			
Application method(s)	Spraying, spreading evenly on the skin.			
Application rate(s) and frequency	Once a day 1 g / 600 cm² (600 cm² is approximately equal to the skin area of the forearm of an average adult.)  The product repels mosquitoes for 5.5 hours (330 minutes) and repels ticks for 3 hours.			
Category(ies) of user(s)	Non-professionals / general public			
Pack sizes and packaging material	see Section 2.1.7. PE/PP/HDPE bottle with integral trigger sprayer. ready-to-use formulation with 30 ml, 40 ml, 50 ml or 90 ml filling; with closure cap			

# 2.2.2 Physical, chemical and technical properties

The biocidal product is a ready to use formulation.

Property	Guideline and Method	Purity of the test substance (% (w/w)	Results	Reference
Physical state at 20°C and 101.3 kPa	visual inspection	Batch No: 20220405/1	Transparent clear liquid	Metatox in house results e.g. Study
Colour at 20°C and 101.3 kPa	I VISUAI I .		Colourless	Report on physical state, colour and odour by
Odour at 20°C and 101.3 kPa	olfactory characterisa	Batch No: 20220405/1	Alcoholic	sensorial, organoleptic

Property	Guideline and Method	Purity of the test substance (% (w/w)	Results	Reference
	tion			methods; 20 April 2022.
Taste	Detection through the denatorium to denatori	ue to denatonium eshold is 0.05 ppm penzoate. Product penzoate, which is than the detection	n $(5\times10^{-6}\%)$ of contains $5\times10^{-4}\%$ a $100$ -times higher	U.S. consumer Products Safety Commission: Study of Aversive Agents, 1992
Acidity / alkalinity pH	CIPAC MT 191	Batch No: 20130624/1	No need because pH is between 4 – 10 pH: 9.1 (20°C)	Study no.: 671.191.4442 (GLP)
Relative density / bulk density	Method A.3. OECD No.109	Batch No: 20130624/1	D <sub>4</sub> <sup>20</sup> :0.913	Study no.: 671.191.4440 (GLP)
Storage stability test - accelerated storage	GIFAP, GC/FID	Samples in commercial packaging were kept 8 weeks at 40±2°C	Mean of DEET concentration: Start: 22.8±0.1% End: 22.9±0.2%	Accelerated Storage Stability Test: Study no.: 671.105.4448 (GLP) Date of Study Report: Sept. 25. 2013.
Storage stability test - long term storage at ambient temperature	GIFAP Technical Monograph N°17, Guidelines for Specifying the Shelf Life of Plant Protection Products, 2nd Edition, June 2009 GC/FID	Batch No: 20130624/1 in sales pack 19-21.1°C  Appearance: Colourless liquid, alcoholic,	No significant change after 6, 12 and 24 months storage at ambient temp.  DEET conc.: Start: 22.8±0.1% 6 months: 22.6±0.1% 12 months: 23.5±0.2% 24 months: 22.3±0.1% pH at 20°C Start: 8.9 6 months:9.1 12 months: 8.7 24 months: 9.1 Appearance: does not change during the study Container: intact package and label dispenser works properly.	Determination of Storage Stability of Szuku folyadék: GLP Study no: 671.105.4448 (GLP).Date of Study Report: Sept. 09, 2015
Storage stability test - low temperature stability test for	Low temperature storage was		On the label must appear: Protect from frost.	-

Property	Guideline and	Purity of the test substance	Results	Reference
	Method	(% (w/w)	C)	
liquids	not addressed.		Storage temperature must not fall below 0°C.	
Effects on content of			The package	
the active substance			precludes the	
and technical			effect of light to	
characteristics of the			be considered.	
biocidal product -			be constacted.	
light				
Effects on content of			See the storage	
the active substance			stability tests.	
and technical				
characteristics of the				
biocidal product -				
temperature and				
humidity				
Effects on content of			Assessed as part	
the active substance			of long-term	
and technical			storage stability	
characteristics of the			test. Stability data	
biocidal product -			and long years of	
reactivity towards			commercial	
container material			experience with	
			the product shows	
			there are no	
			indications that	
			the container	
			materials reacts	
Wattability	waived		with the product.	
Wettability	waived		Not required as the product is RTU	
Suspensibility,	waived		Not required as	
spontaneity and	waiveu		the product is RTU	
dispersion stability			the product is KTO	
Wet sieve analysis	waived		Not required as	
and dry sieve test	waived		the product is RTU	
Emulsifiability, re-	waived		Not required as	
emulsifiability and	Waivea		the product is RTU	
emulsion stability			the product is it is	
Disintegration time	waived		Not required as	
			the product is RTU	
Particle size	waived		Not applicable.	
distribution, content			The products are	
of dust/fines,			not powders or	
attrition, friability			granules.	
Persistent foaming	waived		Not required as	
			the product is RTU	
Flowability/Pourabilit	waived		Not required as	
y/Dustability			the product is RTU	
Burning rate —	waived		Not required as	
smoke generators			the product is RTU	

Property	Guideline and Method	Purity of the test substance (% (w/w)	Results	Reference
Burning completeness — smoke generators	waived		Not required as the product is RTU	
Composition of smoke — smoke generators	waived		Not required as the product is RTU	
Spraying pattern — aerosols	waived		Not required as the product is RTU	
Physical compatibility	Not relevant as the product is not intended to be mixed with other substances, products.		The product should not be applied with other substances, mixtures.	
Chemical compatibility	Not relevant as the product is not intended to be mixed with other substances, products.		The product should not be applied with other substances, mixtures.	
Degree of dissolution and dilution stability	•		Not required as the product is RTU	
Surface tension  Viscosity	Surface tension was not determined. justification was provided instead.	Batch No:	Not relevant as it is a RTU product. The value of the surface tension of the product has no influence on the hazards of the product and has no influence on the efficacy.	Study no:
		20130624/1	dynamic: 4.23 mPas kinematic: 4.63 mm²/s at 40°C dynamic: 2.50 mPa.s kinematic: 2.76 mm²/s	671.191.4446 (GLP)

Conclusion on the physical, chemical and technical properties of the product

Data and information submitted allow reliable assessment of the physical-chemical properties of the product. Storage stability study (GLP) at ambient temperature was performed with the composition containing 0.6% pine scent (old formulation), read-across of these results are acceptable as the concentration of DEET and all other component except ethyl alcohol remain the same and absence of fragrance is beneficial for shelf life. The ethyl alcohol concentration is increased by 0.6%.

As the product is applied via trigger sprayer according to the ECHA guidance, the MMAD (mass medium aerodynamic diameter) of droplets should be also determined before and after storage, moreover data on satisfactory operation of the trigger spray prior to and after storage should be submitted as follows: spray pattern, the amount of spray delivered with each operation, nozzle observations for clogging and the intermittent use of the spray during the storage interval should also be addressed.

These data should be handled as post-authorization data requirements.

As the product has been on the market for decades, years of experience and observations show no visible signs of build-up on the pump mechanism and no dripping, clogging or blockage during spray operation.

Shelf life of the products is 2 years.

Store the product in the original container in a dry and cool place away from heat and direct sunlight. Protect from frost.

### 2.2.3 Physical hazards and respective characteristics

Property	Guideline and Method	Purity of the test substance (% (w/w)	Results	Reference
Explosives	Justification of no submission data		Consideration of structure and physical chemical properties of the components does not suggest any explosive, oxidising potential. Widespread experiments and commercial use over many years has not shown any evidence of	
Flammable gases	waived		Not relevant. The product is liquid.	
Flammable aerosols	waived		Not relevant. the product is not an aerosol	
Oxidising gases	waived		Not relevant. The product is	

Property	Guideline and	Purity of the test substance (%	Results	Reference
	Method	(w/w)		
			liquid.	
Gases under	waived		Not relevant.	
pressure			The product is	
			liquid.	
Flammable liquids	Method A.9	Batch No.: OTH	Flash point:	Study no.:
		2799/2006-	20°C. The	14/023-352/AN
			product is	(GLP)
			considered	
			flammable	
			liquid,	
			category: 2	
Flammable solids	waived		Not relevant.	
			The product is	
			liquid.	
Self-reactive	waived		There are no	
substances and			chemical	
mixtures			groups present	
			in the	
			molecules of	
			ingredients	
			associated with	
			explosive or	
			self-reactive	
			properties;	
			examples of	
			such groups are	
			given in Tables	
			in Appendix 6	
			of the UN	
			RTDG.	
Pyrophoric liquids	waived		During	
			production,	
			handling and,	
			storage no	
			experience has	
			shown evidence	
			that the liquid	
			ignites	
			spontaneously on coming into	
			contact with air	
			at normal. Not	
			relevantthe	
			formulation	
			does not	
			contain any	
			components	
			that are	
			reactive	
			towards air, or	
			any other	

Property	Guideline and Method	Purity of the test substance (% (w/w)	Results	Reference
			components/	
			substances	
			therefore are	
			not pyrophoric.	
Pyrophoric solids	waived		Not relevant.	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			The product is	
			not solid.	
Self-heating	waived		Not relevant	
substances and	···a···ca		the product is	
mixtures			not a solid.	
mixtures			None of the	
			components are	
			classified/	
			reported as	
			self-heating.	
Substances and	waived		None of the	
mixtures which in	waiveu			
			components of	
contact with water emit flammable			the product contain metals	
gases			or metalloids	
			and experience	
			in handling,	
			producing and	
			storage shows	
			the product	
			does not react	
			with water.	
Oxidising liquids	waived		Consideration	
			of structure and	
			physical-	
			chemical	
			properties of	
			the components	
			does not	
			suggest any	
			oxidising	
			potential.	
			Widespread	
			experiments	
			and commercial	
			use over many	
			years has not	
			shown any	
			evidence of	
			these activities.	
Oxidising solids	waived		Not relevant.	
			The product is	
			not solid.	
Organic peroxides	waived		Not relevant.	
- Jan - F - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C			No organic	
			peroxide is	

Property	Guideline and Method	Purity of the test substance (% (w/w)	Results	Reference
			among the components.	
Corrosive to metals	waived	Neither the product is corrosive to metals nor to human skin according to the OECD 404 study results. Based on the scores obtained for erythema and oedema, the reversibility of symptoms and taking into account the provisions CLP criteria, classification of the product for skin irritation and for skin corrosivity is not required.	There are no strongly acidic/basic substances in concentrations that would cause considerable acidity/ alkalinity and consequently initiate the corrosion process.	
Auto-ignition temperatures of products (liquids and gases)	Justification of no submission data		The product is not autoflammable, itc ontains no autoflammable components. Auto-ignition temperature: 363°C (ethyl alcohol) 456°C (isopropyl alcohol)	Data from ICSC card (ICSC 00445, ICSC 0554)
Relative self-ignition temperature for solids	waived		Not relevant. The product is not solid.	
Dust explosion hazard	waived		Not relevant. The product is not solid.	

### **Conclusion on the physical hazards and respective characteristics of the product**

Explosive, oxidising and corrosive properties are not expected according to available data and information.

The product is classified into physical hazard class, Flam. Liq. 2 based on its flash point due to its ethyl-alcohol and isopropyl alcohol content.

### 2.2.4 Methods for detection and identification

Method has been developed and validated for the determination of DEET content of product named: SZUKU mosquito- and tick repellent spray (nominal content: 23%)

Analytical methods for the analysis of the product as such including the active substance, impurities and residues									
Analyte	g g	Fortification range /	Linearity	ij	Recovery rate (%)		e (%)	Limit of	Reference
	Analytical method	Number of measurem ents		Specificit y	Rang	Mean	RSD	quantificati on (LOQ) or other limits	
DEET	GC-FID	100% of the nominal conc. of DEET/five replicates two series	0.8 - 2 mg DEET/mL 6 conc. levels /3 parallel each - four series r <sup>2</sup> : 0.993 - 1.000	no interfering peak	24.6 - 25.4 n=5	100	0.3	0.8 mg DEET /mL	GLP*:

Validation of the Analytical Method for Determination of the Active Ingredient Content of SZUKU folyadék (GLP) Toxi-Coop Zrt., Study no.: 671.199.4450, 14 August 2013

Validation data for DEET demonstrate that this method is suitable for the determination of the active substance content in the product.

According to TAB analytical methods are not required for SoCs that are not formed during the storage and their concentration remains unchanged, so analytical methods for ethyl alcohol and isopropyl alcohol and BHT are not provided as their concentrations are unlikely to change during the storage period.

	Analytical methods for monitoring									
Analyt e	ai lange /			Recovery rate (%)			Limit of quantificati	Referenc e		
	method	Number of measuremen ts			Rang e	Mea n	RS D	on (LOQ) or other limits		
DEE T								-	*	

	Analytical methods for soil									
Analyt Analytic al		Fortification range /	Linearit y	Specificit y	Recovery rate (%)			Limit of quantificati	Referenc e	
	method	Number of measuremen ts			Rang e	Mea n	RS D	on (LOQ) or other limits		
DEE T	LC- MS/MS							0.01 mg/kg	*	

\* Refer to Letter of Access obtained from the manufacturer of the active substance and refer to the CAR of the active substance

	Analytical methods for air									
Analyt Analytic		Fortification range /	Linearit Speci	Specificit y	Recovery rate (%)			Limit of quantificati	Referenc e	
	method	Number of measuremen ts			Rang e	Mea n	RS D	on (LOQ) or other limits		
DEE T	LC- MS/MS,							0.225 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	**	

<sup>\*\*</sup> Post Annex data: A residue analytical method of DEET in air (22 July 2013) owned by Vertellus Performance Materials Inc. was developed: Validation of Methodology for the Determination of Residues in Air (Huntingdon Life Sciences: RQN0001).

	Analytical methods for water								
Analyt e	Analytic al	range /	Linearit y	Specificit y	Recovery rate (%)			Limit of quantificati	Referenc e
	method				Rang e	Mea n	RS D	on (LOQ) or other limits	
DEE T	HPLC- MS/MS							1 ng/L for ground and drinking water	*
								0.1 µg/L for surface water	

<sup>\*</sup> Refer to Letter of Access obtained from the manufacturer of the active substance and refer to the CAR of theactive substance

	Analytical methods for animal and human body fluids and tissues								
Analytic al	range /	Linearit y	Specificit y	Recovery rate (%)			Limit of quantificati	Referenc e	
	method Number of measures ts	measuremen			Rang e	Mea n	RS D	on (LOQ) or other limits	
DEE T	HPLC/ UV							Blood plasma 49.4 µg/L	*

<sup>\*</sup> Refer to Letter of Access obtained from the manufacturer of the active substance and refer to the CAR of theactive substance

Ana	Analytical methods for monitoring of active substances and residues in food and feeding stuff								
Analyt e	alyt Analytic Fortification al range /		Linearit y	Specificit y	Recovery rate (%)			Limit of quantificati	Referenc e
	method	Number of measuremen ts			Rang e	Mea n	RS D	on (LOQ) or other limits	
DEE T									*

\* Not required, as the use pattern of DEET, will not result in any contact with food and feedstuffs of plant origin. Refer to Letter of Access obtained from the manufacturer of the active substance and refer to the CAR of the active substance

### Conclusion on the methods for detection and identification of the product

A GC-FID method for determination of the DEET in the product has been satisfactorily validated against the criteria given in the ECHA Guidance of the BPR.

All validation parameters meet the acceptance criteria.

Monitoring analytical methods in soil, air, water and animal and human body fluid and tissues are covered by the data and information submitted during the active substance approval and deemed acceptable at EU level.

### 2.2.5 Efficacy against target organisms

### 2.2.5.1 Function and field of use

Main group: 3 - Pest control

Product type (PT): 19 (Repellents and attractants)

Function: Repellent

The formulation of SZUKU Mosquito and Tick Repellent Spray will be used to repel mosquitoes and ticks. The amateur user will use the repellent outdoors.

2.2.5.2 Organisms to be controlled and products, organisms or objects to be protected

Culicidae (mosquitoes)
Stage: Imagines (adults)
Ixodidae (hard ticks)
Stages: Adults, nymphs

### 2.2.5.3 Effects on target organisms, including unacceptable suffering

Szuku Mosquito- and Tick Repellent Spray repels blood sucking arthropods (e.g. mosquitoes) upon direct contact with the product. When applied to the skin, it vaporizes to discourage the approach of insects and ticks and consequently protects the skin from bites.

### **Evaluation of possible insecticide effect by HUCA:**

According to chapter 5.6.5.1.3.8. of the Technical Notes on Guidance (Efficacy) v4.1. 2022 February, non-insecticidal effect of the repellent product should be investigated. This product contains DEET, which is only approved in PT 19. Therefore a literature search was performed in the borwser with keywords "N, N-diethyl-m-toluamide" or "DEET", and "insecticide" or "insecticidal" or "effect" or "mode of action".

Some studies shown that DEET exerts an insecticidal effect to mosquitoes when sprayed on walls [1.] and on filter paper laboratory assays [2.]. An insecticidal effect was also observed for I. ricinus ticks and mosquitoes when they were in contact with DEET-impregnated bed nets [3.]. However, in the submitted efficacy tests, no other adverse effect was reported besides repellence.

In the referred studies, insects contacted the treated surfaces for prolonged periods. We think that the insecticidal mode of action of DEET in this product is irrelevant, because the product is used outdoors, and as seen in the efficacy studies, during the effective period,

mosquitoes may only land for a second on the skin and ticks choose not to crawl on the treated skin. Therefore, there is not enough contact time with the substance to reach an effective insecticidal dose in the insects and ticks. Furthermore, effectiveness of DEET in topical repellents was tested in many product formulations for decades without any observed evidence of insecticidal effect. Therefore, we conclude that the insecticidal effect of this product, when used according to the instructions, can be excluded.

- [1.] Kitau, J., Oxborough, R., Matowo, J. et al. Indoor residual spraying with microencapsulated DEET repellent (N, N-diethyl-m-toluamide) for control of Anopheles arabiensis and Culex quinquefasciatus. Parasites Vectors **7**, 446 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-7-446
- [2.] Licciardi S, Herve JP, Darriet F, Hougard JM, Corbel V. Lethal and behavioural effects of three synthetic repellents (DEET, IR3535 and KBR 3023) on Aedes aegypti mosquitoes in laboratory assays. Med Vet Entomol. 2006 Sep;20(3):288-93. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2915.2006.00630.x. PMID: 17044879.
- [3.] Faulde MK, Albiez G, Nehring O. Insecticidal, acaricidal and repellent effects of DEET-and IR3535-impregnated bed nets using a novel long-lasting polymer-coating technique. Parasitol Res. 2010 Mar;106(4):957-65. doi: 10.1007/s00436-010-1749-6. Epub 2010 Feb 17. PMID: 20162432.

### 2.2.5.4 Mode of action, including time delay

The product repels arthropods upon direct contact; the exact mode of action is still not completely clarified. A theory suggests that DEET interferes with and masks the olfactory system of target organisms. Some results show that repellency is a matter of direct detection leading to mosquitoes smelling and avoiding DEET (Syed and Leal 2008). The mode of action of DEET is still under discussion. Direct toxic effects on target organisms could not be observed. There is no time delay for effectiveness after application, the product provides protection instantly.

The mode of action is determined by the active substance DEET. The incorporation of the active substance into the biocidal product does not alter the mode of action or time delay. Thus, these items are covered by Doc II-A, Section 2.4 of the Competent Authority Report (CAR) on DEET (PT 19) prepared according to Art. 11(2) of Directive 98/8/EC by the Rapporteur Member State Sweden.

### 2.2.5.5 Efficacy data

[Please include here any experimental data on the efficacy of the active substance against target organism(s).]

Expe	Experimental data on the efficacy of the biocidal product against target organism(s)								
Functio n	Field of use envisage d	Test substanc e	Test organism(s	Test method	Test system / concentration s applied / exposure time	Test results: effects	Reference		
repellent	topical	SZUKU	(Aedes	Laboratory	Duration:	The first	MATE		
	application	mosquito-	eagypti L.)	test.	exposure for a	confirmed	Hungarian		
	on skin	and tick		Repellence	7-hour period;	events	University of		
		repellent	Laboratory-	test.	subjects	occurred at	Agriculture		
		liquid	bred wild		exposed for 5	6 hours for	and Life		
			strain (5-9	Application of	minutes every	6 of the	Sciences,		

1			days old	the test item:	30 minutes.	volunteers.	Department
			adult	Test area of	Concentration		of Easterise leave
			females)	the volunteer's arm washed	Concentration applied: 1 g		Ecotoxicology , Agro-
				with soap and	product / 600		environmenta
				rinsed with	cm2 of exposed		I Research
				water, then	area.		Centre,
				dried with and			Institute of
				uncontaminate	Conditioning		Environmenta
				d cloth towel. A latex glove	Conditioning period:		l Science
				protected the	mosquitoes		Data owner:
				hands of test	were reared		METATOX Kft.
				subjects.	under optimal		
				01	conditions		
				Observation period: after	(26°C ±2°C, 80% relative		
				application of	humidity, 16:8		
				the test item,	light:dark		
				treated arm	photoperiod)		
				was inserted			
				into the cage			
				and was exposed for 5			
				minutes to			
				determined			
				landing and /			
				or probing. All such			
				activities			
				recorded.			
				Attempted			
				biting on the			
				latex glove was			
				excluded from			
1				the test This			
				the test. This			
				the test. This procedure was repeated at 30			
				procedure was repeated at 30 minutes			
				procedure was repeated at 30			
Functio	Field of	Test	Test	procedure was repeated at 30 minutes	Test system /	Test	Reference
Functio n	use	substanc	organism(s	procedure was repeated at 30 minutes intervals.	concentration	results:	Reference
	use envisage			procedure was repeated at 30 minutes intervals.	concentration s applied /		Reference
n	use envisage d	substanc e	organism(s )	procedure was repeated at 30 minutes intervals.  Test method	concentration s applied / exposure time	results: effects	
	use envisage d	substanc	organism(s	procedure was repeated at 30 minutes intervals.  Test method  Laboratory test.	concentration s applied /	results:	
n	use envisage d topical	substanc e SZUKU mosquito- and tick	organism(s )  field- collected ticks, unfed	procedure was repeated at 30 minutes intervals.  Test method  Laboratory test. Repellence	concentration s applied / exposure time Duration: 8- hour period; subjects	results: effects  The SZUKU mosquito- and tick-	MATE Hungarian University of
n	use envisage d topical application	substanc e SZUKU mosquito- and tick repellent	field-collected ticks, unfed adult	procedure was repeated at 30 minutes intervals.  Test method  Laboratory test.	concentration s applied / exposure time Duration: 8- hour period; subjects exposed for 5	results: effects  The SZUKU mosquito- and tick- repellent	MATE Hungarian University of Agriculture
n	use envisage d topical application	substanc e SZUKU mosquito- and tick repellent liquid	field-collected ticks, unfed adult females and	procedure was repeated at 30 minutes intervals.  Test method  Laboratory test. Repellence test.	concentration s applied / exposure time Duration: 8- hour period; subjects exposed for 5 minutes every	results: effects  The SZUKU mosquito- and tick- repellent liquid	MATE Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life
n	use envisage d topical application	substanc e SZUKU mosquito- and tick repellent	field-collected ticks, unfed adult	procedure was repeated at 30 minutes intervals.  Test method  Laboratory test. Repellence	concentration s applied / exposure time Duration: 8- hour period; subjects exposed for 5	results: effects  The SZUKU mosquito- and tick- repellent	MATE Hungarian University of Agriculture
n	use envisage d topical application	substanc e SZUKU mosquito- and tick repellent liquid	field-collected ticks, unfed adult females and nymphs	procedure was repeated at 30 minutes intervals.  Test method  Laboratory test. Repellence test.  Application of	concentration s applied / exposure time Duration: 8- hour period; subjects exposed for 5 minutes every	results: effects  The SZUKU mosquito- and tick- repellent liquid (23%	MATE Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences,
n	use envisage d topical application	substanc e SZUKU mosquito- and tick repellent liquid	field-collected ticks, unfed adult females and nymphs	procedure was repeated at 30 minutes intervals.  Test method  Laboratory test. Repellence test.  Application of the test item: Test area of the volunteer's	concentration s applied / exposure time Duration: 8- hour period; subjects exposed for 5 minutes every hour.  Concentration applied: 1 g	results: effects  The SZUKU mosquito- and tick- repellent liquid (23% DEET) was effective in an average	MATE Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Department of Ecotoxicology
n	use envisage d topical application	substanc e SZUKU mosquito- and tick repellent liquid	field-collected ticks, unfed adult females and nymphs	procedure was repeated at 30 minutes intervals.  Test method  Laboratory test. Repellence test.  Application of the test item: Test area of the volunteer's arm washed	concentration s applied / exposure time Duration: 8- hour period; subjects exposed for 5 minutes every hour.  Concentration applied: 1 g product / 600	results: effects  The SZUKU mosquito- and tick- repellent liquid (23% DEET) was effective in an average of 94.7%	MATE Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Department of Ecotoxicology , Agro-
n	use envisage d topical application	substanc e SZUKU mosquito- and tick repellent liquid	field-collected ticks, unfed adult females and nymphs	procedure was repeated at 30 minutes intervals.  Test method  Laboratory test. Repellence test.  Application of the test item: Test area of the volunteer's arm washed with soap and	concentration s applied / exposure time Duration: 8- hour period; subjects exposed for 5 minutes every hour.  Concentration applied: 1 g product / 600 cm2 of exposed	results: effects  The SZUKU mosquito- and tick- repellent liquid (23% DEET) was effective in an average of 94.7% and 86%	MATE Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Department of Ecotoxicology , Agro- environmenta
n	use envisage d topical application	substanc e SZUKU mosquito- and tick repellent liquid	field-collected ticks, unfed adult females and nymphs	procedure was repeated at 30 minutes intervals.  Test method  Laboratory test. Repellence test.  Application of the test item: Test area of the volunteer's arm washed with soap and rinsed with	concentration s applied / exposure time Duration: 8- hour period; subjects exposed for 5 minutes every hour.  Concentration applied: 1 g product / 600	results: effects  The SZUKU mosquito- and tick- repellent liquid (23% DEET) was effective in an average of 94.7% and 86% of tick	MATE Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Department of Ecotoxicology , Agro- environmenta I Research
n	use envisage d topical application	substanc e SZUKU mosquito- and tick repellent liquid	field-collected ticks, unfed adult females and nymphs	procedure was repeated at 30 minutes intervals.  Test method  Laboratory test. Repellence test.  Application of the test item: Test area of the volunteer's arm washed with soap and	concentration s applied / exposure time Duration: 8- hour period; subjects exposed for 5 minutes every hour.  Concentration applied: 1 g product / 600 cm2 of exposed	results: effects  The SZUKU mosquito- and tick- repellent liquid (23% DEET) was effective in an average of 94.7% and 86%	MATE Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Department of Ecotoxicology , Agro- environmenta
n	use envisage d topical application	substanc e SZUKU mosquito- and tick repellent liquid	field-collected ticks, unfed adult females and nymphs	procedure was repeated at 30 minutes intervals.  Test method  Laboratory test. Repellence test.  Application of the test item: Test area of the volunteer's arm washed with soap and rinsed with water, then dried with and uncontaminate	concentration s applied / exposure time  Duration: 8-hour period; subjects exposed for 5 minutes every hour.  Concentration applied: 1 g product / 600 cm2 of exposed area.  Temperature: 22.1 °C - 24.8	results: effects  The SZUKU mosquito- and tick- repellent liquid (23% DEET) was effective in an average of 94.7% and 86% of tick tests within 3 and 5 hours,	MATE Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Department of Ecotoxicology , Agro- environmenta I Research Centre,
n	use envisage d topical application	substanc e SZUKU mosquito- and tick repellent liquid	field-collected ticks, unfed adult females and nymphs	procedure was repeated at 30 minutes intervals.  Test method  Laboratory test. Repellence test.  Application of the test item: Test area of the volunteer's arm washed with soap and rinsed with water, then dried with and uncontaminate d cloth towel. A	concentration s applied / exposure time  Duration: 8- hour period; subjects exposed for 5 minutes every hour.  Concentration applied: 1 g product / 600 cm2 of exposed area.  Temperature: 22.1 °C - 24.8 °C	results: effects  The SZUKU mosquito- and tick- repellent liquid (23% DEET) was effective in an average of 94.7% and 86% of tick tests within 3 and 5 hours, respectivel	MATE Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Department of Ecotoxicology , Agro- environmenta I Research Centre, Institute of
n	use envisage d topical application	substanc e SZUKU mosquito- and tick repellent liquid	field-collected ticks, unfed adult females and nymphs	procedure was repeated at 30 minutes intervals.  Test method  Laboratory test. Repellence test.  Application of the test item: Test area of the volunteer's arm washed with soap and rinsed with water, then dried with and uncontaminate d cloth towel. A latex glove	concentration s applied / exposure time  Duration: 8- hour period; subjects exposed for 5 minutes every hour.  Concentration applied: 1 g product / 600 cm2 of exposed area.  Temperature: 22.1 °C - 24.8 °C relative	results: effects  The SZUKU mosquito- and tick- repellent liquid (23% DEET) was effective in an average of 94.7% and 86% of tick tests within 3 and 5 hours,	MATE Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Department of Ecotoxicology , Agro- environmenta I Research Centre, Institute of Environmenta I Science
n	use envisage d topical application	substanc e SZUKU mosquito- and tick repellent liquid	field-collected ticks, unfed adult females and nymphs	procedure was repeated at 30 minutes intervals.  Test method  Laboratory test. Repellence test.  Application of the test item: Test area of the volunteer's arm washed with soap and rinsed with soap and rinsed with and uncontaminate d cloth towel. A latex glove protected the	concentration s applied / exposure time  Duration: 8- hour period; subjects exposed for 5 minutes every hour.  Concentration applied: 1 g product / 600 cm2 of exposed area.  Temperature: 22.1 °C - 24.8 °C relative humidity: 60-	results: effects  The SZUKU mosquito- and tick- repellent liquid (23% DEET) was effective in an average of 94.7% and 86% of tick tests within 3 and 5 hours, respectivel	MATE Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Department of Ecotoxicology , Agro- environmenta I Research Centre, Institute of Environmenta I Science Data owner:
n	use envisage d topical application	substanc e SZUKU mosquito- and tick repellent liquid	field-collected ticks, unfed adult females and nymphs	procedure was repeated at 30 minutes intervals.  Test method  Laboratory test. Repellence test.  Application of the test item: Test area of the volunteer's arm washed with soap and rinsed with water, then dried with and uncontaminate d cloth towel. A latex glove	concentration s applied / exposure time  Duration: 8- hour period; subjects exposed for 5 minutes every hour.  Concentration applied: 1 g product / 600 cm2 of exposed area.  Temperature: 22.1 °C - 24.8 °C relative	results: effects  The SZUKU mosquito- and tick- repellent liquid (23% DEET) was effective in an average of 94.7% and 86% of tick tests within 3 and 5 hours, respectivel	MATE Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Department of Ecotoxicology , Agro- environmenta I Research Centre, Institute of Environmenta I Science
n	use envisage d topical application	substanc e SZUKU mosquito- and tick repellent liquid	field-collected ticks, unfed adult females and nymphs	procedure was repeated at 30 minutes intervals.  Test method  Laboratory test. Repellence test.  Application of the test item: Test area of the volunteer's arm washed with soap and rinsed with water, then dried with and uncontaminate d cloth towel. A latex glove protected the hands of test	concentration s applied / exposure time  Duration: 8- hour period; subjects exposed for 5 minutes every hour.  Concentration applied: 1 g product / 600 cm2 of exposed area.  Temperature: 22.1 °C - 24.8 °C relative humidity: 60-	results: effects  The SZUKU mosquito- and tick- repellent liquid (23% DEET) was effective in an average of 94.7% and 86% of tick tests within 3 and 5 hours, respectivel	MATE Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Department of Ecotoxicology , Agro- environmenta I Research Centre, Institute of Environmenta I Science Data owner:
n	use envisage d topical application	substanc e SZUKU mosquito- and tick repellent liquid	field-collected ticks, unfed adult females and nymphs	procedure was repeated at 30 minutes intervals.  Test method  Laboratory test. Repellence test.  Application of the test item: Test area of the volunteer's arm washed with soap and rinsed with water, then dried with and uncontaminate d cloth towel. A latex glove protected the hands of test	concentration s applied / exposure time  Duration: 8- hour period; subjects exposed for 5 minutes every hour.  Concentration applied: 1 g product / 600 cm2 of exposed area.  Temperature: 22.1 °C - 24.8 °C relative humidity: 60-	results: effects  The SZUKU mosquito- and tick- repellent liquid (23% DEET) was effective in an average of 94.7% and 86% of tick tests within 3 and 5 hours, respectivel	MATE Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Department of Ecotoxicology , Agro- environmenta I Research Centre, Institute of Environmenta I Science Data owner:

period on the treated arm of	placed on the untreated control arm then 5 ticks showing questing activity were used for each observation
the volunteer.	period on the treated arm of

<sup>\*</sup> The name of the product in the efficacy study was SZUKU mosquito- and tick repellent liquid. At some point the study refers to the product as SZUKU mosquito- and tick repellent aerosol. For clarity, the study was performed with the current SZUKU mosquito- and tick repellent spray, only different names were used in the study record.

### Conclusion on the efficacy of the product

conclusion by HUCA:

We are aware that the submitted arm-in-cage study against mosquitoes does not meet all requirements of the Technical Notes on Guidance (Efficacy) v4.1. 2022 February. The product was tested for only 1 representative species of mosquitoes.

Taking into consideration document CA-July12-Doc.6.2d – Final, "In the case of an application for a first authorisation, the default cut-off date should be the two years before the date of submission of the application." The application was submitted in July 2021, months before the issue of the guidance, therefore we do not require the applicant to comply with the 2022 guidance. We performed this evaluation according to the principles of the 2018 v3.0 guidance. However, for the future renewal of the authorisation, we will re-evaluate the compliance to subsequent guidances.

The studies have demonstrated that the product effectively repels mosquitoes for **330 minutes = 5.5 hours** (Complete Protection Time against mosquitoes: 5.5 hours).

The test against Ixodes ricinus reported effectiveness in an average of 94.7% and 86% within 3 and 5 hours, respectively. We calculated the protection time (CPT) of 1-4 hours for the volunteers separately. No outliers were excluded. We tested the dataset for all 10 volunteers' individual CPTs for normal distribution. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and the D'Agostino-Pearson test was performed with a significance level set to 0.05. None of the two tests indicated a significant difference from the normal distribution. Therefore we accept the median CPT (3 hours) as a result. (For further information, calculation tables are embedded in the confidential annex) **The product repels ticks for 3 hours.** 

### 2.2.5.6 Occurrence of resistance and resistance management

Resistance to the product is not known and not expected, since there is only low selection pressure; the arthropods are repelled but not killed (ie. in case of insecticides the survivals pass the resistance genes).

There are many other host species available for these arthropods.

Furthermore, for mosquitoes, due to the continental climate, the breeding season is relatively short which means a smaller number of generations and less potential of inheritance of any genetic modifications.

European and international literature gives no scientific evidence on resistance in spite of a fact, that DEET compositions are in use since the past 50 years.

Therefore, it is considered unnecessary to take actions to prevent development of resistance by target organisms.

Prevention of resistance: there are not known cases of resistance in the environment.

#### 2.2.5.7 Known limitations

To reduce exposure, if possible, wear long-legged trousers and long-sleeved outerwear in outdoors.

Only treat skin surfaces exposed to insect bites that are not covered by clothing.

Pregnant and lactating women should avoid using the product if possible.

### 2.2.5.8 Evaluation of the label claims

### The following label claims were accepted by HUCA:

The product may be used by members of the general public outdoors in order to repel mosquitoes and ticks.

The recommended dose is 1g product / 600 cm<sup>2</sup> of skin.

The product provides a 5.5 hour (330 minutes) protection time against mosquitoes and a 3 hour protection time against ticks.

2.2.5.9 Relevant information if the product is intended to be authorised for use with other biocidal product(s)

The product is not to be used with other (biocidal) products.

#### 2.2.6 Risk assessment for human health

(The following data are based on the Annex I inclusion dossier of DEET)

The absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion studies (ADME) in rats show that orally administered DEET is absorbed almost completely, and 74-91% of it was excreted in the urine and 3-7% in the feces. DEET showed no evidence for accumulation. The dermal absorption of DEET occurred at a slower rate than oral absorption (peak plasma concentration  $\geq 4$  hr vs. <1 hr, respectively). 74-78% of the administered radioactivity was excreted via urine and about 3-7% was excreted via the faeces. DEET was metabolised completely in all oral and dermal treatment groups with little or no parent compound excreted in the urine. DEET is extensively metabolized to 2 major metabolites, m-[(N,N-diethylamino)carbonyl] benzoic acid and m-[(ethylamino)carbonyl] benzoic acid. Penetration through human skin is much slower and less extensive (peak plasma concentration  $\geq 8$  hr), it is metabolised completely, and excreted rapidly when applied to human skin. Less than 20% (when corrected for total recovery) of a dermally applied dose of DEET as a 15% (w/w) solution in ethanol is absorbed through the skin during an 8-hour exposure period. Dermal absorption is 11% for the undiluted technical grade material.

The acute toxicity studies show that the oral  $LD_{50}$  for DEET warrants a classification as H302, harmful if swallowed. The rabbit acute dermal  $LD_{50}$  of DEET is greater than 2000 mg/kg and the rodent acute dermal  $LD_{50}$  is > 5000 mg/kg. The acute inhalation  $LD_{50}$  of DEET is greater than 2.02 mg/L (the highest concentration tested), which is lower than the upper EU classification limit acute toxicity category 4 according to GHS and the recommended highest dose according to the OECD guideline. However, in light of animal welfare consideration, testing of animals at higher doses was not considered warranted since inhalation exposure to the product is considered negligible. Even if no mortality was observed at the limit dose tested (2.02 mg/l/4h), it can not be fully ensured that the  $LC_{50}$  would be > 5mg/l/4h. The classification into acute inhalation hazard class can therefore not be fully ruled out based on this test.

DEET is slightly irritating to the skin. However, repeated dose studies (dermal) in pigs and rats showed that repeated dermal dosing resulted in dermal irritation at all doses tested and remained at study end. A classification as irritating to eyes is not warranted based on the results in the eye irritation test. However, the mean score for corneal opacity is 1 for three animals at 24, 48 h and 72 h, and warrants a classification as Eye Irrit 2 – H319 according to the GHS.

DEET did not result in a skin sensitisation response in the Buehler test.

Several repeated dose toxicity studies for the oral and dermal route have been submitted for DEET. Male rats were the more sensitive gender to DEET for repeated dose effects. Male rats developed alpha2µ-globulin nephropathy that is considered gender and species specific. This effect was not considered relevant for risk assessment. Clinical signs of neurotoxicity also occurred in dogs shortly after oral dosing. In both rats and dogs decreased body weight was observed after oral dosing with DEET. Dermal application of DEET to rats and minipigs resulted mainly in skin irritation but no systemic toxicity or pathological findings.

DEET showed no genotoxic potential in a battery of *in vitro* tests in bacteria and mammalian cells. DEET did not result in an increase in tumours in rats and mice and was not considered oncogenic in the carcinogenicity studies.

The teratogenicity of DEET was investigated in two species, rat and rabbit. The studies were performed according to the OECD 414 guideline and both studies were preceded by dose finding studies. However the studies were performed prior to the latest revision of the OECD guideline and have therefore some discrepancies compared to the current guideline. The females were treated only during the organogenesis and not until scheduled sacrifice. The studies therefore have some limitations in assessing potential effects during later stages of embryonal development. However considered that the 2-generation study in rats gave no further indications of embryotoxic or teratogenic effects at comparable doses, these studies are considered acceptable for risk assessment purposes.

There were no teratogenic effects observed in the studies up to maternally toxic doses, embryotoxicity was only expressed as decreased foetal body weight (rats).

There were no effects on reproduction in a 2-generation study in rats. Parental males were the more sensitive gender based on kidney effects that were considered species specific and irrelevant for risk assessment to humans. There were no effects on reproduction. The effects observed in females and offspring were reduced body weight, in offspring during later parts of the lactation period.

DEET is used as an arthropod repellent directly applied to the skin. For risk assessment in consumers an AEL $_{\rm repeated}$  of 8.2 mg/kg bw/day is set based on the 90 day dermal study in rats with a NOAEL of 1000 mg/kg bw/day, the highest achievable dose, and using a standard assessment factor of 100 and correction of a dermal absorption of approximately 82% in the rat. It was decided at TM II 2009 to use the dermal study in rats, even though the rat was clearly not the most sensitive species with respect to neurotoxic effects. It was discussed to use an additional factor for correcting for the difference in species sensitivity. At the same time it was also discussed that the assessment factor could be reduced due to the availability of human plasma data and plasma data in both rats and dogs, as well as metabolism data in humans and rats. The use of a standard assessment factor of 100 was therefore considered appropriate.

### 2.2.6.1 Assessment of effects on Human Health

#### Skin corrosion and irritation

The product contains 23% DEET. The other components in the product are either not irritating or present in such a low concentration that they do not have an irritating effect.

An acute skin irritation study of the test item Szuku Mosquito- and Tick Repellent spray was performed in New Zealand White rabbits according to OECD method 404. At 1 hour after exposure very slight erythema (score 1) was observed on all animals. At 24, 48 and 72 hours after exposure rabbits were free of symptoms.

Based on the scores obtained for erythema and oedema, the reversibility of symptoms and taking into account the provisions of criteria of CLP, classification of the test item Szuku mosquito- and tick repellent spray for skin irritation and corrosivity is not required.

Summary table of animal studies on skin corrosion /irritation

Method, Guideline, GLP status, Reliability	Species, Strain, Sex, No/grou p	Test substance, Vehicle, Dose levels, Duration of exposure	Results Average score (24, 48, 72h)/ observations and time point of onset, reversibility; other adverse local / systemic effects, histopathological findings	Remarks (e.g. major deviations)	Referen
OECD 404 GLP 1	Rabbit NZW 3 males	Szuku mosquito- and tick repellent spray, in pure state single dose of 0.5 ml 4 hours	Erythema: 1.33-0.00-0.66 Oedema: 0.00-0.00-0.00  24h after patch removal all animals were free of symptoms	Not irritating	Toxi- Coop Zrt., Piroska Mácsai Kuthy, Acute Skin Irritation Study of Test Item Szuku in Rabbits; 2012 Study nr.: 671.550. 3361

No human data is available.

Conclusion used in F	Conclusion used in Risk Assessment – Skin corrosion and irritation						
Value/conclusion	Not irritating						
Justification for the value/conclusion	Acute skin irritation study of test item by Toxi-Coop Zrt. (attached)						
Classification of the product according to CLP and DSD	Not recessary						

### Eye irritation

An Isolated Chicken Eye Test (ICET) according to OECD method 438 was performed with Szuku Mosquito- and Tick Repellent spray. The test compound was applied in a single dose onto the cornea of isolated chicken eyes in order to evaluate the corrosive and/or severe irritant potential of the substance. Based on the results of the study, the test item is moderately irritant and has no corrosive or severely irritant potential. As no *in vivo* rabbit eye test was submitted a definitive conclusion regarding eye irritating potential cannot be drawn.

Consequently, based on the result of the ICE test and according to the criteria of CLP the product must be classified as H319 Causes serious eye irritation and by the pictogram GHS07.

Summai	y table of i	n vitro studies on	serious eye dama	ge and eye	irritation
Method, Guideline, GLP status, Reliability	Test substanc e, Doses	Relevant information about the study	Results	Remarks (e.g. major deviation s)	Reference
Isolated Chicken Eye Test OECD 438 GLP 1	0.1 g of the test item Szuku Mosquito- and Tick Repellent spray	Positive (Trichloroacetic acid 30 (w/v) %) and negative (NaCl (9g/L saline)) controls showed the expected results. The experiment was considered to be valid.	The mean values of the treated eyes for maximum corneal thickness were 7 and 8% at 75 min and 240 min, respectively. Corneal opacity of 0.00 and fluorescein retention of 2.17. The results suggest that the test item was moderately irritating.	No deviation	Toxi-Coop Zrt., István Buda, Szuku Mosquito- and Tick Repellent spray - In vitro test for eye corrosives and severe irritants in isolated chicken eyes; 2012 Study nr.: 671.549.3529

No human data is available.

Conclusion used in Risk Assessment – Eye irritation						
Value/conclusion	Causes serious eye irritation					
Justification for the	Eye irritation Category 2					
value/conclusion						
Classification of the	Eye Irrit. 2 - H319 Causes serious eye irritation					
product according to	GHS07					
CLP and DSD						

### Respiratory tract irritation

Data waiving	
Information requirement	Study scientifically unjustified.
	No ingredients of the product are classified as STOT SE 3 (H335 - May cause respiratory irritation) in the applied concentration.
Justification	There are valid data available on each of the components in the mixture sufficient to allow classification of the mixture according to the rules laid down in Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (CLP).

Conclusion used in the Risk Assessment – Respiratory tract irritation				
Value/Conclusion	The product is not expected to be irritating to respiratory tract.			
Justification for the conclusion	Estimation by calculation according to Guidance on the Application of the CLP criteria (version 5, July 2017) when data are available for all ingredients or only for some ingredients of the mixture.			
	$\Sigma$ %Category 3 – Respiratory Irritation < 20%. Therefore the product not classified for respiratory irritation			
Classification of the product according to CLP and DSD	No classification needed			

### Skin sensitization

In order to evaluate the sensitising potential of the product, a local lymph node assay was performed by applying the test item on the ears of the test animals. This test allows the quantitative assessment of the lymphoproliferative response to the test substance. No significant, treatment-related effects on the body weights of test animals; no clinical signs and mortality were observed. No erythema was visible in the test animals. Larger than normal lymph nodes were observed in the 10 % test item treated group. The product caused significant lymphoproliferative responses (SI  $\geq$  3) in 50% and 10 % test item treated groups, whereas in the 100 % and 25 % treatment groups the SI values remained below 3. The higher SI values in the 50% and 10 % treatment groups might be the result of an increased absorption rate of the test item mediated by the vehicle. Although no visible sign of irritation was observed during the main test it is considered that the higher proliferation values (around the borderline) observed in case of the formulations might have been caused by a slight irritation as a consequence of an enhancer effect of the vehicle rather than a real sensitisation effect. It is also supported by the fact that the observed effect was not in accordance with a biological dose-related response. Since no SI value above 3 was observed at test concentration of 100% when the test item was used in its marketed form and the proliferation values observed for the formulations in the selected vehicle (AOO) were on the borderline and were not compatible with a biologically relevant dose-response, Szuku Mosquito- and Tick Repellent spray was considered to be a non-sensitiser in the LLNA in accordance with the evaluation criteria of the relevant

guidelines and also considering that the test item is marketed and used in its undiluted form. Classification according to Directive 1272/2008/EC and CLP.

	Summary table of animal studies on skin sensitisation							
Method, Guideline, GLP status, . Reliability	Species, Strain, Sex, No/group	Test substance, Vehicle, Dose levels, duration of exposure Route of exposure (topical/intraderm al, if relevant)	Results (EC3-value or amount of sensitised animals at induction dose); evidence for local or systemic toxicity (time course of onset)	Remarks (e.g. major deviations)	Reference			
Local Lymph Node Assay, OECD 429 GLP 1	Mouse, CBA/Ca, Female 4 animals / groups (28 animals)		100% = 1.2 50% = 2.9	No deviation	Toxi-Coop Zrt., Mária Pénzes, Skin sensitization study: Local lymph node assay of test item Szuku mosquito- and tick repellent spray in mice, 2012 Study nr.: 671.553.3531			

Conclusion used in Risk Assessment – Skin sensitisation			
Value/conclusion	not sensitising		
Justification for the value/conclusion	Toxi-Coop Zrt., Mária Pénzes, Skin sensitization study: Local lymph node assay of test item Szuku mosquito- and tick repellent spray in mice		
Classification of the product according to CLP and DSD	No classification needed		

# Respiratory sensitization (ADS)

Data waiving	
Information	Study scientifically unjustified.
requirement	
	None of ingredient of the product are classified as RESP SENS. 1
	(H334 - May cause allergy or asthma symptoms or breathing
	difficulties if inhaled). Please refer to the confidential annex for
	information on the substances and their concentration.
Justification	There are valid data available on each of the components in the
	mixture sufficient to allow classification of the mixture according to
	the rules laid down in Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (CLP).

Conclusion used in Risk Assessment – Respiratory sensitisation					
Value/conclusion	The product is not expected to cause respiratory sensitisation.				
Justification for the value/conclusion	According to the harmonized classification and labelling of the active substance DEET, it is not a respiratory sensitizer. None of the other ingredients have respiratory sensitization properties.				
Classification of the product according to CLP and DSD	No classification needed.				

# Acute toxicity

Acute toxicity by oral route

Summary table of animal studies on acute oral toxicity								
Method Guideline GLP status, Reliability	Species, Strain, Sex, No/group	Test substance Dose levelsType of administra tion (gavage, in diet, other)	Signs of toxicity (nature, onset, duration, severity, reversibility)	Value LD50	Remarks (e.g. major deviation s)	Reference		
OECD 423 GLP 1	Rat, Crl:(WI)BR female 3/group	Biocidal product 2000 mg/kg bw Gavage	Decreased activity, abnormal gait, incoordination, decreased righting reflex, decreased gripand limb tone, decreased body tone, decreased plantary reflex and dyspnoea, on the treatment day.  No mortality; no clinical signs or abnormalities noted at necropsy.	> 2000 mg/kg bw		Toxi-Coop Zrt., PiroskaMácsai Kuthy, Acute oral toxicity study (acute toxic class method) of test item Szuku Mosquito- and Tick Repellent Spray in rats, 2012 Study nr.: 671.321.3359		

No human data are available.

Value used in the Risk Assessment – Acute oral toxicity					
Value	LD <sub>50</sub> > 2000 mg/kg bw				
Justification for the selected value	Based on the provided study				
Classification of the product according to CLP and DSD	No classification needed				

# Acute toxicity by inhalation

Data waiving	
Information	Study scientifically unjustified.
requirement	
Justification	An acute inhalation study was not deemed necessary due to the physical properties and use pattern of the product. The product is a liquid. The active substance, DEET is not volatile and was not found to be toxic via inhalation route (LD50>2.02 mg/L). As it was accepted in the DEET Annex I inclusion dossier, the mass median aerodynamic diameter (MMAD) of aerosol particles is too large and the sedimentation velocity of the particles is too rapid to pose a significant inhalation hazard for humans.  Furthermore, the product is used mainly outdoors or the use indoors only takes place in the summer in situations where there is a high ventilation rate.

Value used in the Risk Assessment – Acute inhalation toxicity					
Value	The product is not expected to cause inhalation toxicity.				
Justification for	According to the harmonized classification and labelling of the active				
the selected	substance DEET, it is not an inhalatory toxicant. None of the other				
value	ingredients are toxic via inhalation.				
Classification of	No classification needed				
the product					
according to CLP					
and DSD					

#### Acute toxicity by dermal route

	Summary table of animal studies on acute dermal toxicity							
Method, Guideline, GLP status, Reliability	Species, strain, Sex, No/group	Test substance, Vehicle, Dose levels, Surface area	Signs of toxicity (nature, onset, duration, severity, reversibility)	LD50	Remarks (e.g. major deviations )	Reference		
OECD 402 GLP 1	Rat, Hsd.Brl.Han: WIST Preliminary study: females 2/dose,	Szuku mosquito- and tick repellent aerosol Preliminary study: 5, 50, 300 and 2000	Main study: No mortality, no behavioural changes, dermal irritation symptoms or systemic toxic	> 2000 mg/kg bw	-	Toxi-Coop Zrt., PiroskaMác saiKuthy, Acute dermal toxicity study of		

noted at necropsy.
--------------------

Value used in th	Value used in the Risk Assessment – Acute dermal toxicity					
Value	> 2000 mg/kg bw					
Justification for	Based on the provided study					
the selected						
value						
Classification of	No classification needed					
the product						
according to CLP						
and DSD						

#### Information on dermal absorption

Value(s) used in the Risk Assessment – Dermal absorption					
Substance	DEET				
Value(s)*	20%				
Justification for the selected value(s)	No specific data regarding Szuku mosquito- and tick repellent spray formulation is available. Value determined in the CAR of DEET is deemed acceptable, as in the test the concentration of the active substance was similar and the vehicle contained ethanol, which enhances absorption.				

# Available toxicological data relating to non active substance(s) (i.e. substance(s) of concern)

The product contains isopropyl alcohol (IPA) as a solvent, which is an approved biocidal active substance in PT 1, PT 2 and PT 3, and has a harmonised classification as Flam. Liq. 2 – H225, Eye Irrit. 2 – H319 and STOT SE 3 – H336. Thus IPA is considered as substance of concern (SoC) in relation to physical chemical and human-health endpoints. Due to the presence of IPA only in its given concentration, the product would be classified as Eye Irrit 2, but the product is already classified as Eye Irritant Category 2 – H319 because of the active substance. According to the banding evaluation scheme in the SoC Guidance for human heath toxicology eye irritant 2 SoCs belong to Band A for which a quantitative risk assessment is not needed. Appropriate risk mitigation measures in the form of the precautionary (P)-statements associated with the concerned hazard (H)-statements are applied.

The other solvent in the product is ethyl alcohol (ethanol) which is currently evaluated as an active substance in several product types, and it has a harmonised classification as Flam. Liq. 2 – H225. In addition, the manufacturer of ethanol also classified it as Eye Irritant, Category 2 – H319. Thus ethanol is also considered as substance of concern (SoC) in relation to physical chemical and human-health endpoints. Appropriate risk mitigation measures are applied, and a quantitative risk assessment is not needed.

No other substances of concern have been identified in the formulation.

#### Available toxicological data relating to a mixture

There are no other toxicologically relevant data available on the product apart from the ones already presented above.

#### Other

There are no other data available.

#### 2.2.6.2 Exposure assessment

Identification of main paths of human exposure towards active substance(s) and substances of concern from its use in biocidal product

Summary table: relevant paths of human exposure									
	Primary (	direct) expo	sure	Secondary (indirect) exposure					
Exposure path	Industri al use	Profession al use	Non- profession al use	Industri Profession Gener Via al use al use public					
Inhalation	n.a.	n.a.	yes	n.a.	n.a.	no	no		
Dermal	n.a.	n.a.	yes	n.a.	n.a.	no	no		
Oral	n.a.	n.a.	no	n.a.	n.a.	yes	no		

The product is an arthropod repellent which is applied on the skin by users from the general public, typically during outdoor activities. Target organisms are mosquitoes and ticks.

#### Inhalation exposure

The proposed uses of Szuku Mosquito- and Tick Repellent Spray is very similar to the representative product evaluated during Annex I inclusion of the active substance and the assessment of inhalation exposure is in accordance with that for the representative product.

DEET has a vapour pressure of 0.23 Pa (25°C) and a Henry's law constant of 3.93E-3 Pa\*m3/mol, therefore inhalation exposure from vapours is very low. As the product is marketed in a trigger spray bottle, its potential for formation of respirable particles is low.

#### Oral exposure

Oral exposure by hand-to-mouth transfer is not considered to be a significant route of exposure because the smell and taste of DEET acts as a self deterrent against this type of activity. Oral exposure due to hand to mouth behaviour is included in the exposure scenarios in DEET's Annex I inclusion dossier, but only to represent worst case calculations in case no deterrent is added to products. The product contains an ingredient that acts as a strong deterrent for ingestion (denatonium benzoate). However, as the product is applied by hand accidental mouth contact can not be ruled out.

#### Dermal exposure

Szuku Mosquito- and Tick Repellent spray is used on the skin and spread on the skin by hand. Therefore the intended route of exposure is predominantly dermal.

#### List of scenarios

Summary table: scenarios						
Scenario number	Scenario (e.g. mixing/ loading)	Primary or secondary exposure Description of scenario	Exposed group (e.g. professionals, non- professionals, bystanders)			
1.	Spray application of the product (adult)	Primary exposure. The user applies the product on his/her body.	Non-professional			
2.	Spray application of the product (adult, limited)	Primary exposure. The product applied only on the hands, face and neck.	Non-professional			
3.	Spray application of the product (child)	Primary exposure. The adult user applies the product on the skin of a child.	Non-professional			
4.	Hand-to- mouth exposure	Secondary exposure. Eating food (e. g. sandwiches) with contaminated hands.	Non-professional			

### Industrial exposure

The product is not intended for industrial use.

# Professional exposure

The product is not intended for professional use.

# Non-professional exposure

Scenario [1]

# **Description of Scenario [1]**

Szuku Mosquito- and Tick Repellent Spray is applied directly on the bare skin. Therefore, the intended route of exposure is predominantly dermal; oral and inhalation routes are secondary.

The method of assessment is based on the method #3 in the recommendation no. 11 of the Ad hoc Working Group on Human Exposure. Inhalation exposure is calculated via ConsExpo Web, using the RIVM Report 320005002/2006 Pest Control Fact Sheet (non-respirable particles are added to the oral exposure).

The default value for the amount of the insect repellent applied to the skin is based on an analogy with suntan creams and body lotions (Pest Control Products Fact Sheet). According to a study (Bremmer et. al., 2002) 8-10g of these products are used per application, which after a modification with the covered body areas (36% is covered, thus 64% is affected), results in a 6g default value for repellents per application.

These data are rather conservative, as sunscreen needs to be applied in large amounts to be sufficiently protective, and its viscosity tends to be much higher than that of a repellent product, which allows much thicker layers to be applied onto skin.

TER TO THE TERM OF					
	Parameters <sup>1</sup>	Value			
Tier 1	Body weight	60 kg			
	Concentration of the active substance	23%			
	Applied amount	6 g			
	Number of applications per day	1			
	Dermal absorption	20%			
	Spray/exposure duration	6 min			
	Room volume	20 m <sup>3</sup>			
	Room height	2.5 m			
	Ventilation rate	0.6/h			
	Inhalation rate	1.25 m <sup>3</sup> /h			
	Mass generation rate	0.38 g/s			
	Airborne fraction	0.2			
	Density non volatile	1.8			
	Mean event concentration	0.093 mg/m <sup>3</sup>			

#### Calculations for Scenario [1]

1

Summary table: systemic exposure from non-professional uses						
Exposure scenario	Tier/PPE	Estimated inhalation uptake	Estimated dermal uptake	Estimated oral uptake	Estimated total uptake	

Scenario	Tier 1	0.0034	4.6 mg/kg bw	0.039	4.6424 mg/kg
[1]		mg/kg bw			bw

#### Scenario 2

#### **Description of Scenario 2**

In case the user wears a long-sleeved shirt, long trousers and shoes (e.g. hiking in a forest) the product is applied only on the face, neck, hands and part of the lower arms. According to the recommendation no. 14 of the Ad hoc Working Group on Human Exposure the combined surface of these body parts is 2734 cm², which is 16% of the total body surface (16 600 cm²). The applied amount of the product is therefore 1.6 g.

	Parameters	Value
Tier 1	Body weight	60 kg
	Concentration of the active substance	23%
Applied amount		1.6 g
	Number of applications per day	1
	Dermal absorption	20%

#### **Calculations for Scenario 2**

Summary table: systemic exposure from non-professional uses					
Exposure scenario	Tier/PPE	Estimated inhalation uptake	Estimated dermal uptake	Estimated oral uptake	Estimated total uptake
Scenario 2	Tier 1	0.0034 mg/kg bw	1.23 mg/kg bw	0.039	1.2724 mg/kg bw

#### Scenario 3

#### **Description of Scenario 3**

The product can be applied on children (older than two years, as – according to its CAR - DEET is not intended for infants or toddlers; also, they should not apply it themselves, only by adults). The default body surface of 2-6 years old children is  $6800 \text{ cm}^2$  which is 2.44 times smaller than an adult's and assuming a linear relationship between the body surface and the amount of repellent used, their exposure is 2.46 g. For 6-12 years old children the corresponding numbers are  $9200 \text{ cm}^2$ , and 3.33 g.

	Parameters	Value
Tier 1	Body weight (2-6 years)	15.6 kg
	Body weight (6-12 years) 23.9 kg	

Concentration of the active substance	23%
Applied amount (2-6 years)	2.46 g
Applied amount (6-12 years)	3.33 g
Number of applications per day	1
Dermal absorption	20%
Inhalation rate (2-6 years)	1.26 m³/hr
Inhalation rate (6-12 years)	1.32 m³/hr

#### **Calculations for Scenario 3**

Summary table: systemic exposure from non-professional uses						
Exposure scenario	Tier/PPE	Estimated inhalation uptake	Estimated dermal uptake	Estimated oral uptake	Estimated total uptake	
Scenario 3a (children 2-6)	Tier 1	0.013 mg/kg bw	7.25 mg/kg bw	0.15	7.413 mg/kg bw	
Scenario 3b (children 6-12)	Tier 1	0.0091 mg/kg bw	6.41 mg/kg bw	0.1	6.5191 mg/kg bw	

#### Scenario 4

#### **Description of Scenario 4**

Some repellent on the hands can end up on handled food and eventually ingested. It is assumed that 50% of product on the palms and fingers is rubbed off and available for ingestion.

For adults the surface of palms and fingers is  $410~\rm cm^2$ , which is 2,47% of their total body area (see recommendation No. 14 of HEAadhoc). The amount of repellent on this surface is  $247~\rm mg$  (taking into consideration  $10~\rm g$  of exposure of total body area) and  $123.5~\rm mg$  is ingested.

For children 2-6 years old the corresponding data are  $165.5 \text{ cm}^2$  and 100 mg (50 mg is ingested).

For children 6-12 years old the hand surface is 213.9 cm<sup>2</sup> and the product amount on them is 129 (64.5) mg.

	Parameters	Value
	Body weight (adult)	60 kg
Tier 1	Body weight (2-6 years)	15.6 kg
	Body weight (6-12 years)	23.9 kg
	Concentration of the active substance	23%
	Ingested amount (adult)	123.5
	Ingested amount (2-6 years)	50

Applied amount (6-12 years)	64.5
Number of applications per day	1
Oral absorption	100%

### **Calculations for Scenario 4**

Summary table: systemic exposure from non-professional uses						
Exposure scenario	Tier/PPE	Estimated inhalation uptake	Estimated dermal uptake	Estimated oral uptake	Estimated total uptake	
Scenario 4a (adult)	Tier 1	NA	NA	0.47 mg/kg bw	0.47 mg/kg bw	
Scenario 4b (children 2-6)	Tier 1	NA	NA	0.74 mg/kg bw	0.74 mg/kg bw	
Scenario 4c (children 6-12)	Tier1	NA	NA	0.62 mg/kg bw	0.62 mg/kg bw	

# Combined scenarios

Summ	Summary table: combined systemic exposure from non-professional uses						
Scenarios combined	Estimated inhalation uptake	Estimated dermal uptake	Estimated oral uptake	Estimated total uptake			
Scenarios 1+4a	0.0034 mg/kg bw	4.6 mg/kg bw	0.509 mg/kg bw	5.1124 mg/kg bw			
Scenarios 2+4a	0.0034 mg/kg bw	1.23 mg/kg bw	0.509 mg/kg bw	1.7424 mg/kg bw			
Scenarios 3a+4b	0.013 mg/kg bw	7.25 mg/kg bw	0.89 mg/kg bw	8.153 mg/kg bw			
Scenarios 3b+4c	0.0091 mg/kg bw	6.41 mg/kg bw	0.72 mg/kg bw	7.1391 mg/kg bw			

# Exposure of the general public

See exposure assessment of non-professionals.

# Monitoring data

[Please add any information on surveys or studies with the actual product or with a surrogate.]

#### Dietary exposure

Food can be contaminated with the biocidal product (for exposure assessment see Scenario 4 of non-professionals). Other dietary exposure (animal husbandry, food industry etc.) is not foreseen.

#### Information of non-biocidal use of the active substance

There are no non-biocidal uses of the active substance DEET.

#### Estimating Livestock Exposure to Active Substances used in Biocidal Products

Livestock exposure is not foreseen.

# <u>Estimating transfer of biocidal active substances into foods as a result of professional and/or industrial application(s)</u>

The product has no professional/industrial uses; there is no potential for transfer of the biocidal active substance into food as a result of professional/industrial use.

# <u>Estimating transfer of biocidal active substances into foods as a result of non-</u>professional use

The use of the product does not pose a dietary risk to the consumer.

# Exposure associated with production, formulation and disposal of the biocidal product

Production and formulation of the biocidal product are not within the scope of BPR, and therefore, have not been addressed within this dossier as other legislation applies.

### Summary of exposure assessment

Scenarios and values to be used in risk assessment			
Scenario number	Exposed group (e.g. professionals, non- professionals, bystanders)	Tier/PPE	Estimated total uptake
1.	non-professionals	Tier 1	4.6424 mg/kg bw
2.	non-professionals	Tier 1	1.2724 mg/kg bw
3a	non-professionals	Tier 1	7.413 mg/kg bw
3b	non-professionals	Tier 1	6.5191 mg/kg bw
4a	non-professionals	Tier 1	0.47 mg/kg bw
4b	non-professionals	Tier 1	0.74 mg/kg bw
4c	non-professionals	Tier 1	0.62 mg/kg bw

#### 2.2.6.3 Risk characterisation for human health

#### Reference values to be used in Risk Characterisation

Reference	Study	NOAEL (LOAEL)	AF <sup>1</sup>	Correction for oral absorption	Value
AELshort-term (oral)	8-week oral capsule study in dogs	75 mg/kg bw/d	100	-	0.75
AELlong-term	90 day dermal rat study	1000 mg/kg bw/d	100	-	8.2*

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Standard assessment factor

#### Maximum residue limits or equivalent

In 2018, it was agreed that MRLs would not be established, instead the following 'reference values for intra EU trade' were established (SCoPAFF (section Novel Food and Toxicological Safety of the Food Chain) 17 September 2018):

- Pine nut kernels 0.5 mg/kg
- Berries and small fruits except grapes 0.1 mg/kg
- Wild fungi 1.0 mg/kg
- Herbal infusions from flowers and leaves 0.3 mg/kg
- Spices 0.5 mg/kg

#### Risk for industrial users

Szuku Mosquito- and Tick Repellent Spray is intended for non-professional use only.

#### Risk for professional users

Szuku Mosquito- and Tick Repellent Spray is intended for non-professional use only.

#### Risk for non-professional users

#### **Systemic effects**

Task/ Scenario	Tier	Systemic NOAEL mg/kg bw/d	AEL mg/kg bw/d	Estimated uptake mg/kg bw/d	Estimated uptake/ AEL (%)	Acceptable (yes/no)
Scenario 1	Tier 1	1000	8.2	4.6424	56.6	Yes
Scenario 2	Tier 1	1000	8.2	1.2724	15.52	Yes
Scenario 3a	Tier 1	1000	8.2	7.413	90.4	Yes
Scenario 3b	Tier 1	1000	8.2	6.5191	79.5	Yes
Scenario 4a	Tier 1	1000	8.2	0.47	5.73	Yes
Scenario 4b	Tier 1	1000	8.2	0.74	9.02	Yes
Scenario 4c	Tier 1	1000	8.2	0.62	7.56	Yes

<sup>\*</sup> Corrected for a dermal absorption of approximately 82% in the rat

#### **Combined scenarios**

Scenarios combined	Tier	Systemic NOAEL mg/kg bw/d	AEL mg/kg bw/d	Estimated uptake mg/kg bw/d	Estimated uptake/ AEL (%)	Acceptable (yes/no)
Scenario 1+4a	Tier 1		8.2	5.1124	62.35	Yes
Scenarios 2+4a	Tier 1		8.2	1.7424	21.25%	Yes
Scenario 3a+4b	Tier 1		8.2	8.153	99.43	Yes
Scenario 3b+4c	Tier 1		8.2	7.1391	87.06	Yes

#### **Local effects**

The product is classified as an eye irritant. Consideration of local effects is presented below.

Hazard (	Hazard category		Exp	osure		Ri	sk
Hazard category	Effects in terms of C&L	Who is expose d	Tasks, uses, processes	Potential exposur es route	Frequency and duration of potential exposure	Relevant RMM & PPE	Conclusion on risk
low	Eye irrit. Cat 2, H319	Non- profess ionals	Applicatio n of product	Skin, Eye (hand to eye transfer)	One applicatio n /day	labelling as eye irritant instructions for use (applied by adults only) No potential for splashes Washing of hands after use	Acceptable: + reversible effect + low potential for eye exposure

#### Conclusion

The risk to non-professionals is acceptable with some risk management measures. In line with HEAdhoc recommendation 11, specific labelling instructions for adults, adolescents ( $\geq$  12 years) and children (< 12 years) are required.

• For adults: one application per day is considered safe with standard use pattern: the product is applied to the face, neck, shoulders, upper and lower arms, hands, upper and lower legs, feet.

- On limited body surface (face, neck, lower arms, hands) Szuku Mosquito- and Tick Repellent Spray is safe to use up to four times a day. It should be noted, that according to the conclusions of the CG-16 meeting, wearing long-sleeved shirts and trousers is not considered to be an acceptable RMM to reduce the exposure to repellents to acceptable levels; in this case it is considered only an alternative way to the main RMM of one application/day.
- For children between 2 and 6 years: Use of product once per day is safe. However, when we take into account the possibility of hand-to-mouth behaviour, the risk is very close to the maximum safe level. In order to reduce the risk, additional RMMs should be used, as adding a bittering agent (Bitrex) to the product to reduce ingestion and the repellent must be labelled according to the following: it must be applied only by adults; do not apply to children's palms; keep out of reach of children. In addition, the label should direct adults to wash their hands following application.
- For children more than 6 years of age: Use of the Szuku Mosquito- and Tick Repellent Spray once per day is considered safe.

#### Risk for the general public

See section of non-professional users.

#### Risk for consumers via residues in food

Dietary exposure to the product is not foreseen.

### Risk characterisation from combined exposure to several active substances or substances of concern within a biocidal product

The product contains only one active substance.

#### 2.2.7 Risk assessment for animal health

Animals are not expected to be exposed from the proposed use.

#### 2.2.8 Risk assessment for the environment

No new environmental related studies have been submitted for the product. The ecotoxicologically relevant constituents in the Szuku mosquito- and tick repellent spray are the active substance N,N-diethyl-meta-toluamide (DEET) and the 2,6-di-tert-butyl-p-cresol (butylated hydroxy toluene; BHT; CAS: 128-37-0) as a substance of concern.

#### 2.2.8.1 Effects assessment on the environment

The ecotoxicological properties of the active substance was taken from the Competent Authority Report of DEET. No other ecotoxicological studies have been submitted for the product. BHT.is identified as a substance of concern and its environmental fate and ecotoxicological data were taken from the associated Reach Registration dossier.

N,N-diethyl-meta-toluamide (DEET)

The freshwater PNEC is based on the  $ErC_{50}$  of 43 mg/L obtained in an acute toxicity test with algae (*Selenastrum capricornutum*).

The active substance had only a minor inhibitory effect on the respiration rate of aquatic microorganisms in activated sludge.

There are no ecotoxicity results available for freshwater sediment organisms exposed through the sediment. In addition, the low Koc value indicates that sorption to sediment is low. Therefore, the PNEC for sediment was calculated using the equilibrium partitioning method based on  $PNEC_{water}$ .

The PNEC derivation for soil is based on the equilibrium partitioning method and according to the Assessment Report of DEET, it has an 0.0379 mg/kg wet weight.

The Assessment Report summarises that the low BCF values suggest that DEET has a low bioaccumulation potential. Therefore, no risk of secondary poisoning via ingestion of potentially contaminated food by birds or mammals was identified.

The PNEC values used for the risk assessment are summarised in the following table.

Compartment	Test results	PNEC
Surface water	ErC50= 43 mg/L acute algae test	0.043 mg/L

	(Selenastrum capricornutum)	(AF: 1000)
CTD microorganisms	EC50 > 1000 mg/L	10 mg/L
STP microorganisms	respiration inhibition test	(AF: 100)
Sediment	equilibrium partitioning	0.0741 mg/kg ww
Soil	equilibrium partitioning	0.0379 mg/kg ww

2,6-di-tert-butyl-p-cresol (CAS: 128-37-0) as substance of concern

	Summar	y table for a	aquatic toxicity		
Guideline/Test	Species	End point	Duration	Result	Reference
method/GLP					
status/reliability					
Fish					
OECD 203 GLP	Oryzias latipes	LC50	96h - acute	1.1 mg/L	Registration Dossier
OECD 210 GLP	Oryzias latipes	NOEC	30d - chronic	0.053 mg/L	Registration Dossier
Invertabrates					
Invertebrates	Danhnia magna	EC50	10h	0.40 //	Desistration
OECD 202	Daphnia magna		48h – acute	0.48 mg/L	Registration Dossier
OECD 202 GLP	Daphnia magna	LC50	48h – acute	0.84 mg/L	Registration Dossier
OECD 211 GLP	Daphnia magna	NOEC	21d - chronic	0.069 mg/L	Registration Dossier
Algae (growth inhi	ihition)	1	<u> </u>		2 000,01
OECD 201	Pseudokirchneri ella subcapitata	EC50	72h – acute	>0.24 mg/L	Registration
GLP OECD 201	Pseudokirchneri	NOEC	72h - chronic	0.24 mg//	Dossier
GLP 201	ella subcapitata	NOEC	7211 - CHIOHIC	0.24 mg/L	Registration Dossier
microorganisms					
	Tetrahymena pyriformis	EC50	24h - acute	1.7 mg/L	Registration Dossier
	Photobacterium phosphoreum	EC50	30min - acute	8.98 mg/L	Registration Dossier
	Pseudomona fluorescens	NOEC	36h	50 mg/L	Registration Dossier
Soil organisms					
OECD 222 GLP	Eisenia fetida	EC50/NOE C	56d	87.9 mg/kg dw/25 mg/kg dw	Registration Dossier
	Allium cepa	EC50/NOE C		20.9/4.74 mg/kg dw	Registration Dossier

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> calculated from the area under the growth curve <sup>2</sup> calculated from growth rate

Compartment	Test results	PNEC
Surface water	NOEC: 0.053 mg/L chronic fish test	5.3 μg/L

		(AF: 10)
STP microorganisms	EC50: 1.7 mg/L growth inhibition	0.17 mg/L (AF: 10)
Sediment	equilibrium partitioning	12.2 mg/kg dw
Soil	equilibrium partitioning	2.44 mg/kg dw

# Information relating to the ecotoxicity of the biocidal product which is sufficient to enable a decision to be made concerning the classification of the product is required

The Szuku mosquito- and tick repellent spray contains 0.4% 2,6-di-tert-butyl-p-cresol which has been identified as substance of concern. It is classified as Aquatic chroic 1 and its concentration leads the product to be regarded as hazardous. Consequently, the product Szuku mosquito- and tick repellent spray is environmentally classified as Aquatic chronic 3.

#### Further Ecotoxicological studies

No data is available

# Effects on any other specific, non-target organisms (flora and fauna) believed to be at risk (ADS)

No data is available

# Supervised trials to assess risks to non-target organisms under field conditions

No data is available

# Studies on acceptance by ingestion of the biocidal product by any non-target organisms thought to be at risk

No data is available. The product is not in the form of bait or granules

# Secondary ecological effect e.g. when a large proportion of a specific habitat type is treated (ADS)

Not relevant

# Foreseeable routes of entry into the environment on the basis of the use envisaged

The Szuku mosquito- and tick repellent spray is a RTU product which is used outdoor directly on human skin by non-professionals. The main emission pathway to the environment is considered to be indirect via the STP after showering and bathing the treated skin. Direct emission to surface water is also considered when people go swimming with treaded skin. Direct emission to soil during the application phase is of minor

importance since it takes place non-repeatedly on a very limited area and it is therefore not assessed.

#### Further studies on fate and behaviour in the environment (ADS)

No data is available

#### Leaching behaviour (ADS)

Not relevant

#### Testing for distribution and dissipation in soil (ADS)

No data is available

Testing for distribution and dissipation in water and sediment (ADS)

No data is available

### Testing for distribution and dissipation in air (ADS)

No data is available

If the biocidal product is to be sprayed near to surface waters then an overspray study may be required to assess risks to aquatic organisms or plants under field conditions (ADS)

Not relevant

If the biocidal product is to be sprayed outside or if potential for large scale formation of dust is given then data on overspray behaviour may be required to assess risks to bees and non-target arthropods under field conditions (ADS)

Not relevant

#### 2.2.8.2 Exposure assessment

#### **General information**

Assessed PT	PT 19
	Scenario 1: Removal through showering and bathing of
Assessed scenarios	humans
Assessed scendilos	Scenario 2: Release to surface water bodies through
	swimming
ECD(s) used	Emission Scenario Document for Product Type 19: Repellents
ESD(s) used	and attractants, ECHA May 2015
Annuardh	Scenario 1: Average consumption
Approach	Scenario 2: Average consumption

Distribution in the	Calculated based on Guidance on the BPR Vol IV
environment	Environment (Parts B+C) v2.0 October 2017
Groundwater simulation	Scenario 1: FOCUS PEARL 4.4.4
Confidential Annexes	NO
	Scenario 1-2:
	Production: No
Life cycle steps assessed	Formulation No
Life Cycle Steps assessed	Use: No
	Service life: No
	Removal: Yes
Remarks	

#### **Emission estimation**

#### Scenario1 - Removal through showering and bathing of humans

The affected main compartment is the water compartment. Users of the general public may apply the product once per day (this restriction is also indicated on the label) directly onto skin, which is later washed off during bathing or showering. Consequently, exposure arises indirectly from STP effluents. Based on the efficacy data, the application rate of  $1.67 \, \text{mg/cm}^2$  is used. The number of applications ( $N_{\text{appl}}$ ) is restricted to one application per day. 55% of the body surface is considered as uncovered and is treated with the product. No dermal absorption is taken into account.

Input parameters for calculating the local emission					
Input	Value	Unit	Remarks		
Scenario: Removal through showering and bathing of humans					
Number of inhabitants feeding one STP (Nlocal)	10000	[cap]	D		
Active substance in the product (Cform <sub>weight</sub> )	230	g/kg	S		
SoC in the product	4	g/kg	S		
Consumption per application (Qform <sub>appl</sub> )	1.67	mg/cm <sup>2</sup>	S; efficacy data		
Number of applications per day $(N_{appl})$	1	1/d	S; label		
Treated area of human skin (AREA <sub>skin</sub> )	9130	cm <sup>2</sup>	D/S; TAB ENV172		
Treated area of garments (AREA <sub>garments</sub> )	0	cm <sup>2</sup>	S		
Fraction released to air (F <sub>air</sub> )	0	-	D		
Fraction dermally absorbed (F <sub>skin</sub> )	0	-	D		
Fraction released to wastewater (F <sub>water</sub> )	1	-	S		

Fraction of inhabitants using a repellent product $(F_{inh})$	0.2		Р
Market share of repellent (F <sub>penetr</sub> )	0.5	-	D

#### Calculations for Scenario 1

Resulting local emission to relevant environmental compartments				
Compartment	tment Local emission (Elocal <sub>compartment</sub> ) [kg/d]			
Local emission rate to wastewater (Elocal <sub>water</sub> ) - DEET	3.51	O; ESD Eq. 3.8		
Local emission rate to wastewater (Elocal <sub>water</sub> ) - SoC	0.061	O; ESD Eq. 3.8		

#### Scenario 2 – Release to surface water bodies through swimming

The release from the treated skin into surface water can occur during swimming in natural waters. As a worst-case to cover areas with higher insect infestation, it is considered that 10 % of the swimmers use the product before entering the water body.

Input parameters for calculating the local emission					
Input	Value	Unit	Remarks		
Scenario: Release to surface water bodies through swimming					
Daily number of swimmers (N <sub>swimmer</sub> )	1500	-	D		
Fraction of swimmers using the repellent product $(F_{swim})$	0.1	-	D		
Number of applications per day (N <sub>appl</sub> )	1	1/d	D		
Fraction released to surface water body (F <sub>waterbody</sub> )	1	-	D		
Active substance in the product (Cform <sub>weight</sub> )	230	g/kg	S		
SoC in the product	4	g/kg	S		
Consumption per application (Qform <sub>appl</sub> )	1.67	mg/cm <sup>2</sup>	S; efficacy data		
Treated area of human skin (AREA <sub>skin</sub> )	9130	cm <sup>2</sup>	D; TAB ENV172		
Volume of water body (V <sub>waterbody</sub> )	435000	m³	D		
First order rate constant for biodegradation in surface water (kdeg <sub>water</sub> ); active substance	0.047	1/d	S; readily biodegradable		

First order rate constant for biodegradation in surface water (kdeg <sub>water</sub> ); SoC	0	1/d	S; not readily biodegradable
Number of emission days (T <sub>emission,1d</sub> )	1	d	D
Number of emission days (T <sub>emission,91d</sub> )	91	d	D
Number of emission events (N <sub>emission,91d</sub> )	91	-	D

#### Calculations for Scenario 2

Resulting local emission to relevant environmental compartments					
Compartment	Local emission/concentration (Elocal <sub>water</sub> /Clocal <sub>water</sub> ) [kg/d]/[mg/L]	Remarks			
Local emission rate to surface water body (Elocal <sub>water</sub> ) - DEET	0.526	O; ESD Eq. 3.12			
Local concentration in water body after one day (Clocal <sub>water,1d</sub> ) – DEET	1.21E-03	O; ESD Eq. 3.13			
Local concentration in water body over 91 days (Clocal <sub>water,91d</sub> ) – DEET	1.1E-01	O; ESD Eq. 3.14			
Refined local concentration in water body over 91 days (Clocal <sub>water,91d-ref</sub> ) - DEET	2.6E-02	O; including degradation; ESD Eq. 3.15			
Local emission rate to surface water body (Elocal <sub>water</sub> ); SoC	0.00915	O; ESD Eq. 3.12			
Local concentration in water body after one day (Clocal <sub>water,1d</sub> ); SoC	2.1E-05	O; ESD Eq. 3.13			
Local concentration in water body over 91 days (Clocal <sub>water,91d</sub> ); SoC	1.91E-03	O; ESD Eq. 3.14			
Refined local concentration in water body over 91 days (Clocal <sub>water,91d-ref</sub> ); SoC	1.91E-03	O; no degradation			

#### Fate and distribution in exposed environmental compartments

The environmental fate and behaviour of DEET has been evaluated during the assessment for Annex I inclusion. DEET is readily biodegradable and no major transformation products were formed in studies of hydrolysis and aquatic phototransformation.

The predicted atmospheric half-life, the vapour pressure and the low Henry's law constant indicate that DEET is not volatile and does not persist in air in significant quantities. No hydrolytisis was found and it was found to be photolytically stable in water.

The Koc value is 43.3 L/kg, suggesting that it is very mobile in soil and therefore could leach to the groundwater.

2,6-di-tert-butyl-p-cresol (butylated hydroxy toluene; BHT) is presumed to be non volatile based on the data from the registration dossier. The Henry's Law constants (9.05-0.34 Pa/m3/mol) in the dossier indicate moderate volatility from water, although adsorption to suspended solids is expected to attenuate it, since the estimated Koc value is 23030. BHT is considered as not readily biodegradable. BHT is not stable in water, it can degrade to several transformation products and an unidentified one exceeds 10%. BHT is estimated to be rapidly photodegradable in air by hydroxyl radicals with a half-life of 7 hours.

Identification of relevant receiving compartments based on the exposure pathway									
	Fresh- water	Freshwater sediment	Sea- water	Seawater sediment	STP	Air	Soil	Ground- water	Other
Scenario 1	yes	yes	no	no	yes	no	yes	yes	no
Scenario 2	yes	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.	no	no	no	no	no

Input parameters (only set value the environment)		ulating the fate ve substance	and distribution in
Input	Value	Unit	Remarks
Molecular weight	191.27		LoEP
Melting point	<-20	°C	LoEP
Boiling point	284.2	°C	LoEP
Vapour pressure (at 25°C)	0.23	Pa	LoEP
Water solubility (at 25°C)	11200	mg/l	LoEP
Log Octanol/water partition coefficient	2.4	Log 10	LoEP
Organic carbon/water partition coefficient (Koc)	43.3	l/kg	LoEP
Henry's Law Constant (at X C)[if measured data available]	3.93E-03	Pa/m³/mol	LoEP
Biodegradability	Ready biodegrad able		LoEP
Rate constant for STP [if measured data available]	ready biodegr.	h <sup>-1</sup>	
DT <sub>50</sub> for biodegradation in surface water	ready biodegr.	d or hr (at 12°C)	
DT <sub>50</sub> for hydrolysis in surface water	≥1 year	pH 4, 7, 9	LoEP
DT <sub>50</sub> for photolysis in surface water	stable	d or hr	LoEP
DT <sub>50</sub> for degradation in soil	ready biodegr.	d or hr (at 12°C)	
DT <sub>50</sub> for degradation in air	15.2	hr	LoEP
First order rate constant for removal from top soil (k)	0.0247	d <sup>-1</sup>	S; Guidance on BPR vol. IV: Eq. 32 and Eq. 55-56

Input parameters (only set values) for calculating the fate and distribution in the environment; 2,6-di-tert-butyl-p-cresol					
Input	Value	Unit	Remarks		
Molecular weight	220.35				
Vapour pressure (at 25°C)	0.39	Pa	Reach Registration dossier		
Water solubility (at 25°C)	0.6	mg/l	Registration dossier		
Log Octanol/water partition coefficient	5.03	Log 10	Registration dossier		
Organic carbon/water partition coefficient (Koc)	23030	l/kg	Registration dossier		
Biodegradability	not readily biodegr.		Registration dossier		
First order rate constant for removal from top soil (k)	0	d <sup>-1</sup>	S		

Calculated fate and distribution in the STP [if STP is a relevant compartment]						
Compositionent	Percen	Percentage [%]				
Compartment	Scenario 1	Scenario n	Remarks			
Air	9E-04	20.46	SimpleTreat 4.0			
Water	7.992	16.45				
Sludge	0.403	63.09				
Degraded in STP	91.6	0				

### Calculated PEC values

	Summary table on calculated PEC values							
	PEC <sub>STP</sub>	PECwater	PEC <sub>sed</sub>	PEC <sub>seawater</sub>	PEC <sub>seased</sub>	PEC <sub>soil</sub>	PEC <sub>GW</sub> <sup>1</sup>	PECair
	[mg/L]	[mg/l]	[mg/kg <sub>wwt</sub> ]	[mg/l]	[mg/kg <sub>wwt</sub> ]	[mg/kg]	[µg/l]	[mg/m³]
Scenario 1 - DEET	0.14	0.014	(0.024)	-	-	0.0186	6.6	-
Scenario 2 - DEET	-	0.026	-	-	-	-	-	-
Scenario 1 - SoC	0.005	0.00048	(0.24)			0.716	1.76	
Scenario 2 - SoC		0.0019						

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  If the PEC<sub>GW</sub> was calculated by using a simulation tool (e.g. one of the FOCUS models), please provide the results for the different simulated scenarios in a separate table.

# Primary and secondary poisoning

#### <u>Primary poisoning</u>

Not relevant

#### Secondary poisoning

Based on the calculated BCFs for aquatic and terrestrial organisms, DEET is considered to have very little or no potential to bioaccumulate.

According to the Reach registration dossier, the reported BCF values for the 2,6-di-tertbutyl-p-cresol are > 100 L/kgww, which may be an indication of bioaccumulation potential. In addition, the logKow value of >4.5 may idicate that other uptake routes besides uptake from the water phase may be important, so the uptake through the food chains leading to secondary poisdoning should be considered. The next step is to consider whether the substance has the potential to cause toxic effects. This assessment is based on classifications on the basis of mammalian toxicity data. 2,6-di-tert-butyl-p-cresol is not classified for any health hazards, although it is under assessment for its potential ED properties, the results are not yet available. Therefore, there is currently no need to assess risks due to secondary poisoning of 2,6-di-tert-butyl-p-cresol, but depending on the results of the ED evaluation, this may be necessary afterwards.

#### 2.2.8.3 Risk characterisation

#### Atmosphere

Conclusion: The product Szuku Mosquito- and Tick Repellent Spray is not expected to pose a risk to the atmospheric environment. The predicted atmospheric half-life, the vapour pressure and the low Henry's law constant indicate that DEET is not volatile and does not persist in air in significant quantities. 2,6-di-tert-butyl-p-cresol as substane of concern is estimated to be rapidly photodegradable in air by hydroxyl radicals with a half-life of 7 hours.

#### Sewage treatment plant (STP)

Summary table on calculated PEC/PNEC values				
PEC/PNEC <sub>STP</sub>				
Scenario 1 – DEET	0.014			
Scenario 2 - DEET	-			
Scenario 1 – SoC	0.029			
Scenario 2 - SoC	-			

Conclusion: The PEC/PNEC values are below 1. The risk is acceptable for the STP microorganisms following indirect release via STP after skin application

#### Aquatic compartment

Summary table on calculated PEC/PNEC values		
PEC/PNEC <sub>water</sub>		
Scenario 1 – DEET	0.33	
Scenario 2 – DEET 0.6		
Scenario 1 – SoC	0.09	
Scenario 2 - SoC	0.36	

<u>Conclusion</u>: The PEC/PNEC ratios calculated for the aquatic compartment do not exceed the trigger value of 1. The PEC/PNEC ratios for sediment are the same, since both PECs and PNECs are calculated using EPM method. The releases of the product represented by the scenarios above do not pose a risk to surface water and sediment.

### Terrestrial compartment

Calculated PEC/PNEC values		
PEC/PNEC <sub>soil</sub>		
Scenario 1 – DEET	0.49	
Scenario 2 – DEET	-	
Scenario 1 – SoC	0.29	
Scenario 2 - SoC	-	

<u>Conclusion</u>: The PEC/PNEC ratios calculated for the terrestrial compartment are below 1. The releases of the product do not pose a risk to soil.

#### Groundwater

The calculated values of PECgw for scenario 1 are higher than the trigger value of 0.1  $\mu$ g/L for pesticides (maximum permissible concentration laid down by Directive 98/83/EC). For the active substance, formation of major metabolites is not relevant, but DEET does not meet one of the cut-off criteria (Koc <500 L/kg) so the simulation model of FOCUS PEARL 4.4.4 was used to refine the estimated PECgw values.

Input parameters used to calculate PECgw (FOCUS PEARL 4.4.4)			
Input	Value	Unit	Remarks
Years of simulation	26	years	incl. 6 years warming-up period
Application rate	agr: 0.089 grass: 0.018	kg/ha	S
Application method	agr: incorporation; 0.2m	-	D

	grass: incorporation; 0.1m		
Date of application	agr: 20d before emergence grass: 01/03/1901	-	D
Molar mass	191.27	g/mol	S
Vapour pressure	0.23	Pa	S; 25°C
Water solubility	11200	mg/L	S; 25°C
Kom	25.1	L/kg	S
Freundlich exponent	1	-	D; TAB ENV22
Half-life	30	d	D; 12°C
Coefficient for uptake in plants	0	_	D

FOCUS PEARL 4.4.4 modelled values (80th percentile annual average groundwater concentrations of DEET at 1m depth)

Scenario	PECgw [µg/L] arable land	PECgw [μg/L] grassland
Chateaudun	0.06	0.011
Hamburg	0.217	0.03
Jokioinen	-	0.0277
Kremsmuenster	0.173	0.0162
Okehampton	0.249	0.0266
Piacenza	0.058	0.019
Porto	0.0178	0.0069
Sevilla	0.001	0.0008
Thiva	0.01	0.00066

The modelled concentrations still exceed the limit value of  $0.1~\mu g/L$  for three agricultural soil scenarios, so further refinements were needed for the treated skin area and dermal absorption. The treated area was reduced in accordance with the RMM of "When outdoors, preferably wear long-legged trousers and a long-sleeved shirt to reduce the amount of product needed for protection." in line with the Recommendation 11 of the Adhoc WG on Human Exposure. In addition, dermal absorption of 9% was also taken into account as proposed in the CAR. Based on the above, the table below shows which values have changed:

Parameter	Tier1	Tier2
Treated area [cm <sup>2</sup> ]	9130	3290
Fraction dermally absorbed [-]	0	0.09
emission rate to wastewater [kg/d]	3.51	1.15
concentration in sludge [mg/kg]	17.89	5.866
Application rate [kg/ha]	0.0894	0.0293

Scenario	PECgw [μg/L]

	arable land Tier 2
Chateaudun	0.0197
Hamburg	0.071
Jokioinen	-
Kremsmuenster	0.0569
Okehampton	0.0818
Piacenza	0.019
Porto	0.00585
Sevilla	0.00035
Thiva	0.0034

The refined groundwater concentrations are not exceed the threshold value of 0.1  $\mu$ g/L for all the Focus PEARL scenarios.

The calculated PECgw for BHT also exceeds the value of 0.1  $\mu$ g/L so the simulation model of FOCUS PEARL 4.4.4 was used to refine the estimated PECgw values. BHT is quite unstable in soil and it was found to degrade rapidly to several transformation products but these products did not build up over the study period but declined over time. As these products are very numerous and some of them have not been identified, they are not taken into account in the groundwater simulation and a half-life of 1000 days as a worst-case has been set for the parent.

Input parameters used to calculate PECgw (FOCUS PEARL 4.4.4)			
Input	Value	Unit	Remarks
Years of simulation	26	years	incl. 6 years warming-up period
Application rate	agr: 0.244 grass: 0.0487	kg/ha	S
Application method	agr: incorporation; 0.2m grass: incorporation; 0.1m	-	D
Date of application	agr: 20d before emergence grass: 01/03/1901	-	D
Molar mass	220.35	g/mol	S
Vapour pressure	0.39	Pa	S; 25°C
Water solubility	0.6	mg/L	S; 25°C
Kom	13358.47	L/kg	S
Freundlich exponent	1	-	D; TAB ENV22
Half-life	1000	d	S
Coefficient for uptake in plants	0	-	D

Scenario	PECgw [μg/L] arable land	PECgw [μg/L] grassland
Chateaudun	<0.0001	<0.0001
Hamburg	0.0003	<0.0001
Jokioinen	-	<0.0001
Kremsmuenster	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Okehampton	0.0005	< 0.0001
Piacenza	0.0009	<0.0001
Porto	<0.0001	<0.0001
Sevilla	0.0046	<0.0001
Thiva	0.0006	< 0.0001

#### Primary and secondary poisoning

Primary poisoning

Not relevant

Secondary poisoning

<u>Conclusion</u>: Based on the calculated BCFs for aquatic and terrestrial organisms, DEET is considered to have very little or no potential to bioaccumulate.

According to the Reach registration dossier, the reported BCF values for the 2,6-di-tert-butyl-p-cresol are > 100 L/kgww, which may be an indication of bioaccumulation potential. In addition, the logKow value of >4.5 may idicate that other uptake routes besides uptake from the water phase may be important, so the uptake through the food chains leading to secondary poisdoning should be considered. The next step is to consider whether the substance has the potential to cause toxic effects. This assessment is based on classifications on the basis of mammalian toxicity data. 2,6-di-tert-butyl-p-cresol is not classified for any health hazards, although it is under assessment for its potential ED properties, the results are not yet available. Therefore, there is currently no need to assess risks due to secondary poisoning of 2,6-di-tert-butyl-p-cresol, but depending on the results of the ED evaluation, this may be necessary afterwards.

#### Mixture toxicity

#### Screening step

Screening Step 1: Identification of the concerned environmental compartments

The envioronmental compartments that are likely to be at risk are the STP, water and soil.

Screening Step 2: Identification of relevant substances

The relevant substances are the active substance DEET and 2,6-di-tert-butyl-p-cresol (BHT; CAS: 128-37-0) as substance of concern.

Screening Step 3: Screen on synergistic interactions

Sc	Screening step		
	Significant exposure of environmental compartments? Y		
	Number of relevant ssubstances >1? Y (2)		
	Indication for synergistic effects for the product or its constituents in the literature? N		

#### Tiered approach

#### Tier 1. PEC/PNEC summation

Tier 1		
RQ product	Acceptable risk for the environment? Y	Remarks
0.04	STP	
0.42	water (indirect emission)	
0.96	water (direct emission)	
0.78	soil	

<u>Conclusion</u>: The summation of PEC/PNEC values are below 1 for all environmental compartments. The risk seems to be acceptable, no further evaluation of mixture toxicity is required.

#### Aggregated exposure (combined for relevant emmission sources)

Conclusion: No aggregated exposure estimation required

#### Overall conclusion on the risk assessment for the environment of the product

In conclusion for the environment, the risk is expected to be unacceptable from indirect emission to groundwater from the use of Szuku mosquito- and tick repellent spray.

The following risk mitigation measure is proposed to reduce the risk:

"Preferably wear long-legged trousers and a long-sleeved shirt to reduce the amount of product needed for protection."

#### 2.2.9 Measures to protect man, animals and the environment

Please refer to the SDS and label of the product.

The product must not penetrate sewers, surface water, groundwater and neighbouring areas. Avoid contact with soil.

The empty container of the product may be disposed of as household waste.

The product is intended to be used by the general public as an arthropod repellent against blood sucking arthropods, such as mosquitoes and ticks to protect humans from their bite and potentially, transmission of vector-borne pathogens by blood feeding.

Szuku Mosquito- and Tick Repellent Spray repels blood sucking arthropods (e.g. mosquitoes and ticks) upon direct contact with the product. When applied to the skin, it

vaporizes to discourage the approach of insects and ticks and consequently protects the skin from bites.

The product repels arthropods upon direct contact; the exact mode of action is still not completely clarified. A theory suggests that DEET interferes with and masks the olfactory system of target organisms. Some results show that repellency is a matter of direct detection leading to mosquitoes smelling and avoiding DEET (Syed and Leal 2008). The mode of action of DEET is still under discussion. Direct toxic effects on target organisms could not be observed. There is no time delay for effectiveness after application, the product provides protection instantly.

Efficacy reports are presented for laboratory evaluation of this formulation against *Aedes aegypti* and *Ixodes ricinus*.

It can be concluded from the test results that Szuku Mosquito- and Tick Repellent spray is effective in the repellency of mosquitoes and ticks.

Physical-chemical hazard: No proposal is given for the classification and labelling according to physical chemical hazard in accordance with CLP Regulation 1272/2008/EC.

From the human health risk assessment of SZUKU mosquito- and tick repellent spray for the purpose of product authorization, the following conclusion can be drawn:

Health risks for the adult non-professional users of the biocidal product are at an acceptable level if it is not applied more than once daily. The product should not be used on children under 2 years. Children under age of 12 should not apply the product themselves, only by adults. Users must be warned about these limitations on the label.

It should not be used to sunburnt or injured skin, known allergies shall be considered. Contact with mucosa and the immediate surroundings of eyes, mouth and ears should be avoided.

#### First aid instructions

In case of poisoning or suspected poisoning always consult a doctor and show a label to the doctor.

Inhalation: Move to fresh air. Consult a specialist if you have a complaint.

Eye contact: Keep your eyes open and wash out immediately with plenty of water for several minutes. If there is a contact lens in the eye, remove it and continue washing out the eye. Consult a specialist if you have a complaint.

Ingestion: Get immediate medical advice and show the label

Skin: The product may cause irritation. In case of allergic symptoms wash affected area with plenty of water.

#### Instructions for safe disposal

Do not re-use the product packaging for any purpose.

Unused product and packaging may be thrown into the household garbage in Hungary. Do not flush into surface water or sanitary sewer system.

Do not contaminate ponds, waterways or ditches with the product or used container.

### 3 ANNEXES<sup>3</sup>

- **3.1 List of studies for the biocidal product (family):** please check list generated by IUCLID
- **3.2 Output tables from exposure assessment tools:** see relevant sections.

New information on the active substance: The active substance DEET is included in the Union list of approved active substances, the approval of DEET for use in biocidal products of product-type 19 expires on 31 July

2022. The expiry date of approval of DEET for use in biocidal products of product type 19 was postponed to 31 January 2025 by Decision (EU) 2021/2146.

- **3.3 Residue behaviour:** not relevant for this product.
- **3.4 Summaries of the efficacy studies (B.5.10.1-xx)**<sup>4</sup>: please see IUCLID
- 3.5 Confidential annex: See file: Confidental Annex to PAR file
- 3.6 Other: not available

<sup>3</sup> When an annex in not relevant, please do not delete the title, but indicate the reason why the annex should not be included.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> If an IUCLID file is not available, please indicate here the summaries of the efficacy studies.