

Justification Document for the Selection of a CoRAP Substance

Substance Name (public name): N-[2-(piperazin-1-yl)ethyl]C18-

unsatured-alkylamide

EC Number: 629-767-5

CAS Number: 1228186-18-2

Authority: Germany

Date: 21/03/2017

Cover Note

This document has been prepared by the evaluating Member State given in the CoRAP update.

Table of Contents

1	IDENTITY OF THE SUBSTANCE	3
1.1	Other identifiers of the substance	3
1.2	Similar substances/grouping possibilities	3
2	OVERVIEW OF OTHER PROCESSES / EU LEGISLATION	3
3	HAZARD INFORMATION (INCLUDING CLASSIFICATION)	5
3. 3.	Classification 1.1 Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP 1.2 Self classification 1.3 Proposal for Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP	5 5 5
4	INFORMATION ON (AGGREGATED) TONNAGE AND USES	5
4.1	Tonnage and registration status	5
4.2	Overview of uses	6
	JUSTIFICATION FOR THE SELECTION OF THE CANDIDATE RAP SUBSTANCE	E 7
5.1.	Legal basis for the proposal	7
5.2. CoR	Selection criteria met (why the substance qualifies for being in AP)	7
5.3. Eva	Initial grounds for concern to be clarified under Substance luation	7
5.4. requ	Preliminary indication of information that may need to be uested to clarify the concern	8
5.5.	Potential follow-up and link to risk management	8

1 IDENTITY OF THE SUBSTANCE

1.1 Other identifiers of the substance

Table: Other Substance identifiers

EC name (public):	N-[2-(piperazin-1-yl)ethyl]C18-unsatured-alkylamide		
IUPAC name (public):	(9E)-N-(2-{4-[(9E)-octadec-9-enoyl]piperazin-1-yl}ethyl)octadec-9-enamide		
Index number in Annex VI of the CLP Regulation:	N/A		
Molecular formula:	N/A		
Molecular weight or molecular weight range:	N/A		
Synonyms:	N/A		
Type of substance ☐ Mono-constitue Structural formula: UVCB	nt 🗆 Multi-constituent 🗵 UVCB		

1.2 Similar substances/grouping possibilities

None.

2 OVERVIEW OF OTHER PROCESSES / EU LEGISLATION

Table: Completed or ongoing processes

RMOA	☐ Risk Management Option Analysis (RMOA)		
	ion	☐ Compliance check, Final decision	
ses	Evaluation	□ Testing proposal	
roces		\square CoRAP and Substance Evaluation	
REACH Processes	Authorisation	☐ Candidate List	
~	Author	☐ Annex XIV	

	Restric -tion	☐ Annex XVII				
Harmonised C&L		☐ Annex VI (CLP) (see section 3.1)				
Processes under other EU legislation		☐ Plant Protection Products Regulation Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009				
Proc under E legis		☐ Biocidal Product Regulation Regulation (EU) 528/2012 and amendments				
Previous egislation		☐ Dangerous substances Directive Directive 67/548/EEC (NONS)				
Prev legis	☐ Existing Substances Regulation Regulation 793/93/EEC (RAR/RRS)					
(UNEP) Stockholm convention (POPs	☐ Assessment					
CONVE CONVE CONVE (PC		☐ In relevant Annex				
Other Government Other O		\square Other (provide further details below)				
etails	There is endpoints	a TPE for this substance regarding the following				
	•	o-chronic toxicity (90 d); oral				
Further d	Reproductive toxicity (pre-natal developmental toxicity)					
Fur	• Bio	accumulation aquatic / sediment				
	A dossier evaluation decision regarding the following endpoints has been issued (decision no. TPE-D-0000002523-80-04/F):					
	• Vis	cosity (OECD 114)				
	• Re _l	peated dose toxicity (OECD 408)				
	• De	velopmental toxicity / teratogenicity (OECD 414)				

3 HAZARD INFORMATION (INCLUDING CLASSIFICATION)

3.1 Classification

3.1.1 Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP

There is no harmonised Classification for the substance in Annex VI.

3.1.2 Self classification

• In the registration:

Acute Tox 4

Skin Corr 1B

Skin Sens 1A

Aquatic Acute 1

Aquatic Chronic 1

 The following hazard classes are in addition notified among the aggregated self classifications in the C&L Inventory:

N/A

3.1.3 Proposal for Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP

Currently, no proposal for harmonized classification and labeling is available for this substance.

4 INFORMATION ON (AGGREGATED) TONNAGE AND USES¹

4.1 Tonnage and registration status

Table: Tonnage and registration status

_

¹ Please provide here the date when the dissemination site was accessed.

JUSTIFICATION DOCUMENT FOR THE SELECTION OF A CORAP SUBSTANCE

From ECHA dis	ssem	nination	site						
□ Full registration(s) (Art. 10)))		☐ Intermediate registration(s) (Art. 17 and/or 18)			and/or 18)	
Tonnage band ((as pe	er dissem	ination s	ite))				
□ 1 – 10 tpa			□ 1	0 -	100 tpa		⊠ 100 - 3	1000 tpa	
□ 1000 - 10,0	00 tp	a	□ 1	□ 10,000 – 100,000 tpa			□ 100,00 tpa	0 - 1,000,000	
□ 1,000,000 - tpa	10,0	00,000	□ 1 tpa	☐ 10,000,000 - 100,000,000 tpa			□ > 100,	□ > 100,000,000 tpa	
□ <1		>+ tp	a (e.g. :	10+	- ; 100+ ; 10	,000+ tpa)	☐ Confide	ential	
Table: U Part 1: Manufacture		nulation	□ Industri use	ial	⊠ Professional use	Consumer use	☐ Article service life	☐ Closed system	
Part 2:									
Uses as intermediate	e					Use(s)			
Formulation ERC 2: F		Formulation	ormulation of preparations						
Uses at industrial sites									
_			8f: Wide dispersive outdoor use resulting in inclusion into or a matrix						
Consumer U	ses								
Article service life	ce								

5. JUSTIFICATION FOR THE SELECTION OF THE CANDIDATE CORAP SUBSTANCE

5.1.	Legal basis for the proposal
	☑ Article 44(2) (refined prioritisation criteria for substance evaluation)
	☐ Article 45(5) (Member State priority)
5.2.	Selection criteria met (why the substance qualifies for being in CoRAP)
	\square Fulfils criteria as CMR/ Suspected CMR
	\square Fulfils criteria as Sensitiser/ Suspected sensitiser
	\square Fulfils criteria as potential endocrine disrupter
	☑ Fulfils criteria as PBT/vPvB / Suspected PBT/vPvB
	\square Fulfils criteria high (aggregated) tonnage ($tpa > 1000$)
	□ Fulfils exposure criteria
	☐ Fulfils MS's (national) priorities

5.3. Initial grounds for concern to be clarified under Substance Evaluation

Hazard based concerns					
CMR □ C □ M □ R	Suspected CMR ³ □ C □ M □ R	☐ Potential endocrine disruptor			
☐ Sensitiser	☐ Suspected Sensitiser ²				
☐ PBT/vPvB	☐ Suspected PBT/vPvB³	☐ Other (please specify below)			
Exposure/risk based concerns					
⊠ Wide dispersive use	☐ Consumer use	☐ Exposure of sensitive populations			
	☐ Exposure of workers	☐ Cumulative exposure			
☐ High RCR	☐ High (aggregated) tonnage	☐ Other (please specify below)			

<u>Suspected PBT</u>: Potentially Persistent, Bioaccumulative and Toxic

² <u>CMR/Sensitiser</u>: known carcinogenic and/or mutagenic and/or reprotoxic properties/known sensitising properties (according to CLP harmonized or registrant self-classification or CLP Inventory) <u>Suspected CMR/Suspected sensitiser</u>: suspected carcinogenic and/or mutagenic and/or reprotoxic properties/suspected sensitising properties (not classified according to CLP harmonized or registrant self-classification)

JUSTIFICATION DOCUMENT FOR THE SELECTION OF A CORAP SUBSTANCE

The substance is fulfilling the screening criteria for persistence and bioaccumulation as defined in Annex XIII, i.e.

P/vP criterion

The substance is not readily biodegradable. The available simulation study according to OECD 303 A is not relevant for the PBT assessment as the test does not simulate the biodegradation in the environment. Therefore, the substance is considered to be potentially persistent.

B/vB criterion

For the UVCB substance the following log K_{ow} values are available: 5.4, 6.1, 6.7. Consequently, the screening criterion for B/vB is fulfilled. No measured data on bioconcentration in fish are available. The substance is therefore considered to be potentially bioaccumulative.

The substance is a UVCB and the defined constituent approach should be used for the PBT assessment. Therefore all constituents are assessed individually on screening level and the most relevant constituents regarding PBT properties need to be identified. Most of the available experimental data are based on the whole UVCB and the assessment of the single components is missing.

In addition, an NOEC of 0.011 for algae is available, which is very close to the T trigger. This algae test was made with the whole UVCB substance and a T assessment of the single constituents is missing and necessary.

The substance has a relatively high tonnage (100-1000 t/a) and uses include wide dispersive outdoor use resulting in inclusion into or onto a matrix. The likelihood of environmental exposure needs to be assessed.

5.4. Preliminary indication of information that may need to be requested to clarify the concern

☐ Information on toxicological properties	☐ Information on physico-chemical properties			
☑ Information on fate and behaviour	\square Information on exposure			
☐ Information on ecotoxicological properties	\square Information on uses			
☐ Information ED potential	☐ Other (provide further details below)			
P/vP criterion Further evaluation and, if necessary, further testing is required to clarify whether the substance is persistent or very persistent.				
B/vB criterion Further information on bioaccumulation is required to clarify whether the substance is bioaccumulative or very bioaccumulative.				
T criterion Further evaluation and, if necessary, further testing is required to clarify whether the substance is toxic.				

5.5. Potential follow-up and link to risk management

☐ Harmonised C&L ☐ Restriction		☐ Other (provide further details)
--------------------------------	--	-----------------------------------

JUSTIFICATION DOCUMENT FOR THE SELECTION OF A CORAP SUBSTANCE

If the substance is identified as a PBT/vPvB substance, an analysis of risk management options will be carried out, taking into account information on use and exposure. Potential options are the inclusion in the Candidate List with or without Authorisation, but also Restriction.