Forum-18/M/2014 – Public
Adopted on 25/09/2014

Minutes of the 18th meeting of the Forum for Exchange of Information on Enforcement Helsinki 24-26 June 2014

Contents		
I.	Summary record of the proceedings	2
II.	Main conclusions and action points	16
III.	List of attendees	29
IV.	List of annexes	32

I. Summary record of the proceedings

Item 1 – Welcome and introduction

1.1 Opening by the Chair of the Forum

The Chair welcomed all the participants. She informed the Forum members about the presences and absences. She announced the apologies from BG, LI and IS and informed on the appointed proxies.

The Chair informed that the quorum requirement was met and that the meeting was being recorded for the purpose of writing minutes. The recordings will be destroyed after the adoption of the minutes.

1.2 Adoption of the agenda

The Chair indicated the changes in the Agenda (Content IV, Annex 1). One new AOB item was suggested to inform the Forum on the consultation on the OR Organisation's guide. The agenda was adopted.

1.3 Declarations of conflict of interest with regard to agenda items

The Chair requested all participants to declare any potential conflicts of interest for any of the agenda items, according to Article 9(2) of the Rules of Procedure. No conflicts of interest were declared in the meeting.

1.4 State of play with action points from Forum-17

The ECHA Forum Secretariat informed on the status of action points from Forum-17 and highlighted that the extinct WG Multi-annual Work Programme 2014-2018 agreed to publish the Forum's MAWP 2014-2018 in its totality. That included information on the Forum's WG mandates subject to update during the course of the Forum-18 meeting. Hence, after the meeting, the updated Forum's MAWP 2014-2018 would be published on ECHA's website.

COM representative expressed the impossibility to inform the Forum at this meeting on the plan for the elaboration of the PIC Regulation reporting template.

1.5 Practicalities and brief recapitulation of results of the written procedures between Forum-17 and Forum-18

The ECHA Forum Secretariat made available a document with the results of the written procedures between Forum-17 and Forum-18. The written procedure regarding the "Revised Front Page and Annexes 1 and 2 of the Manual Of Conclusions" remained open. However, relevant comments were received and a new version of the document was presented to the Forum. The Forum agreed with the proposed changes[1].

[1] Post-meeting note: The agreed changes were included in the updated version of the Manual of conclusions.

Item 2 – Address by ECHA's Deputy Executive Director

ECHA's deputy ED informed the Forum that in the spring of this year, the ECHA Secretariat organised a workshop with MSCAs regarding dossier evaluation and substance evaluation where it was confirmed that enforcement was critical to the success and effectiveness of these REACH processes. ECHA appreciated the support offered by the NEAs. However, as that work may benefit from more publicity, the Forum was encouraged to consider how the good work already done by the NEAs can be promoted.

In the Substance Evaluation Workshop (May 2014), the process for follow-up to be similar to dossier evaluation with respective "SEV-SONCs" issued by MSCAs was discussed. ECHA looked forward to further discussion in the Forum and its WG Interlinks about the details of the follow up of SEV decisions by the enforcement authorities.

He also highlighted that the meeting will offer the opportunity for the Forum and ECHA Secretariat to discuss how to deal with complaints related to breaches of the joint submission obligation and related duties.

He welcomed the involvement of the Forum in the Commission study on enforcement indicators ENFIND since, for the functioning of REACH, what was happening in practice, including beyond the harmonised Forum projects was the most important thing.

At the previous plenary meeting, the Forum members mandated the existing WG RIPE for defining the requirements for the expansion of RIPE that would serve the inspectors enforcing the BPR. He expressed concern on the challenging situation regarding the ECHA budget for biocides activities. While the WG should proceed with RIPE for biocides, ECHA would need to set strong priorities for the biocides activities for the rest of 2014 as well as for next year.

Item 3 – Forum's enforcement activities - Work Packages

3.1. Interlinks WG

3.1.1 WG progress report

The WG chair presented the status of the work of this WG. The WG proposed two topics for the upcoming workshop to be held in ECHA for Forum members and MSCA.

The WG also suggested that instead of a pilot project on enforceability of PPORD conditions, the WG could collaborate with ECHA by providing advice on the enforceability of conditions set in the first few PPORD decisions. A Forum member reminded that its Member State had previously demonstrated interest in participating in this task. The WG Chair would liaise with the Forum member and assess how to proceed.

3.1.2 Mandate amendment

The Forum agreed to update the mandate with a new timeline of the WG, on the new tasks related to the enforceability of the conditions for PPORDs and to examine the first authorisation decisions (Annex 2a).

3.1.3 Follow-up of ECHA Decisions – updates from ECHA

3.1.3.1 Report on cases sent to MS Focal points

A document was submitted with the update of the cases sent/received by ECHA RIPE Focal point. COM requested more clarity on the revocation cases (i.e. the basis for the revocation). The ECHA Forum Secretariat explained the reasons for revocations and more general information would be added in future reports.

3.1.3.2 Follow-up of Workshop on compliance check

The ECHA Secretariat presented the outcome of the Workshop on Compliance Check that took place in Helsinki, on 31 March–1 April 2014. The key message was that the NEA actions were playing an important role in ensuring good quality dossiers. This means not just actions done as a follow-up to ECHA dossier evaluation decisions, but also routine controls done on the national level. The results of compliance check may also help NEAs in targeting dossiers where concerns were detected during compliance check. However, to fully contribute to the strategic objective of high quality data, the good actions done by NEAs needed more visibility, so that duty-holders were aware that lack of compliance with a decision would result in follow-up actions by NEAs. ECHA would assess how to implement the conclusions derived from the workshop and shall consult the Forum on enforcement issues. ECHA Secretariat clarified that the compliance check was only one tool to increase the quality of the dossiers and welcomed the continuing collaboration between Forum and ECHA to align expectations.

A Forum member reminded that enforcement of ECHA decisions was only part of the NEAs enforcement activities.

A Forum member stressed that the dissemination of information of ongoing cases would hinder the investigations and advised precaution. The ECHA Secretariat replied that the ECHA dissemination website would be improved and will contain more information on the dossier cycle although it was still not decided on the publication of which actual documents.

A Forum member commented that it had received complaints from lead registrants that some companies create a very poor quality dossier by compiling information collected from the internet that passes the completeness check. He welcomed cooperation with ECHA to determine how such compliance issues can be tackled.

The COM representative argued that ECHA was giving much emphasis on the poor quality of the dossiers. However, it must be noted that many good dossiers were also available and that message should also be highlighted in ECHA's communications. ECHA Secretariat acknowledged this situation and stressed that the aim was towards improvement and to increase the dossier's quality.

3.1.3.3 Follow-up of Workshop Substance Evaluation

The ECHA Secretariat presented the outcome of the Workshop on Substance Evaluation that took place in Helsinki, on 26-28 May 2014. A brief description of the substance evaluation process was given and highlighted the potential enforcement challenges. ECHA and the evaluating MSCAs (eMSCAs) have agreed to implement a process similar to dossier evaluation, by issuing the SEV-SONCs that were prepared by the eMSCAs and ECHA. ECHA Secretariat invited the Forum and WG Interlinks to set-up a process for following up substance evaluation decisions, by describing the information needed by inspectors and considering the key differences between dossier and substance evaluation.

3.1.3.4 The appeals to date: Enforcement implications

The Chairman of the Board of Appeal (BoA) presented information of the appeal process and some experiences from appeals so far. The Forum was informed that appeals have a suspensive effect and that the ECHA Secretariat would ensure that the appeal process was factored in before NEAs were requested to follow up, i.e. NEAs will receive decisions only after the appeal period was over and the appeal was not submitted or was submitted but dismissed.

In reply to some Forum members, the speaker added that the criteria or reasoning behind any BoA decision were consistent. The Chairman of the BoA ensures consistency according to the rules of the BoA. She added that the Principle of Sound Administration should always be the basis of ECHA's administrative procedures.

She clarified the difference between rejection and revocation of a registration. Rejection was a denial of what was requested. Revocation was an annulment of a previous act (e.g. granting a registration) because of false or incomplete information that was the basis of the decision.

She informed that, up to now, in its experience with appeals, BoA observed no misuse of the appeal processes by the companies or of the different REACH processes by ECHA Secretariat .

The speaker agreed to report regularly to the Forum on the most relevant cases.

3.2. Electronic Information Exchange System - EIES

3.2.1 WG progress report

The WG chair presented the status of the work of this WG.

The WG has completed the analysis regarding task 7 of the WG mandate. However, based on the discussion with the Forum member who requested the task regarding the exchange of Biocidal Products Regulation (BPR) information in ICSMS to be included in the mandate, the scope was actually intended to be broader than the literal wording. However, such extensive work on the BPR would not be in the scope of the Forum. Therefore, the WG proposed the task to be considered as closed following the completion of the analysis. The WG Chair invited the Forum to appoint experts to help with the testing of the system in 2015.

3.2.2 Mandate amendment

The Forum agreed on the updated mandate (Annex 2b).

3.3. Implementation of RIPE

3.3.1 WG progress report

The WG chair informed that a request from the BPR MSCAs was received by the WG to prepare functional and data requirements for the expansion of RIPE for the inspectors enforcing the BPR. Nomination of BPR experts was requested from the BPR MSCAs and Forum was invited to nominate them as well. COM highlighted the fact that the BPR was not in the remit of the Forum.

3.3.2 RIPE project progress report

ECHA Secretariat informed the Forum on the updates of the project concerning RIPE 1 and Portal Dashboard-RIPE (previously known as RIPE 2). The RIPE 1 database update was done automatically and with weekly refreshes. It was confirmed that for 2014 no security audit will be required due to the upcoming revision of the RIPE Security Recommendations. ECHA also highlighted that in the process of implementing Portal Dashboard-RIPE (PD RIPE) it became aware that some of the data (e.g. life cycle information) would not be available from the integrated database, which will provide information to PD-RIPE. To ensure that NEAs get the same information as in RIPE 1, workarounds were being considered, potentially giving access to some form of IUCLID dossiers.

3.3.3 Mandate amendment

Some changes in the composition of the WG were presented and the Forum agreed on the updated mandate (Annex 2c).

3.4 REACH-EN-FORCE-3

3.4.1 WG progress report

The WG chair highlighted that the WG discussed taking the opportunity of this project to collect and compile experiences to draw conclusions over the experiences of national custom cooperations based on consultations with the national coordinators (NCs) and other experts (including customs experts).

The aim should be to create a separate document that summarised the experiences and best practice as well as extract concrete recommendations on enforcement of the REACH registration obligation in cooperation with customs. To formalise it, it was proposed to include such a task in the mandate. Some Forum members expressed agreement with the proposed task.

On the contrary, some WG/Forum members believed that much information was already collected (by the questionnaire, several queries to the NCs, COM's compilation of the challenges faced by the NCs (see Agenda item 3.4.2)) and there was no additional value to undertake this task.

By majority, Forum voted not to include the abovementioned task in the mandate of the WG and use the already available information in the final REF-3 report.

3.4.2 Challenges faced by REACH inspectors when cooperating with customs

COM presented the document prepared in collaboration with TAXUD with replies to the feedback provided by the REF-3 NCs on the challenges faced during the project's first operational phase (Feb-Aug 2013). Recommendations were given to the actors involved to improve and overcome the pointed challenges. Despite some particular issues, it was observed that in general, cooperation with customs was taking place.

COM invited the Member States to raise the profile of chemicals within the national customs authority and closely watch the second operational phase of REF-3 for areas for improvement.

The provided document would be considered by the WG REF-3 when drafting the final REF-3 report.

3.4.3 Mandate amendment

The chair of the WG stepped down. A "task force" was put in place until Forum-19. The mandate was adopted by the Forum (Annex 2d).

3.5 Training for enforcement trainers 2014

3.5.1 WG progress report

The WG chair informed the Forum on the decisions taken during the WG meeting regarding the preparation of the training event. The WG previously suggested training on two topics (safety data sheets and extended SDSs; classification and labelling of mixtures), for which the agenda was adopted by the Forum. In the WG meeting, the content of the training/case studies was discussed. The WG chair encouraged the Forum members to indicate interesting cases on the topics of the event.

It was clarified by the WG chair that the presentations would all take place in one day to allow external participants to attend the event by webstream. The following day, the discussion of the case studies would take place, in smaller groups, for which there would be no broadcasting.

3.5.2 Mandate amendment

The composition of the WG was reviewed and the Forum adopted the mandate of the WG (Annex 2e).

3.6 Enforceability of Restrictions

3.6.1 WG progress report

The WG chair presented the status of the work of the WG. Two final advices and two draft advices were concluded during the period from Forum-17/Forum-18. He highlighted that the proposal to improve the quality of the restriction proposal dossiers was sent to the CARACAL taskforce discussing efficiency improvements of the restriction process. The Chair reminded that, in line with this proposal, the Forum members will be invited to cooperate with the MSCA on the draft of the Annex XV dossiers to improve the assessment of the enforceability of the proposals for restrictions. In that regard, the Guide for developing Forum advice on Enforceability of Restriction Proposals (GDAERF) will be consulted with the MSCA with the aim to have a common understanding of the assessment of the restriction.

The WG considered the Forum's participation in the COM's activity leading to the development of standard analytical methods. It was concluded, among other things, that it would be beneficial for the Forum to provide input to COM's Work Programme and to recommend the restrictions that would require the development of new standards. The WG chair reminded that the Forum does not strive to recommend the inclusion of standard analytical methods in all entries of REACH Annex XVII due to the technical progress and also to the availability of different analytical methods that fit the purposes of compliance controls. However, in some cases, there might be a need to recommend the development of standard methods to facilitate the enforceability of certain restrictions and Forum would be willing to provide advice to the COM on this matter.

The Forum agreed with the proposal stated in Annex II of the WG Progress report for the involvement of the Forum in the stardisation process during the selection of the prirority topics by the COM, at the time that the draft mandates

(standardisation requests related to REACH/Annex XVII) are prepared by COM and to be open to requests during the development of the standard by the relevant European Standard Organisations (e.g. Forum as advisory body providing opinion in the progress monitoring process). The Chair invited COM to reply to the participation of the Forum in the way proposed by the WG.

On the task of elaborating a compendium of analytical methods, the leader of this subgroup of the WG presented the state of play with this project. The WG aims to finalise a draft of the compendium by the end of 2014. For methods with no limit value, further refinement of the criteria/cut-off value still needed to be discussed. Given the number of methods reported by the Member States, it was recommended to focus first on the methods relative to restrictions with limit value.

3.6.2 Restrictions and Customs

COM presented the project based on the correlation table (already presented to the Forum in Forum-17). COM invited the Member States to participate in the proposed assessment of particular restrictions with the aim to cover all entries presented in the table.

Some Forum members expressed concerned in committing resources for participation in such a project. However, some Forum members were positive about the proposal. The Forum agreed that, on a voluntary basis, Members States wishing to participate in this exercise would liaise with COM for further details. COM suggested developing a practical way by Forum-19 meeting to help the other Member States decide whether or not they could participate in this project.

3.6.3 Mandate amendment

A co-chair of the WG was appointed. The Forum reviewed and adopted the mandate of the WG (Annex 2f).

3.7 Project on Authorisation

3.7.1 WG progress report

The WG chair presented the progress of the pilot project. The commenting round on the draft project manual was ongoing and more clarifications would be provided by ECHA at a later stage based on COM decisions. A Forum member expressed concern with the suggested timelines. The WG chair encouraged the Forum members to provide comments on the draft project and register for Member State participation in the pilot project.

A Forum member asked ECHA about possibility of translation of the Manual. ECHA confirmed to provide translation of the Manual for Forum pilot project on authorisation.

3.7.1 Mandate amendment

The Forum agreed on the proposed timeline and adopted the mandate of the WG (Annex 2g).

3.8 Prioritisation of REF projects

3.8.1 REF-4 project: Results of prioritisation analysis

The WG chair presented the two proposals for REF projects that were selected by the WG as the ones with more potential to become a REF project.

3.8.2 Mandate amendment

The Forum reviewed and adopted the mandate of the WG (Annex 2h).

Item 4 – Enforcement projects in Member States

4.1 UK: Asbestos in gas masks

The UK invited expert shared with the Forum information on the ongoing project regarding the investigation of gas masks and their asbestos content. If proven, such articles should not be placed on the market according to restriction entry number 6 of Annex XVII of REACH.

A Forum member stated that a project was developed by Chemicals Legislation European Enforcement Network (CLEEN) to identify internet trade of dangerous chemicals[2].

4.2 EL: Results and the evaluation of the annual meeting of Greek REACH/CLP inspectors for 2014

The EL Forum member presented to the Forum the enforcement system in Greece as well as the key issues discussed in the Greek annual meeting of inspectors. An innovative approach was taken by organising an open session for which Industry representatives were invited. The Greek NEA took that opportunity to conduct a survey to evaluate the national enforcement activities and their impact in the enterprises. The results of the survey were presented to the Forum.

4.3 CY: Nickel restriction campaign: new developments

The CY Forum member reminded the Forum of its national campaign towards implementing the nickel restriction (REACH Entry 27 of Annex XVII) by checking products that came into direct and prolonged contact with the skin e.g. pens, belts and metallic glasses (previously presented in Forum-15 Agenda point 10.1).

The CY enforcement authority followed the procedure set in ECHA Guidance and published this information in RAPEX. In the meantime, the European Writing Instruments Manufacturers Association reacted claiming that their products did not fall in the category of articles with direct and prolonged contact with the skin and also contesting the results of the analysis performed by the CY authority. It resulted in an appeal to the Cyprus High Court and a request for the withdrawal of the notification from RAPEX.

The CY Forum member proposed to invite a COM's RAPEX representative to give a presentation to the Forum on how to achieve a better harmonisation.

The Chair invited the Forum members to present their national projects in the upcoming open session for ECHA's accredited stakeholders in Forum-19.

[2] http://www.cleen-europe.eu/projects/e-Commerce.html

Item 5 – Update on relevant developments by the Commission

5.1 General updates by the European Commission

COM submitted a document with the updates regarding the changes in relevant legislations to the Forum.

He informed that only Forum members and MSCAs would be invited to the Workshop on the ENFIND study. However, at the end of the contract, the final report would be publicly available.

COM/CARACAL agreed that the REACH and CLP reports would be synchronised and that the next CLP reporting would take place earlier than foreseen. He added that the draft template (sent to the Forum member for comments) already reflected the changes to be implemented to allow reporting of both REACH and CLP in the next reporting exercise. He acknowledged that it might create some challenges but encouraged the NEAs to try to overcome them. A Forum member expressed concern since Forum and CARACAL had specifically requested not to change the REACH template for the next reporting obligation.

A new version of ICSMS was released in May 2014 and some improvements were in place. New guidance on quality control and use will be produced. He informed that stakeholders requested information from ICSMS and ways to address it were being discussed in the COM. He confirmed that the development of ICSMS to be used by REACH and CLP inspectors, according to recommendation of WG EIES, would continue in 2015. Moreover, additional requirements could still be requested until the end of 2014.

The Forum was informed that COM agreed on the format of the authorisation number, for which the Forum members' comments were highly relevant. The first authorisation number was already assigned.

5.2 Update on the Enforcement Indicator (ENFIND) Study

The Forum was updated on the status and the timelines of the project by a representative of COM's contractor. The Enforcement Indicators Workshop would be held back-to-back to Forum-19 where a set of proposed key indicators would be presented and discussed with the Forum.

A Forum member stressed that this project should aim to provide practical and useful measures in line with the current enforcement activities in the Member States. It was recommended to carefully consider Forum's recommendations and keep in mind the national resources and administrative burden that inspectors might face. It was welcomed that the Forum was involved in the process to provide expertise.

COM highlighted that the Forum steering group participants were part of this study to ensure that appropriate enforcement issues were taken into account. The study would produce a set of indicators that can be prioritised differently in different Member States.

Item 6 – Proposal for informal network of NEA Lawyers

ECHA's legal representative proposed the establishment of a network of enforcement lawyers to help ECHA in the preparation of items for discussion with the Forum (e.g. practical issues). This legal network would aim to improve the efficiency of the discussions by getting more technical expertise and practical advice based on experiences faced by the inspectors. It would not replace the discussion with the Forum itself. Such cooperation could be done informally, by

email exchange or phone conference. However, if required by the Forum members, ECHA Secretariat could provide a formal invitation to Member States to nominate a legal expert.

The Chair added that such cooperation could be established on a voluntary basis. The Forum members were invited to express their interest and appoint legal advisors.

Item 8 – Practical issues for enforcement of REACH and CLP

Issue 1 - Enforcement of OR duties

This issue was presented at Forum-17 but had triggered a number of follow-up questions during the commenting round.

The Chair suggested tracing its original issue (Issue 8) and provide an appropriate conclusion for it. The open questions of issue 1 would remain unanswered and would be addressed in other contexts.

A Forum member suggested that the information collected during the discussion of Issue 1 could be used by the WG REF-3 to assist the inspectors during the enforcement at the OR level.

The Forum agreed to close this issue.

Issue 2 - Assessment of the phase-in status and the volume for substances covered by an Only Representative

The submitter of the issue thanked the Forum for the comments during the consultation rounds and informed that the issue would undergo a revision to reflect a more streamlined approach of the case. The new version would then be consulted with the Forum.

The Forum agreed to revisit the issue at a later stage.

Issue 3 - Determination of how much information about the physical properties of nano particles the authorities can demand in section 9 in the safety data sheet

The submitter of this case presented the issue that related to the enforcement of the terms “appropriate” and “available”. The Forum was consulted and the conclusion was proposed.

The Forum agreed on the drafted conclusion with the small amendments done during the meeting and its inclusion in the Manual of Conclusion (MoC). The Forum agreed on the possibility to have further detailed discussions on the abovementioned terms.

Issue 4 - Who is responsible for labelling a substance which is imported and registered by an Only Representative?

The submitter of this case presented the issue raised by the observation of unmatched information present in the label and the SDS. The Forum was consulted and a conclusion was proposed. The Forum agreed with the conclusion and its inclusion in the Manual of Conclusion (MoC).

Issue 5 - Obligation to provide an SDS to retailers offering substances to the general public

This issue was already discussed and agreed at Forum-9 (issue 8). However, new developments on this issue by COM, not in line with the previously agreed conclusion, led to the need to re-assess it.

The Forum agreed to re-open the issue and elaborate a new conclusion based on COM's opinion.

Issue 6 - Enforcement of dossier evaluation or substance evaluation decisions, following an appeal: suspensive effect.

ECHA's legal representative informed that in its later decisions, in which it has dismissed the appeals, the Board of Appeal has specified the timeline by which the appellant has to provide the information. The Board of Appeal has thereby answered the question of how to calculate the deadline for the submission of information in these cases (see agenda item 3.1.3.4). The Forum agreed to close the issue.

Issue 7 - Duty to communicate information on substances in articles: the scope of Article 33 of REACH

After Forum-17, the Chair provided more input on this issue and, in collaboration with ECHA Legal unit, a new conclusion was drafted. Some Forum members requested further editing of the wording but in general, the Forum agreed with the proposed conclusion and its inclusion in the MoC.

Issue 8 - *How to control if an Only Representative is representing a non-EU manufacturing company.*

The Forum agreed with the conclusion presented and its inclusion in the MoC.

The Chair reminded the meeting that new issues should be submitted using the template of Annex II of the MoC 50 days before the next meeting.

Item 9 – Joint submission provisions

9.1 Importance of joint submissions provisions and their impact

ECHA's legal representative informed the Forum that ECHA (and the NEAs) received complaints from registrants against other registrants where one party alleged various breaches by another registrant. The key legal concepts involved in these complaints are the data sharing obligations (REACH Articles 25(1), 26 or 30), joint submission obligations (REACH Articles 11 and 19) and protection of copyright. ECHA clarified the concepts referring to a number of examples. Forum members were invited to give feedback on the paper and start discussion with the ECHA Secretariat to clarify how ECHA and the NEAs can work together in such cases. Discussion of two specific cases was foreseen for break-out group 1.

Some Forum members agreed that it was necessary to harmonise the approach towards such cases. Moreover, the cooperation with ECHA, as provider of information and expertise, was deemed important.

The ECHA Secretariat appreciated the feedback and would assess how to pursue the suggestions provided by the Forum.

Item 10 – Updates from the ECHA Forum Secretariat

10.1 Update of the procedure to request information under Article 33 (EEB proposal)

A revised document of the publicly available Forum's procedure to request information under Article 33 was prepared by the ECHA Forum Secretariat in collaboration with a Forum member to address the concerns raised by the European Environmental Bureau (EEB) at the last Forum open session (Forum-16, Oct 2013). However, it was noted that further improvements could be done in the document taking into account EEB's more general comments and open questions. The ECHA Forum Secretariat proposed to postpone the issue until Forum-19 (open session) to achieve a more useful version of the document by that time.

10.2 Update of the Forum's RoP

The Forum's rules of procedures were reviewed and the changes included: i) reference to the PIC Regulation; ii) update the conflicts of interest declaration; iii) addition of a paragraph requesting that communications done by Forum members on behalf of the Forum should be agreed beforehand with the Forum Chair and recorded by the Forum Secretariat.

A further update will be done after Forum-18 and will include the outcome of the discussion on the improvement of the work of the Forum/WGs (agenda item 13).

Item 11 – Cooperation with other networks

11.1 IMPEL project: update

The Forum was informed on the Terms of Reference (ToR) and the proposed timetable for the second phase of the IMPEL project "Linking the Directive on Industrial Emissions (IED) and the REACH Regulation". Some aspects were not clear yet and the Forum was invited to provide comment to help better adjust the scope.

11.2 Life+ update

The ECHA Secretariat informed the Forum that the University of Crete would submit the project under the "LIFE Environment and Resource Efficiency" section of the Life+ program. ECHA would support the project but was not a beneficiary. The call opened on 16 June and would run until 16 October 2014.

Item 13 - Dedicated discussion on the optimisation of the work of the Forum

The ECHA Forum Secretariat collected input from the Forum members during the weeks prior to the Forum meeting to collect more suggestions on how to further improve the Forum's work. The feedback collected was compiled in different packages to address the different issued highlighted by the Forum members. All proposals were introduced and pros and cons were discussed. The Forum agreed by voting on the preferred changes that were registered in the document *ECHA/Forum-18/2014/13_update_on_screen*.

Item 14 – Break-out groups session

14.1 Discussion of topics

The participants separated into groups for discussion of their selected topics.

14.2 Presentations from the break-out groups

The rapporteur from each break-out group presented the highlights and conclusions to the Forum.

14.3 Wrap-up

The Chair presented the highlights of the discussion and identified the action points.

Item 15 – Relevant developments within the ECHA Secretariat

15.1 Updates on Guidance

The Forum was informed on the news related to the publication and consultations of Guidance documents.

15.2 CSR roadmap

The ECHA Secretariat provided an update of the status of the implementation on the chemical safety report (CSR) and exposure scenario (ES) roadmap that could be useful for the inspectors. The Forum was informed on the upcoming information packages on the exposure scenario for communication, publication of an electronic guide of SDS, publication of a new guidance for downstream user (DU) chemical safety assessment (CSA), a new version of practical guide 13 *How DUs can handle exposure scenarios* (scaling) and updates of various ECHA Guidance related to CSA-ES. The Forum was invited to raise awareness and to participate in the various consultations that would take place in the near future.

One Forum member underlined that the new elements in SDSs/ESs could be included in the Forum's training event in 2014.

15.3 REACH and other legislations, synergies in the use of information at downstream user level

The ECHA Secretariat presented a project aiming to promote efficient use of the information available to DUs to comply with REACH duties that could be used to comply with other regulations, e.g. Industrial Emission Directive (IED), Chemical Agents Directive (CAD) and Carcinogens Mutagens Directive (CMD). The Forum was invited to cooperate in the development of two case studies and to participate in a workshop for discussion of such cases, by providing expertise on enforcement.

15.4 2018 registration roadmap

The ECHA Secretariat presented the draft of the 2018 registration roadmap outlining Agency's work between 2014-2018 to streamline procedure, IT tools and its plans to improve company support. Actions are needed because of the greater number of companies/registrants affected by the forthcoming deadline,

and a large proportion of them being SMEs. Specifically, in the current economic climate support to SMEs is crucial. The roadmap was structured along the different phases that the registrant would need to go through when preparing and submitting his registration. The inspectors/NEAs were invited to provide feedback on the draft roadmap since they have close contact with the registrants and could be more aware of potential obstacles.

Item 16 – AOB

16.1 A.I.S.E.'s Guidance on classification and labelling

The Association of the Greek Industry for Detergent and Soaps presented to the Greek NEA the AISE (International Association for Soaps, Detergents and Maintenance Products) approach for classifying detergents and related products. The Greek NEA expressed its opinion declaring its willingness to evaluate case-by-case the classification of any detergent or related product during the enforcement of CLP (controls). The Association of the Greek Industry for Detergent and Soaps claimed that following AISE's presentations to the rest of the EU Member States, all the NEAs (except the Greek NEA) have accepted AISE's position and that, according to the Greek position, their products in the Greek market would be classified as corrosive, while in the rest of the EU they would be classified as irritant.

16.2 ORO Guide consultation

The Forum was invited to participate in a consultation on a Guide drafted by the OR Organisation. A Forum member did already provided a general feedback encouraging the documentation of the relation between OR/Importer/Non-EU manufacturer. It was suggested for the Forum to support a more general feedback on the Guide. ECHA Secretariat also proved courtesy comments on the document with the caveat that it could not be perceived as a formal agreement/adoption of the content.

Item 17 – Closing of the meeting

The Chair thanked the participants, the COM and the ECHA Secretariat for their contributions and support. With that, she closed the meeting.

II. MAIN CONCLUSIONS & ACTION POINTS - Forum-18

24-26 June2014

(Adopted at the Forum-18 meeting)

Agenda point	Conclusions / decisions / minority opinions	Action requested after the meeting
Item 1- Welcome and introduction		
1.2 Adoption of the Agenda	Agenda was adopted.	
1.4 Follow up from Forum-17		**Forum-S** will add the remaining open items in the action points from Forum-18
1.5 Practicalities and brief recapitulation of results of the written procedures between Forum-17 and Forum-18	The Forum adopted the revised version of Annex 1 of the Manual of Conclusions.	
Item 2 – ECHA's Executive Director		
Item 3 – Forum's enforcement activities- Work Packages		
3.1.1 Interlinks WG progress report	The Forum agreed that the next Forum Interlinks workshop will focus on the follow up of substance evaluation decisions and the improvement of the dossier evaluation SONC follow up process.	
3.1.2 Interlinks WG Mandate	The Forum revised the mandate of the WG Interlinks.	**Forum members** are invited to appoint additional experts to the WG by 1 August.
3.1.3.1 Follow-up of ECHA Decisions – updates from ECHA - Report on cases sent to MS Focal points	-	**Forum-S** to investigate if generic information on grounds for revocations could be included in future information documents for the Forum.

3.1.3.2 Follow-up of Workshop on compliance check	-	**ECHA secretariat** to consider including dedicated agenda items to discuss enforcement issues at meetings with Member States focused on key processes where enforcement is relevant. **ECHA Secretariat and WG Interlinks** to consider how NEA suggestions on dossiers that should undergo compliance check can be fed into the dossier evaluation process. **ECHA Secretariat, in consultation with WG Interlinks** will organise discussion on specific follow up actions from the Compliance Check WS.
3.1.3.3 Follow-up of Workshop Substance Evaluation	-	-
3.1.3.4 The appeals to date: enforcement implications		**Forum-S and WG Interlinks** ensure that the guide on interlinks describes how appeals are taken into account when NEAs are invited to follow up ECHA decisions. **Forum-S and Chairs** will discuss the frequency and format of reporting to the Forum about the BoA activities by Forum-19.
3.2.1 Electronic Information Exchange System – EIES - WG report	-	-
3.2.2 EIES - Mandate amendment	Forum reviewed the mandate of the WG EIES.	**Forum members** are invited to appoint additional experts to the WG by 1 August.

3.3.1 Implementation of RIPE - RIPE project progress report		**Forum members** are invited to appoint of BPR experts to WG RIPE till 1 August.
3.3.2 Implementation of RIPE - RIPE project progress report	-	-
3.3.3 Implementation of RIPE - Mandate amendment	The Forum reviewed the mandate of the Working Group.	
3.4.1 Forum enforcement Projects - REF-3 Progress report	-	-
3.4.2 REF-3 – Challenges faced by REACH inspectors when cooperating with customs	-	**WG REF-3** will consider how to use the document prepared by COM and information available on cooperation with customs when preparing the final REF-3 report.
3.4.3 REF-3 – Revision of the Mandate	-	
3.5.1 Training for enforcement trainers - WG progress report		**Forum members** are invited to suggest possible additional material for the proposed training topics by 11 July. **Forum members** are invited to nominate two participants per MS to the training event by 26 September 2014.
3.5.2 Training for enforcement trainers - Mandate amendment	The Forum reviewed the mandate of the WG.	

3.6.1 Enforceability of Restrictions – Progress report	The Forum agreed on the WG proposal for getting involved in the COM process of developing standard analytical methods.	**Forum-S** inform the COM on the Forum proposal for involvement in the standardisation process by 4 July **COM** is invited to confirm if the Forum can be involved in the process of standardising of analytical methods as proposed by the Forum by Forum-19. **Forum members** are invited to provide information on whether national guidance exist on interpreting the results of test analyses by 1 September **Forum members** are invited to share experience on enforcement of restrictions where there is no limit value by 1 September.
3.6.2 Restriction and Customs		**Forum members** are invited to indicate whether and in what form they are willing to be involved in collection of information requested by COM by 1 September **COM** will propose a further practical way forward for this exercise, considering the feedback from the Forum at Forum-19.
3.6.3 WG Restrictions– Mandate Amendment	The Forum reviewed the mandate of the WG.	

3.7.1 Project on Authorisation – WG progress report		**Forum members** are invited to provide feedback on draft manual by 14 July **Forum members** may signal their willingness for the participation in the pilot project preferably by 14 July and at the latest by 1 September **Forum-S** to provide the list(s) of dossiers used to produce the figures presented at Forum-17
3.7.2 Project on Authorisation - Mandate Amendment	The Forum reviewed the mandate of the WG.	**Forum members** are invited to appoint additional experts by 4 July.
3.8.1. Prioritisation of REF projects - WG progress report	The Forum agreed to proceed with the second pilot project on authorisation, the subject, scope and timeline of which will be agreed at Forum-20.	**Forum-S and COM** to explore practical ways of requesting funding for supporting the projects
3.8.2. Prioritisation of REF projects – Mandate amendment		
Item 4 – Enforcement projects in Member States		
4.1 UK: Asbestos in gas masks	-	**UK Forum member** is invited to present the results of the project when it is completed.
4.2 EL: Results and the evaluation of the annual meeting of Greek REACH/CLP inspectors for 2014	-	
4.3 CY: Nickel restriction campaign: New developments	-	**Forum-S** will invite COM to present the risk assessment for RAPEX to start the discussion how the risk of chemical products should be addressed for RAPEX notifications.

Item 5 – Update on relevant developments by the Commission		
5.1 Updates by the European Commission	-	**Forum members** are invited to comment to Forum-S on the new MS Reporting template by 10 July
5.2 Update on the Enforcement indicators (ENFIND) study	-	**Forum members** are invited to send to Forum-S comments and feedback on what would be good enforcement indicators by 11 July **Forum members** are invited to submit to Forum-S the questions and comments on the presentation on the ENFIND study by 11 July **Forum-S** will forward them to COM who will ensure that the contractor will provide an answer by Forum-19
Item 6 – Proposal for informal network of NEA Lawyers		
Proposal for informal network of NEA Lawyers		**Forum-S** will invite Forum members to indicate to if their lawyers are available for such informal network by 1 August **Forum members** are invited to indicate if they wish for ECHA to send a formal invitation letter.
Item 8 – Practical issues for enforcement of REACH and CLP		
Issue 1 Enforcement of OR duties	-	**WG REF-3** will consider the discussions on this issue in the support they provide to the national coordinators.

Issue 2 Assessment of the phase-in status and the volume for substances covered by an Only Representative	-	**Forum-S** will organise a consultation round by 11 July 2014 **Forum members** will be invited for comments by 8 August 2014
Issue 3 Determination of how much information about the physical properties of nano particles the authorities can demand in section 9 in the Safety data sheet.		**Forum-S** will send draft document 'Supplement of MoC' for consultation by 1 August 2014 **Forum members** are invited to provide comments by 18 August 2014
Issue 4 Who is responsible for labelling a substance which is imported and registered by an Only Representative?		**Forum-S** will send draft document 'Supplement of MoC' for consultation by 1 August 2014 **Forum members** are invited to provide comments by 18 August 2014
Issue 5 Obligation to provide an SDS to retailers offering substances to general public.	The Forum decided to revisit the issue.	**Forum-S** will prepare organise written consultations with the view to prepared the discussion of this issue at Forum-19
Issue 6 Enforcement of dossier evaluation or substance evaluation decisions, following an appeal; suspensive effect.	Forum closed the issue.	

Issue 7 Duty to communicate information on substances in articles: The scope of Article 33 of REACH		**Forum-S** will send for consultation the draft document 'Supplement of MoC' including the linguistic changes by 1 August 2014 **Forum members** are invited to provide comments by 18 August 2014
Issue 8 How to control if an Only Representative (OR) is representing a non-EU manufacturing company		**Forum-S** will send draft document 'Supplement of MoC' for consultation by 1 August 2014 **Forum members** are invited to provide comments by 18 August 2014
Item 9 – Joint submission provisions		
9.1 Importance of Joint submissions provisions and their impact		**Forum-S** will formulate additional questions related to finding a practical solution after the break out group by 11 July **Forum members** will be invited to submit feedback by 1 September
Item 10 – Joint submission provisions		
10.1 Update of the procedure to request information under Art 33 (EEB proposal)		Forum-S will organise a consultation and adoption of the revised documents in written procedure before F-19.

10.2 Update of the Forum's RoP		**Forum-S** will provide a new draft of the RoPs, considering the outcome of discussions at on optimisation of Forum work, by 11 July **Forum-S** will provide the newly referenced decisions of the ED. **Forum members** are invited to provide comments to the document by 1 September **Forum-S** will organise the adoption of the revised RoPs in written procedure or during Forum-19 depending on the extent of the comments provided.
Item 11– Item 11 – Cooperation with other networks		
11.1 IMPEL Project – follow-up		**The Forum members** are invited to provide comments to the second phase ToR and project timetable by 11 July BE, BG, AT Forum members, ECHA Secretariat and COM representatives are invited to propose how to contribute more effectively to this project.
11.2 Life + Update	-	**Forum-S** will send the ECHA comments on the presentation directly to the project team.
Item 13 –Dedicated discussion on the optimisation of the work of the Forum		
Optimisation of Forum work	The Forum agreed to implement the improvement packages for plenary meetings, resolution of practical issues, operation of working groups and cooperation with ASOs.	Forum-S to implement the changes in the RoPs and other relevant documents before Forum-19.

Item 14 – Break-out Groups Session		
14.1 Discussion of topics	-	-
14.2 Presentations from the break-out groups – **TOPIC 1 – Issues with joint submission and data sharing**		**ECHA Secretariat** to deliver a proposal to WG Interlinks on how to liaise together in similar cases, including handling of information from NEAs on poor quality dossiers. **WG Interlinks** to consider and refine the proposal for potential inclusion in interlinks guide.
14.2 Presentations from the break-out groups – **TOPIC 2: CLP: common approaches in the enforcement of specific provisions of CLP**		**WG Train the Trainers 2015** to consider if CLP related training could be organised in the first half of 2015 **Forum** to establish a WG Train the Trainers 2015 at Forum-19.
14.2 Presentations from the break-out groups – **TOPIC 3: Criteria for selection of enforceable cases from ECHA screening exercises**		**Forum members** are invited to submit further ideas for screening criteria for ECHA to produce cases for NEAs to enforce by 1 September **ECHA Secretariat** will produce a list of cases for the next meeting. **ECHA Secretariat** will propose a list of relevant data to be provided to NEAs and propose a way of providing them by Forum-19 **WG Interlinks** will explore the interlink between ECHA and NEA related to provision of cases for NEAs at the next WG meeting

14.3 Wrap-up	-	-
Item 15 – Relevant developments within ECHA Secretariat		
15.1 Updates on Guidance	-	-
15.2 CSR roadmap	-	**Forum members** are invited to share the information related to CSR roadmap by 1 September **Forum members** are invited to express interest in joining any of the activities under CSR/ES Roadmap by Forum-19
15.3 REACH and other legislations, synergies in the use of information at DU level	-	**Forum members** are invited to submit questions and comments to the presentation by 1 August **Forum members** are invited to express interest in contributing or participating in the Workshop in ECHA by 1 August
15.4 2018 registrant roadmap	-	**Forum-S** will distribute the 2018 registration roadmap to the Forum by 30 June **Forum members** will be invited to provide comments on the obstacles to registration by 5 September
Item 16 – AOB		
16.1 A.I.S.E.'s Guidance on classification and labelling		**Forum members** are invited to provide feedback to EL Forum member and Forum-S about their national position regarding the AISE paper. **Forum** will revisit the subject at Forum-19
16.2 ORO guide		

Action points from Forum-17 still open at Forum-18

Agenda point	Conclusions / decisions / minority opinions	Action requested after the meeting	Status
4.6.3 ECHA study on estimating administrative burden of enforcing restrictions		**Forum members** are invited to send comments on the presentation by 11 April **Forum-S** will invite the Forum to provide comments on the document on that subject that will be distributed before Forum-18	**DONE** **Open** **(after F-18)**
4.9.1 Forum's Multi Annual Work Programme – Final report	The Forum adopted the Multi Annual Work Programme.	**Forum-S** and in consultation of the WG MAWP will prepare a draft public version and consult it with the Forum.	**DONE**
Item 7 – MS reporting			
7.2 Proposal for elaboration of PIC report template	The Forum looks forward to take part on the definition of the PIC reporting template undertaken by the COM.	**COM** is invited to keep the Forum updated at the next plenaries and when available, present the plan for the elaboration of the PIC Regulation reporting template.	**F-18** (see content I-1.4)
Item 10 – Break-out Groups Session			

10.3 Presentations from the break-out groups – **TOPIC 1 – SDS Checklist**	The Forum expressed a general support and appreciation for the initiative of developing an SDS checklist by ECHA.	**Forum members** are invited to provide further comments (highlighting priority SDS sections) on the check list by 25 April **Forum-S** will distribute a revised version of the checklist to the Forum Members. **ECHA** will make a proposal for conducting a trial period. **ECHA** will investigate the possibilities for translating the checklist. **ECHA** will consider how it can provide further support to authorities in control of SDS	**DONE** **Open** **Open** **Open** **Open**
10.3 Presentations from the break-out groups – **TOPIC 2**	-	**COM** is invited to explore the solutions for issues identified in the discussion, which fall within its remit, and come back at Forum-19.	**F-19**
13.5 Status of Art 36 triggered by mass screening of Intermediates		**ECHA** will inform the Forum on the progress with the Art 36 decisions triggered by mass screening of intermediates in case there are actual cases that need a followed up by NEAs.	**Open**

III. List of Attendees

Forum members

	Country	Name
1	AT	Eugen ANWANDER
2	BE	Paul CUYPERS
3	CY	Tasoula KYPRIANIDOU-LEONTIDOU
4	CZ	Oldrich JAROLÍM
5	DE	Katja VOM HOFE
6	DK	Birte BØRGLUM
7	EE	Alonja HONGA
8	EL	Eleni FOUFA
9	ES	Pablo SÁNCHEZ PEÑA
10	FI	Marilla LAHTINEN
11	FR	Vincent DESIGNOLLE
12	HR	Dubravka KREKOVIC
13	HU	Szilvia DEIM
14	IE	Sinead MCMICKAN
15	IT	Mariano ALESSI
16	LT	Otilija GRINCEVIČIŪTĖ
17	LU	Kim ENGELS
18	LV	Parsla PALLO
19	MT	Michael CASSAR
20	NL	Jos VAN DEN BERG
21	NO	Gro HAGEN
22	PL	Marta OSÓWNIAK
23	PT	Graca BRAVO
24	RO	Mihaiela ALBULESCU
25	SE	Agneta WESTERBERG
26	SI	Vesna NOVAK
27	SK	Dusan KOLESAR
28	UK	Mike POTTS

Invited experts

	Country	Name
1	AT	Gernot WURM
2	CZ	Petr MUSIL
3	DK	Anette RAVN BHARATHAN
4	EE	Marina KARRO
5	ES	Laura ZAMORA NAVAS
6	HU	Borbala ÁDER
7	IT	Maria Letizia POLCI
8	LT	Zilvinas UZOMECKAS
9	LV	Kristine KAZEROVSKA

	Country	Name
10	NO	Abdulqadir SULEIMAN
11	PT	Teresa RAMOS DE ALMEIDA
12	UK	Paul CARTER
13	UK	Louise HANLEY

Advisers

	Country	Name
1	BE	Katrien MAASEN
2	DE	Tobias JACOBI
3	DE	Stefan FRENZEL
4	DK	Mia LEE
5	FI	Suvi RAITALA
6	FI	Mervi LEIKOSKI
7	SE	Henrik HEDLUND

European Commission representative

	DG	Name
1	ENTR	AGUADO-MONSONET Miguel

European Commission's Contractor

	Organisation	Name
1	PWC	Bas WARMENHOVEN
2	PWC	Bas COOLSMA

	ECHA	Unit
1	ALASUVANTO Toni	Computational Assessment
2	ALATALO Henri	Guidance and Forum Secretariat
3	BARANSKI Maciej	Guidance and Forum Secretariat
4	CALVO TOLEDO Juan Pablo	Guidance and Forum Secretariat
5	CARLON Claudio	Evaluation
6	CLIFFE Brendan	Guidance and Forum Secretariat
7	CORNU Catherine	Dossier Submission
8	FELICIANO Tania	Guidance and Forum Secretariat
9	FRONTINI Ales	Guidance and Forum Secretariat
10	IBER Andrea	Legal Affairs
11	KARAMERTZANIS Panagiotis	Computational Assessment
12	KORJUS Pia	Evaluation
13	MAROSVOLOGYI Nikoletta	Legal Affairs
14	MEGAW Peter	Guidance and Forum Secretariat
15	NIKULA Terhi	Guidance and Forum Secretariat
16	NOUWEN Johan	Guidance and Forum Secretariat
17	ORTUNO Mercedes	Board of Appeal
18	PILLET Monique	Risk Management Identification
19	RASENBERG Mike	Computational Assessment
20	ROSELLO VILARROIG Pedro	Helpdesk
21	SANCHEZ-SAEZ Javier	Dossier Submission

22	SCHULTHEISS Christian	Legal Affairs
23	SOKOLOVA Maia	Helpdesk
24	SOMPOLSKI Daniel	Substance ID and Data Sharing
25	TANARRO Celia	Guidance and Forum Secretariat
26	TŁOCZEK Magdalena	Guidance and Forum Secretariat
27	VINAS Mercedes	Computational Assessment
28	WALIN Laura	Registration

IV. List of Annexes

Annex 1 – Final agenda Forum-18

24 June 2014
ECHA/Forum-18/2014/A_room_doc

Final Agenda
Eighteenth meeting of the
Forum for Exchange of Information on Enforcement
(Forum-18)
24-26 June 2014

European Chemicals Agency
Helsinki, Finland
Tuesday, 24 June: starts at 09:00
Thursday, 26 June: ends at 16:30

DAY 1 Tuesday 24 June 2014

Item 1 – Welcome and Introduction *09:00-09:30*

1.1 Opening by the Chair of the Forum –*Chair (10')*
1.2 Adoption of the Agenda –*Chair (05')*
1.3 Declarations of conflict of interest with regard to agenda items – *Chair (05')*
1.4 State of play with action points from Forum-17 – *ECHA Forum Secretariat (05')*
1.5 Practicalities and brief recapitulation of results of the written procedures between Forum-17 and Forum-18 - *ECHA Forum Secretariat (05')*

ECHA/Forum-18/2014/A
ECHA/Forum-18/2014/1.4
ECHA/Forum-18/2014/1.5_room_doc

For adoption
For information

Item 2 – Address by ECHA's Deputy Executive Director *09:30-09:40*

For information

Item 3 – Forum's enforcement activities- Work Packages *09:40-13:00*

3.1 Interlinks WG (50')

3.1.1 WG progress report - *WG Chair*
3.1.2 Mandate amendment - *ECHA Forum Secretariat*

ECHA/Forum-18/2014/3.1.1_room_doc
ECHA/Forum-18/2014/3.1.2_room_doc

For discussion

Coffee break 10:30-11:00

3.1.3 Follow-up of ECHA Decisions – updates from ECHA

3.1.3.1 Report on cases sent to MS Focal points (10') - *ECHA Forum Secretariat*
3.1.3.2 Follow-up of Workshop on compliance check (30') - *ECHA Secretariat*
3.1.3.3 Follow-up of Workshop Substance Evaluation (35') - *ECHA Secretariat*
3.1.3.4 The appeals to date: enforcement implications (30') - *ECHA Board of Appeal*

ECHA/Forum-18/2014/3.1.3.1

For information
For discussion

3.2 Electronic Information Exchange System - EIES (15')

3.2.1 WG report- *WG Chair / ECHA Forum Secretariat*
3.2.2 Mandate amendment - *ECHA Forum Secretariat*

ECHA/Forum-18/2014/3.2.1
ECHA/Forum-18/2014/3_draft_mandates

For information

Lunch break 13:00-14:00

Item 4 – Enforcement projects in Member States *14:00-14:45*

4.1 UK: Asbestos in gas masks (15') - *UK invited expert*
4.2 EL: Results and the evaluation of the annual meeting of Greek REACH/CLP inspectors for 2014 (15') - *EL Forum member*
4.3 CY: Nickel restriction campaign: New developments (15') – *CY Forum member*

For information

Item 5 – Update on relevant developments by the Commission *14:45-15:45*

5.1 General updates by the European Commission
5.2 Update on the Enforcement Indicator (ENFIND) Study

ECHA/Forum-18/2014/5.1
For information

Coffee break 15:45-16:15

Item 6 – Proposal for informal network of NEA Lawyers *16:15-16:35*

For discussion

Item 3 – Forum's enforcement activities- Work Packages (cont.) *16:35-17:50*

3.3 Implementation of RIPE (30')

3.3.1 WG progress report – *WG Chair*
3.3.2 RIPE project progress report - *ECHA Forum Secretariat*
3.3.3 Mandate amendment - *ECHA Forum Secretariat*

ECHA/Forum-18/2014/3.3.1
ECHA/Forum-18/2014/3_draft_mandates

For information

3.4 REACH-EN-FORCE-3 (20')

3.4.1 WG progress report - *WG Chair*
3.4.2 Challenges faced by REACH inspectors when cooperating with customs - *COM*
3.4.3 Mandate amendment - *ECHA Forum Secretariat*

ECHA/Forum-18/2014/3.4.1
ECHA/Forum-18/2014/3.4.2
ECHA/Forum-18/2014/3_draft_mandates

For information

3.5 Training for enforcement trainers (25')

3.5.1 WG progress report - *WG Chair*
3.5.2 Mandate amendment - *ECHA Forum Secretariat*

ECHA/Forum-18/2014/3.5.1
ECHA/Forum-18/2014/3_draft_mandates

For information

Item 7 – Adoption of conclusions from day 1 (AM) *17:50-18:00*

For adoption

Complementary drink at ECHA canteen / terrace
- All participants -

DAY 2 Wednesday 25 June 2014

Item 3 – Forum's enforcement activities- Work Packages (cont.) *09:00-13:00*

3.6 Enforceability of Restrictions (120')

3.6.1 WG progress report – *WG Chair*
3.6.2 Restrictions and Customs - *COM*
3.6.3 Mandate amendment - *ECHA Forum Secretariat*

ECHA/Forum-18/2014/3.6.1
ECHA/Forum-18/2014/3.6.2
ECHA/Forum-18/2014/3.6.2_annex1
ECHA/Forum-18/2014/3_draft_mandates

For adoption
For information

Coffee break 11:00-11:30

3.7. Project on Authorisation (30')
3.7.1 WG progress report – *WG Chair*
3.7.2 Mandate amendment - *ECHA Forum Secretariat*

ECHA/Forum-18/2014/3.7.1
ECHA/Forum-18/2014/3_draft_mandates

For discussion

3.8 Prioritisation of REF projects (60')
3.8.1 REF-4 project: Results of prioritisation analysis – *WG Chair*
3.8.2 Mandate amendment - *ECHA Forum Secretariat*

ECHA/Forum-18/2014/3.8.1
ECHA/Forum-18/2014/3_draft_mandates

For discussion

Lunch break 13:00-14:00

Item 8 – Practical issues for enforcement of REACH and CLP *14:00-16:00*

8.1 Items raised by Forum/ECHA/COM (list of practical issues is prepared independently from the agenda)

ECHA/Forum-18/2014/8

For discussion

Coffee break 16:00-16:30

Item 9 – Joint submission provisions *16:30-17:15*

9.1 Importance of Joint submissions provisions and their impact – *ECHA Secretariat*

ECHA/Forum-18/2014/9

For discussion

Item 10 – Updates from the ECHA Forum Secretariat *17:15-17:25*

10.1 Update of the procedure to request information under Art 33 (EEB proposal) (05')
10.2 Update of the Forum's RoP (05')

ECHA/Forum-18/2014/10.1
ECHA/Forum-18/2014/10.2
ECHA/Forum-18/2014/10.2_room_doc

For information

Item 11 – Cooperation with other networks *17:25-17:30*

11.1 IMPEL project: update - *Forum member*
11.2 Life + Update – *ECHA Secretariat*

ECHA/Forum-18/2014/11.1

For information

Item 12 – Adoption of conclusions from Day 1 PM / Day 2 AM *17:30-17:45*

For adoption

Regular meeting with ECHA Directors and Forum Chairs *18:00-19:00*

DAY 3 Thursday 26 June 2014

Item 13 –Dedicated discussion on the optimisation of the work of the Forum *09:00-11:15*

13.1 How to improve the Forum meetings
13.2 How to improve the work of the WGs
13.3 How to improve the practical issues process
13.4 How to improve the collaboration with the ASOs

ECHA/Forum-18/2014/13
ECHA/Forum-18/2014/13_room_doc

For discussion

Coffee break 11:15-11:45

Item 14 – Break-out Groups Session *11:45-13:00*

14.1 Discussion of topics (75'):

Topic 1: Issues with joint submission and data sharing
Topic 2: CLP: common approaches in the enforcement of specific provisions of CLP
Topic 3: Criteria for selection of enforceable cases from ECHA screening exercises

ECHA/Forum-18/2014/14.1
ECHA/Forum-18/2014/14.1_Topic3_room_doc

For discussion

Lunch break 13:00-14:00

14.2 Presentations from the break-out groups (15' each group) – *Rapporteurs*
14.3 Wrap-up (05') - *CHAIR*

For discussion

Item 15 – Relevant developments within ECHA Secretariat *14:50-15:50*

15.1 Updates on Guidance (05')
15.2 CSR roadmap (20')
15.3 REACH and other legislations, synergies in the use of information at DU level (15')
15.4 2018 registrant roadmap (20')

ECHA/Forum-18/2014/15.1
For information

Item 16 – AOB *15:50-16:00*

16.1 A.I.S.E.'s Guidance on classification and labelling

For information

Item 17 – Conclusions and action points from Day 2 pm / Day 3 *16:00-16:30*

For adoption

Item 18 – Closing of the meeting *16:30*

[Coffee will be available at the end of the meeting]

Annex 2 a

Forum Working Group
"Interlinks"
(Mandate revised at Forum-18)

Composition:

Chair: Mike POTTS (UK)

Forum Members/Alternates
- Katja VOM HOFE (DE)
- Parvoleta LULEVA (BG)
- Mihaiela ALBULESCU (RO)
- Eugen ANWANDER (AT)

Invited Experts
- Borbála ADER (HU)
- Rosemarie GREIWE (DE)

Commission

Objectives:
- Support the development of institutional interlinks

Tasks:
- Further develop the consolidated guide on handling the interlinks between NEAs, MSCA and ECHA, including the relevant Focal Points
- Define the interlinks between institutions relevant for PIC enforcement and incorporate them in the consolidated guide on handling the interlinks between NEAs, MSCA and ECHA
- Support the operation of pilot projects related to interlinks if they are established
- Contribute to planning, preparation and conduct of the Workshop on Interlinks involving the Forum, MSCAs/DNAs and ECHA to take place in Q4 2014.
- Comment about the enforceability of the conditions for PPORDs defined in a small sample of ECHA draft decisions setting PPORD conditions in order to formulate general recommendations for writing enforceable PPORD conditions when preparing future advice
- Investigate the enforceability of the first authorsation decision and recommend to the Forum whether input on enforceability would be needed for future decisions.

Timeline:
- Forum-21 (June 2015)

Annex 2 b

Forum Working Group

"Electronic Information Exchange System"

(Mandate revised at Forum-18)

Composition:

Interim Chair: Birte BØRGLUM (DK)

Forum Members/Alternates
- Pablo SÁNCHEZ PEÑA (ES)
- Marta OSOWNIAK (PL)

Invited Experts
- Tone Line FOSSNES (NO)
- Maria TARANCON (ES)
- Gernot WURM (AT)
- Piergiuseppe CALÁ (IT)
- Axel DORENBECK (DE)
- Michael FAGERLUND (DK)[3]

Commission
- Peter BARICIC

Objectives:
- Support the European Commission in expanding the tool and contribute to promoting best practices in its use among REACH/CLP inspectors after it is released

Mandate:
- Cooperate with the Commission to provide any necessary feedback about WG EIES requests or specification that are needed for implementing the agreed changes
- Test the new version of ICSMS before it is released, ensuring that all agreed changes are in place
- Based on the recommendation of WG RIPE, examine and, if needed, elaborate, in cooperation with WG RIPE, the description of data be exchanged when enforcing PIC Regulation as well as relevant features
- Based on the recommendation of WG RIPE, recommend, if needed, in cooperation with WG RIPE, which existing tool would be the most appropriate to exchange PIC information between inspectors
- Develop a guidance document for using the ICSMS/EIES in enforcement of REACH, CLP and, if needed, PIC
- With the support from the Commission, contribute to planning, preparing and conduct of the training for Member State representatives about the use of new ICSMS by REACH and CLP inspectors by the end of 2015

Timeline:
- Forum-23 (Q1 2016)

[3] Post-meeting update

Annex 2 c

Forum Working Group

"Implementation of RIPE"

(Mandate revised at Forum-18)

Composition:

Chair: Pablo SANCHEZ-PEÑA (ES)

Forum Members
- Eugen ANWANDER (AT) (Also BPR)
- Eleni FOUFA (EL)

Invited Experts
- Paolo IZZO (IT)
- Andrea MAYER-FIGGE (DE) (until 30.09.2014)
- Jurgen WILLE (DE) (from 01.10.2014) (Also BPR)
- Jurgen SCHMID (DE)
- Sofia BARATA (PT)

BPR Experts
- Brigitte EDER (AT) (BPR)[4]
- Francesca RAVAIOLLI (IT) (BPR)[4]
- Natalija UMBRASIENE (LT) (BPR) [4]
- Pia LINDFORS (FI) (BPR) [4]

Objective:
- Support the implementation of the REACH Information Portal for Enforcement (RIPE) allowing inspectors access to data submitted to ECHA

Mandate:
- Provide input during preparation, development and implementation of RIPE 2
- Prepare specification for any further screening or statistics reports
- Contribute to preparation and delivery of RIPE training for SPOCs /MS RIPE Administrators after the release of RIPE 2 in 2015
- Analyse the data and functionalities needed by inspectors enforcing the PIC Regulation and make a recommendation for WG EIES what data needs to be exchanged by inspectors enforcing the PIC Regulation
- Subject request from BPR MSCAs help ECHA to prepare functional and data requirements for expansion of RIPE for the inspectors enforcing Biocidal Product Regulation

Timeline:
- Forum-22 (end of 2015)

[4] Post meeting update

Annex 2 d

Forum Working Group
Work Package A.1

"Coordinated enforcement project REACH-EN-FORCE-3"

(Mandate revised at Forum-18)

Composition:

Chair:

Forum Members
- Paul CUYPERS (BE)
- Jos VAN DEN BERG (NL)
- Eugen ANWANDER (AT)
- Pablo SÁNCHEZ PEÑA (ES)
- Maria Letizia POLCI (IT Alternate)

Invited Experts
- Alfred EBNET (DE) (customs)
- Paivi SIMPANEN (FI) (customs)
- Panagiotis GIMNAOU (CY)
- Ruta Birute DAUKSIENE (LT) (customs)
- Sibylle WURSTHORN (DE)

Commission

Objective:
- conceive and manage the third major Forum enforcement project

Mandate:
- Prepare a document identifying and proposing priority of possible subjects for third Forum enforcement project, considering the project prioritisation criteria
- Subject proposals shall include an aspect where the procedure of cooperation with customs could be tested
- After the subject is approved by the Forum, develop the project manual (guidance document, checklist, planning, recommendations) for the execution of the third Forum enforcement project
- Prepare and deliver the training for project national coordinators
- Management of the Operational phase
- Management the Reporting phase: Follow-up operational phase, collect the results and draft project evaluation

Timeline:

First phase

- Subject proposals and prioritisation: 1 September 2010 (done)
- Approval of the REF-3 subject : Forum-10 (done)
- Project manual: Q3 2012 (written procedure) (done)
- Prepare and deliver the training for project national coordinators:Q4 2012 – Q1 2013 (done)
- Operational phase: 01 February 2013 – 31 August 2013 (done)
- Reporting phase (National Coordinators): 01 September - 31 October 2013 (done)
- Evaluation phase: 01 November – 31 December 2013 (done)
- Draft report of phase 1 with the WG recommendations: Forum 17 (done)
- Adoption REF-3 phase 1 report: After Forum-17 (written procedure) (done)

Timeline for the prolonged REF-3 (sequel project):

Second phase:

- Inform National Coordinators: after F-15 (done)
- Adjusted scope and update supportive documents (Addendum): scope was adopted at Forum-16. Addendum to be adopted after Forum-16 via written procedure (done)
- Inform National Coordinators about new documents: Q4 2013- January 2014 (done)
- Second Operational phase: 01 February 2014– 30 November 2014
- Second Reporting phase (National Coordinators): 01 December - 31 January 2015
- Evaluation phase: 01 February – 31 May 2015
- Final consolidated report for REF-3 with the WG recommendations: June 2015 (Forum 21)

Annex 2 e

Forum Working Group

Work Package C.2.

"Training for enforcement trainers 2014"

(Mandate revised at Forum-18)

Composition:

Chair: Tasoula KYPRIANIDOU-LEONTIDOU (CY)

Forum Members/Alternates

- Eugen ANWANDER (AT)
- Mariano ALESSI (IT)
- Gro HAGEN (NO)
- Mihaiela ALBULESCU (RO)
- Anne-Catherine ALFANO (FR Alternate)
- Maria ORPHANOU (CY Alternate)

Invited Experts

- Natali PROMET (EE)
- Louise HANLEY (UK)
- Celsino GOVONI (IT)
- Demi THEODORI (NL)
- Henrik HEDLUND (SE)
- Semira HAJRLAHOVIC MEHIC (SI)
- Nathan KUPER (SLIC-CHEMEX)

Commission

-

Objective:

- Prepare and deliver the training for trainers on the enforcement of REACH and CLP in second half of 2014

Mandate:

- Examine the training subjects relevant for enforcement for second half of 2014 and prepare the priority topics for agreement before the Forum 17
- Prepare materials necessary for the training such as presentations or documents
- Actively conduct the training event with support from other Forum members, ECHA and COM and other experts in specific topics as necessary
- Collect, summarise and evaluate the recommendations and reactions of participants

Timeline:

- Before Forum-17: conclude on list of subjects and prioritisation (done)
- Forum-20: final report, depending on the date of the training

Annex 2 f

Forum Working Group

"Enforceability of restrictions"

Work Package B12

(Mandate revised at Forum-18)

Composition:

Chair: Paul CUYPERS (BE)
Co-Chair: Maria Letizia POLCI (IT Alternate)

Forum Members/Alternates

- Mariano ALESSI (IT)
- Aljona HONGA (EE)
- Mervi LEIKOSKI (FI Alternate)
- Jos VAN DEN BERG (NL)

Invited Experts

- Rachael ALLEN (UK)
- Werner ALTKOFER (DE)
- Skirmante AMBRAZIENE (LT)
- Leonello ATTIAS (IT)
- Erika CZÉGENI (HU)
- Marek DUSZYNSKI (PL)
- Carolina FERRANTI (IT)
- Tone Line FOSSNES (NO)
- Julia GONZALEZ GUTIERREZ (ES)
- Philipp HOHENBLUM (AT)
- Uwe LICHT-KLAGGE (DE)
- Karin RUMAR (SE)
- Durk SCHAKEL (NL)
- George TSAGAROPOULOS (EL)
- Siru VILJAKAINEN (FI)
- Gernot WURM (AT)

European Commission

- Patricia HUALDE GRASA (COM)

ECHA

- Juan Pablo CALVO TOLEDO (ECHA)
- Sotiris KIOKIAS (ECHA)

Objective:

- Facilitate the enforceability of restrictions

Mandate:

- According to the working procedure for developing the Forum advice on enforceability of the Annex XV proposals for restrictions adopted by the Forum, the WG shall:
  - Prepare a draft Forum advice on the enforceability of Annex XV proposals for restrictions that are in conformity with the REACH requirements, taking into account the comments of the Forum members.
  - Prepare a draft final Forum advice that will be submitted to the Forum for adoption.
  - Provide support on enforcement related issues to SEAC (co-) rapporteurs during the process of the elaborating the SEAC opinion.
- In the execution of this mandate, the members of the WG shall follow the rules and principles established in the mandate given by the Chair of the Forum to the individual members and invited experts of the WG.
- The WG shall report to the Forum the results of its findings and its actions between the plenaries
- Propose a methodology for recommending analytical methods. After this methodology is elaborated, propose the elaboration of a compendium of recommended analytical methods in liaison with stakeholder organisations if needed, and other relevant bodies.
- Propose a manual intended to assist the control of compliance with the Annex XVII restrictions in close cooperation with ECHA.

Timeline:

- 31 December 2014, reporting at each plenary meeting

Annex 2 g

Forum Working Group

"First Forum Pilot Project on Authorisation"

(Mandate revised at Forum-18)

Composition:

Chair: Jos van den Berg (NL)

Forum Members/Alternates
- Vincent DESIGNOLLE (FR)
- Mariano ALESSI (IT)
- Eugen ANWANDER (AT) - *fieldwork*

Invited Experts
- Jordane WODLI (FR)
- Adhemar ROG (NL)
- Hannah DOHERTY (UK)
- Paul CARTER (UK)
- Stefan FRENZEL (DE)
- Amàlia CASTELLTORT SEGURA (ES)
- Majella LOWE (IE)
- Nikolaos SPETSERIS[5] (EL)

Objectives:
- Coordinate and manage the preparatory, operational and reporting phases of the Forum first pilot project on authorisation aimed at building enforcement experience and practices involved in controlling authorization related obligations

Mandate:
- Develop the project manual and necessary materials for the execution of the Forum first pilot project on authorisation related to the presence of substances subject to authorisation on the market
- Prepare and deliver the training for the national coordinators
- Coordinate and provide consulting assistance to the national coordinators from the participating countries during the operational and reporting phase of the project
- Supply the national coordinators with up-to-date versions of project documents
- Collect and compile results from the national coordinators
- Prepare final project report and present it to the Forum plenary
- Cooperate with the future WG "Forum Pilot Project 2 on authorisation'

[5] Post meeting update

Timeline:

Preparatory phase – March 2014 – December 2014

Operational phase – January 2015 – June 2015

Reporting phase – July 2015 – December 2015

- Forum-23 (Q1 2016)

Annex 2 h

Forum Working Group

Work Package A.1

"Prioritisation of REF Projects"

(Mandate revised at Forum-18)

Composition:

Chair: Dubravka KREKOVIC (HR) (rotating Chair – changing every year)

Vice Chair(s):

-

Forum Members/Alternates

- Paul CUYPERS (BE)
- Maria Letizia POLCI (IT Alternate)
- Oldrich JAROLIM (CZ)
- Tasoula KYPRIANIDOU LEONTIDOU (CY)

Invited Experts

- Abdulqadir SULEIMAN (NO)
- Semira MEHIC (SI)
- Hannah DOHERTY (UK)
- Helmut WITZANI (AT)
- Andrea MAYER-FIGGE (DE)
- Tamas KOVACS (HU)
- Elsa ALBUQUERQUE (PT)

ECHA

- Juan Pablo CALVO TOLEDO

Objective:

- Propose annually the subject for the next harmonised enforcement project coordinated by the Forum (REF Projects)

Mandate:

According to the working procedure for the prioritisation and selection of REF projects, the WG shall:

- Review annually a list of proposals for REF projects submitted by Forum members, ECHA Secretariat, the Commission and the Stakeholder Organisations accredited by ECHA (ASOs);
- Prioritise the subjects by applying Forum's methodology for the prioritisation, selection and management of REF projects
- Draft a recommendation proposing the subject for the next REF project
- Elaborate and update a registry of legal obligations subject to previous enforcement projects.

Propose to the Forum topics for pilot and small-scale projects as an output of the prioritisation exercise where appropriate.

In addition, the WG will revise the methodology for the prioritisation, selection and management of REF projects and implementing its working procedures to be adopted by the Forum.

The WG will operate from Forum-16 (October 2013) until the end of 2018 (end of the Forum WP 2014 – 2018). The mandate of the WG can be renewed to operate after this period.

Timelines:

- The basic timeframes are regulated by the Forum Methodology on Prioritisation and Selection of Project Proposals and the working procedure for the prioritisation and selection of harmonised enforcement projects coordinated by the Forum:
- Shortlist of subjects by Forum-19.

Annex 3 - List of meeting documents and presentations in Forum-18

Documents and presentations uploaded in CIRCABC per Agenda Point[6]

AP	Documents/Presentations (PRES)
1.1	*ECHA/Forum-18/2014/A*
1.4	*ECHA/Forum-18/2014/1.4*
1.5	*ECHA/Forum-18/2014/1.5_room_doc*
3	*ECHA/Forum-18/2014/3_draft_mandates*
3.1	*ECHA/Forum-18/2014/3.1.1_room doc*
	F18_PRES_3.1.1_Interlinks
	ECHA/Forum-18/2014/3.1.2_room doc
	ECHA/Forum-18/2014/3.1.3.1
	F18_PRES_3.1.3.2_WS_CC
	F18_PRES_3.1.3.3_WS_Sev
	F18_PRES_3.1.3.4_Appeals_BoA
3.2	*ECHA/Forum-18/2014/3.2.1*
	F18_PRES_3.3.2_RIPE
3.3	*ECHA/Forum-18/2014/3.3.1*
3.4	*ECHA/Forum-18/2014/3.4.1*
	ECHA/Forum-18/2014/3.4.2
3.5	*ECHA/Forum-18/2014/3.5.1*
	F18_PRES_3.5.1_Train_trainers
3.6	*ECHA/Forum-18/2014/3.6.1*
	F18_PRES_3.6.1_Restrictions1
	F18_PRES_3.6.1_Restrictions2
	ECHA/Forum-18/2014/3.6.2
	ECHA/Forum-18/2014/3.6.2_annex1
	F18_PRES_3.6.2_COM_Restrictions_project
3.7	*ECHA/Forum-18/2014/3.7.1*
	F18_PRES_3.7.1_Authorisation
3.8	*ECHA/Forum-18/2014/3.8.1*
	F18_PRES_3.8.1_Prioritisation_REFs
4	*F18_PRES_4.1_UK*
	F18_PRES_4.2_EL
	F18_PRES_4.3_CY
5	*ECHA/Forum-18/2014/5.1*
	F18_PRES_5.1_COM_updates
	F18_PRES_5.2_ENFIND
8	*ECHA/Forum-18/2014/8*
9	*ECHA/Forum-18/2014/9*
	F18_PRES_9.1_JS_Provisions
10	*ECHA/Forum-18/2014/10.1*
	ECHA/Forum-18/2014/10.2
11	*ECHA/Forum-18/2014/11.1*
	F18_PRES_11.2_Life
13	*ECHA/Forum-18/2014/13*
	ECHA/Forum-18/2014/13_room_doc
	ECHA/Forum-18/2014/13_update_on_screen

[6] In CIRCA BC: Forum IG - Library > iv_meetings > 21. Forum-18 (24-26 June 2014)

14	*ECHA/Forum-18/2014/14.1*
	ECHA/Forum-18/2014/14.1_Topic3_room_doc
	F18_PRES_14.2_BoG1_JS
	F18_PRES_14.2_BoG2_CLP
	F18_PRES_14.2_BoG3_Screening_criteria
15	*ECHA/Forum-18/2014/15.1*
	F18_PRES_15.2_CSR_Roadmap
	F18_PRES_15.3_Synergies_DU_level
	F18_PRES_15.4_registrants_roadmap_2018

Annex 4. Glossary of acronyms and abbreviations

ASO: ECHA's Accredited Stakeholder Organisations
CARACAL: MSCA Committee for REACH and CLP
CCH: Compliance checks
CLP or CLP Regulation: Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 on Classification, Labelling and Packaging of Substances and Mixtures
C&L: Classification and Labelling
CMR: a substance or mixture which is carcinogenic, mutagenic or toxic to reproduction
COM: European Commission
CoRAP: Community rolling action plan
DSD: Dangerous Substances Directive
DG: Directorate General at Commission
DHP: Dihexyl phthalate
DU: Downstream Users
ECHA: European Chemicals Agency
EEA: European Economic Area
EIES: Electronic Information Exchange System
eMSCAs: Evaluating MSCA
ENTR: DG Enterprise and Industry at the European Commission
ENV: DG Environment at the European Commission
eSDS: Extended safety data sheet
ESPN: Enterprise SMEs Policy Group
EU: European Union
GDAERF: Guide for Drafting Forum Advice on the Enforceability of Proposals for Restrictions
MAWP: Multi Annual Work Program
MS: Member States
MSCA: Member State Competent Authority
NEAs: National Enforcement Authorities
MoC: Manual of Conclusions
NC: National Coordinator
OECD: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
RAC: Risk Assessment Committee
REACH and REACH Regulation: Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals
REF: REACH-EN-FORCE , Coordinated Enforcement Project of the Forum
RIPE: REACH Implementation Portal for Enforcers - IT system for Enforcers
RoP: Rules of Procedure
SVHC: Substance of very high concern
SDS: Safety Data Sheet
SEAC: Socio Economic Analysis Committee
SIEF: Substance Information Exchange Forum
SME: Small and Medium Sized Enterprises
SONC: Statement of Non-Compliance
TPE: Testing Proposal Evaluations
WG: Working Group of the Forum
WP: Work Programme of the Forum