Justification for the selection of a substance for CoRAP inclusion

- UPDATE -

Substance Name (Public Name): 3-(4-tert-butylphenyl)propionaldehyde

Chemical Group:

EC Number: 242-016-2

CAS Number: 18127-01-0

Submitted by: Swedish Chemicals Agency

Date: 17/03/2015

19/03/2019 (1. update) 18/03/2020 (2. update)

Note

This document has been prepared by the evaluating Member State given in the CoRAP update.

Contents

1	IDE	NTITY OF THE SUBSTANCE	3
	1.1	Other identifiers of the substance	3
	1.2	Similar substances/grouping possibilities	4
2	CLA	SSIFICATION AND LABELLING	4
	2.1	Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP	4
	2.2	Self classification	4
	2.3	Proposal for Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP	5
3	INF	ORMATION ON AGGREGATED TONNAGE AND USES	5
4	OTH	HER COMPLETED/ONGOING REGULATORY PROCESSES THAT MAY AFFECT	
SI	JITABII	LITY FOR SUBSTANCE EVALUATION	5
5	JUS	TIFICATION FOR THE SELECTION OF THE CANDIDATE CORAP SUBSTANCE	6
	5.1	Legal basis for the proposal	6
	5.2	Selection criteria met (why the substance qualifies for being in CoRAP)	6
	5.3	Initial grounds for concern to be clarified under Substance Evaluation	6
	5.4	Preliminary indication of information that may need to be requested to	
	clarify	the concern	7
	5.5	Potential follow-up and link to risk management	7

1 IDENTITY OF THE SUBSTANCE

1.1 Other identifiers of the substance

Table 1: Substance identity

rabic 1: Substance lacinity			
EC name:	3-(4-tert-butylphenyl)propionaldehyde		
IUPAC name:	3-(4-tert-butylphenyl)propanal		
Index number in Annex VI of the CLP Regulation	NA		
Molecular formula:	C13H18O		
Molecular weight or molecular weight range:	190.2814		
Synonyms/Trade names:	Benzenepropanal, 4-(1,1-dimethylethyl)- Bourgeonal		

Type of substance \square Mono-constituent \square Multi-constituent \square UVCB

Structural formula:

$$H_3C$$
 CH_3 CH_3

1.2 Similar substances/grouping possibilities

Table 2: Identity of similar substances

Public name (Synonym)	EC no	Index number in Annex VI to the CLP	Molecular formula	Molecular weight	Structural formula
3-pcumenyl- 2methylpropio naldehyde (Cyclamal)	203-161-7	NA	C13H180	190.2814	CH ₃
β-methyl-3- (1methylethyl) benzeneprop anal (Florhydral)	412-050-4	605028- 002	C13H180	190.28	
2-(4- tertbutylbenzyl) propionaldeh yde (Lysmeral)	201-289-8	NA	C14H200	204.308	tBu

2 CLASSIFICATION AND LABELLING

2.1 Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP

NA

2.2 Self classification

• In the registration

Skin Irrit. 2 H315: Causes skin irritation

Skin Sens. 1B H317: May cause an allergic skin reaction

JUSTIFICATION DOCUMENT FOR THE SELECTION OF A CORAP SUBSTANCE

Repr. 2 H361: Suspected of damaging fertility or the unborn child

STOT RE H373: May cause damage to organs through prolonged or repeated

exposure

• The following hazard classes are in addition notified among the aggregated self classifications in the C&L Inventory:

Acute Tox. 3 H301: Toxic if swallowed

Skin Sens. 1 H317: May cause an allergic skin reaction

Aquatic Chronic 2 H411: Toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects

2.3 Proposal for Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP

None

3 INFORMATION ON AGGREGATED TONNAGE AND USES

From ECHA dissemination site						
☐ 1 - 10 tpa		⊠ 10 − 100 tpa		□ 100 - 1000 tpa		
☐ 1000 - 10,000 tpa		☐ 10,000 - 100,000 tpa		☐ 100,000 - 1,000,000 tpa		
☐ 1,000,000 - 10,000,00	0 tpa	□ 10,000,000 - 100,000,000 tpa		□ > 100,000,000 tpa		
□<1>+	tpa (e.	g. 10+ ; 100+ ; 10,000+ tpa)		☐ Confidential		
☐ Industrial use	⊠ Profe	ssional use	⊠ Consumer use		☐ Closed System	
Bourgeonal is a fragrant and the fragranced end-products are used at industrial sites, by professional workers and by consumers.						

4 OTHER COMPLETED/ONGOING REGULATORY PROCESSES THAT MAY AFFECT SUITABILITY FOR SUBSTANCE EVALUATION

oxtimes Compliance check, ECHA decision	☐ Dangerous substances Directive 67/548/EEC
☐ Testing proposal	☐ Existing Substances Regulation 793/93/EEC
☐ Annex VI (CLP)	☐ Plant Protection Products Regulation 91/414/EEC
☐ Annex XV (SVHC)	☐ Biocidal Products Directive 98/8/EEC ; Biocidal Product Regulation (Regulation (EU) 528/2012)

^{*}the total tonnage band has been calculated by excluding the intermediate uses, for details see the Manual for Dissemination and Confidentiality under REACH Regulation (section 2.6.11): https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/22308542/manual dissemination en.pdf/7e0b87c2-2681-4380-8389-cd655569d9f0

JUSTIFICATION DOCUMENT FOR THE SELECTION OF A CORAP SUBSTANCE

☐ Annex XIV (Authorisation)		Other (provide further details below)				
☐ Annex XVII (Restriction)						
CCH was performed in 2017: In vitro genotoxicity studies and a reproductive toxicity screening test (OECD 422) was requested with DL April 2018. The Reg informed ECHA in 2018 that the reproductive toxicity screening test is delayed and a final report is expected in Feb 2019. In August 2019 the test results are still not provided (according to the registration information on ECHA website).						
The SE CA proposes to postpone the evaluation year to 2022 to also consider the outcome of the ongoing evaluations for the structurally similar substances lysmeral (EC 201-298-8, SE Follow-up ongoing) and cyclamal (EC 203-161-7, ECHA decision).						
5 JUSTIFICATION FOR THE SELECTION OF THE CANDIDATE CORAP SUBSTANCE						
5.1 Legal basis for t	he propos	sal				
Article 44(2)						
☐ Article 45(5)						
 5.2 Selection criteria met (why the substance qualifies for being in CoRAP) ☐ Fulfils criteria as CMR/ Suspected CMR ☐ Fulfils criteria as Sensitiser/ Suspected sensitiser ☐ Fulfils criteria as potential endocrine disrupter ☐ Fulfils criteria as PBT/vPvB / Suspected PBT/vPvB ☐ Fulfils criteria high (aggregated) tonnage (tpa > 1000) ☐ Fulfils exposure criteria ☐ Fulfils MS's (national) priorities 5.3 Initial grounds for concern to be clarified under Substance Evaluation 						
Hazard based concerns						
CMR □c □ m □ R	Suspected (I □				
Sensitiser	Suspected	I Sensitiser ¹				
☐ PBT/vPvB	Suspected	I PBT/vPvB¹ ☐ Other (please specify below)				
Exposure/risk based concerns						
☐ Wide dispersive use	☐ Consumer	use Exposure of sensitive populations				
☐ Exposure of environment	☐ Exposure	of workers				

JUSTIFICATION DOCUMENT FOR THE SELECTION OF A CORAP SUBSTANCE

☐ High RCR	☐ High (aggr	regated) tonnage	☐ Other (please specify below)				
Relevant for the reproductive toxicity, only one study carried out with bourgeonal is reported in the registration dossier. Based on testicular and epididymal toxicity observed in the 5 day oral (gavage) study on sexually mature rats, the Registrant has self-classified bourgeonal for fertility effects under Repr. 2 category. By read-across from cyclamal (source) a NOAEL of 25 mg/kg bw/day, observed in a one-generation study (OECD 415) with cyclamal is used for risk assessment of bourgeonal for the reproductive toxicity endpoint (until the ongoing study with bourgeonal is available). In addition to adverse fertility effects a significant reduction in the anogenital distance of male pups was observed for cyclamal in this study.							
CMR/Sensitiser: known carcinogenic and/or mutagenic and/or reprotoxic properties/known sensitising properties (according to CLP harmonized or registrant self-classification or CLP Inventory) Suspected CMR/Suspected sensitiser: suspected carcinogenic and/or mutagenic and/or reprotoxic properties/suspected sensitising properties (not classified according to CLP harmonized or registrant self-classification) Suspected PBT: Potentially Persistent, Bioaccumulative and Toxic 5.4 Preliminary indication of information that may need to be requested to clarify the concern							
☐ Information on toxicological pr			☐ Information on physico-chemical properties				
☐ Information on fate and behav		<u> </u>	☐ Information on exposure				
☐ Information on ecotoxicologica			☐ Information on uses				
_	ii properties		_				
☐ Information ED potential		☐ Other (pi	☐ Other (provide further details below)				
Appropriate study to investigate developmental toxicity of bourgeonal and further information to discern the severity of fertility effects may be needed.							
5.5 Potential follow-up and link to risk management							
☐ Harmonised C&L ☐ Restr	iction	Authorisation	☐ Other (provide further details)				
Depending on the outcome of the evaluation, harmonised classification and labelling proposal could be a potential follow-up.							