

Committee for Risk Assessment
RAC

Annex 2
Response to comments document (RCOM)
to the Opinion proposing harmonised classification and
labelling at EU level of

4,4'-oxydi(benzenesulphonohydrazide)

EC Number: 201-286-1
CAS Number: 80-51-3

CLH-O-0000006843-68-01/F

Adopted
17 September 2020

ANNEX 2 - COMMENTS AND RESPONSE TO COMMENTS ON CLH PROPOSAL ON 4,4'-OXYDI(BENZENESULPHONOHYDRAZIDE)

COMMENTS AND RESPONSE TO COMMENTS ON CLH: PROPOSAL AND JUSTIFICATION

Comments provided during public consultation are made available in the table below as submitted through the web form. Any attachments received are referred to in this table and listed underneath, or have been copied directly into the table.

All comments and attachments including confidential information received during the public consultation have been provided in full to the dossier submitter (Member State Competent Authority), the Committees and to the European Commission. Non-confidential attachments that have not been copied into the table directly are published after the public consultation and are also published together with the opinion (after adoption) on ECHA's website. Dossier submitters who are manufacturers, importers or downstream users, will only receive the comments and non-confidential attachments, and not the confidential information received from other parties.

ECHA accepts no responsibility or liability for the content of this table.

Substance name: 4,4'-oxydi(benzenesulphonohydrazide)

EC number: 201-286-1

CAS number: 80-51-3

Dossier submitter: Germany

OTHER HAZARDS AND ENDPOINTS – Hazardous to the Aquatic Environment

Date	Country	Organisation	Type of Organisation	Comment number
24.09.2019	Finland		MemberState	1
Comment received				
<p>FI CA do not support the proposed classification of no acute classification and Aquatic Chronic 1 with M-factor of 1 for 4,4'Oxybi(benzenesulphonohydrazide).</p> <p>The lowest acute value EC50 of 0.69 mg/L was obtained from the study with Daphnia magna (OECD 202, 48 h, static), based on mean measured concentrations. In our opinion this result is relevant and it would warrant the Aquatic Acute Category 1, with M-factor of 1 classification for 4,4'Oxybi(benzenesulphonohydrazide). However, the Dossier Submitter is proposing to use the test result of EC50 15 mg/L based on nominal concentrations from that study. Reasoning for this is the discovered low recovery rate (3.43 – 16.7 %) of the test substance, most likely caused by hydrolysis and the assumed toxic hydrolysis product.</p> <p>Based on the available OECD 111 hydrolysis test 4,4'Oxybi(benzenesulphonohydrazide) hydrolyses fast at the temperature of 25 °C in all three pHs 4,7 and 9 (5.8 h, 7.9 h, 9.2 h, respectively). Transformation products were not identified in the test but based on chemical structure it was assumed in the CLH dossier that hydrazine and 4,4'oxybis(benzenesulfonic acid) are expected degradation products. Hydrazine has harmonised classification of Aquatic Acute 1 and Aquatic Chronic 1.</p> <p>As no degradation products were identified in the hydrolysis test and no rate for transformation could be derived the Dossier Submitter is suggesting to base the classification of 4,4'Oxybi(benzenesulphonohydrazide) on data of the substance itself. FI CA do not agree with this proposal and the reasoning behind it. In our opinion the lack of identification of hydrolysis product(s) do not justify leaving them out from consideration and using nominal/initial measured concentrations in this case.</p>				

ANNEX 2 - COMMENTS AND RESPONSE TO COMMENTS ON CLH PROPOSAL ON 4,4'-OXYDI(BENZENESULPHONOHYDRAZIDE)

Based on the available analytical measurements it can be noted that in static and semi-static tests test concentrations did not remain 80-120 % of nominal. Therefore, the test results which are based on nominal or initial measured concentrations may be considered as invalid for classification purposes as in the absence of analytically measured concentrations at least at the start and end of test, no valid interpretation can be made. In addition, a data gap for acute toxicity to fish is identified as there is only one fish test available with measured concentration, which is a limit test.

When measured concentrations are available (e.g. algae studies) results should be based on geometric mean measured concentrations, and where concentrations at the end of test are below the analytical detection limit, such concentrations shall be considered to be half that detection limit. In case of semi-static tests it should be clarified whether the geometric mean for each renewal period has been calculated, and if the mean exposure over the whole exposure period has calculated from these data. Recalculating algae studies' test results according to the recommendations in the CLP-guidance could affect also to the proposed long-term aquatic hazard classification.

Regarding hydrolysis test it was mentioned on p. 12 that the test was performed at 25 °C temperature, but on p. 17 temperature of 20 °C was mentioned instead. Would you please clarify the correct temperature.

In addition, in our opinion the studies presented in the CLH dossier should be described more in detail e.g. based on available information it is not possible to assess properly whether validation criteria of test guidelines are fulfilled or have tests conducted in compliance with GLP.

Dossier Submitter's Response

We appreciate your comments and agree that test concentrations not remaining between 80 and 120% of nominal should be based on measured concentrations. We also agree that half of the analytical detection limit should be used if the measured concentrations are below this detection limit.

Concerning the available acute fish toxicity studies, we do not agree that there is a data gap as in the cited limit test no effects of OBSH on fish were observed.

As the concentration decrease is most likely due to the hydrolysis and not due to e.g. adsorption, we decided to base the evaluation on nominal concentrations.

For semi-static tests described in the CLH report, the geometric mean measured concentrations included the measured concentrations for the new medium and the aged one for every renewal period.

For the first algae study, the limit of quantification was 0.1 mg/L (see also Hydrolysis test with algae OECD medium). Using the half of the LOQ the resulting mean measured concentrations would be: 0.68 – 0.46 – 0.31 – 0.21 – 0.14 mg/L (nominal: 9.3 – 4.2 – 1.9 – 0.9 – 0.4 mg/L). The E_rC_{50} based on mean measured concentrations would be 0.57 mg/L and the NOE_rC would be 0.21 mg/L.

From the structural evaluations it is most likely that hydrazine is one of the hydrolysis products. As the hydrolysis products were not identified in the hydrolysis study, it was not possible for us to base the classification on this relevant hydrolysis product. As you commented, this would result in the classification Aquatic acute 1 (M= 10) and Aquatic

ANNEX 2 - COMMENTS AND RESPONSE TO COMMENTS ON CLH PROPOSAL ON 4,4'-OXYDI(BENZENESULPHONOHYDRAZIDE)

chronic 1 (M=10). The basis for the hydrazine classification is an acute 48h- E_rC_{50} of 0.017 mg/L (from Algae, mean measured concentrations) and a long-term NOE_rC of 0.006 mg/L (from Algae, mean measured concentrations + not rapidly degradable).

Basing the classification of OBSH and the results of the ecotoxicity tests on measured concentrations would result in an EC_{50} of 0.69 mg/L (*Daphnia magna*). The results from algae toxicity studies based on mean measured concentrations were a little more sensitive but in the same order of magnitude (E_rC_{50} is 0.57 or 0.35 mg/L, first and second test) and therefore we agree to classify OBSH with Aquatic acute 1 (M=1).

The aquatic chronic classification is based on mean measured concentrations. In the relevant fish test a solvent (DMF) was used and the measured concentrations of OBSH were stable (within 80 to 120% of nominal). The 45d-NOEC = 0.09 mg/L results in Aquatic Chronic (M= 1)

Hydrolysis test:

The temperature specification on p. 17 contains a typing error. Correct is hydrolysis half-life of 7.9 hours at pH7 and 25°C.

Nevertheless, besides the half-lives at 25°C the hydrolysis study also contains half-lives at 20°C and 30°C:

	pH 4	pH 7	pH 9
20 °C	23.1 h	17.2 h	13.9 h
25 °C	9.2 h	7.9 h	5.8 h
30 °C	3.76 h	3.72 h	2.46 h

RAC's response

RAC agrees that test concentrations not remaining between 80 and 120% of nominal should be based on measured concentrations. RAC also agrees that half of the analytical detection limit should be used if the measured concentrations are below this detection limit.

RAC agrees that there is no data gap for acute fish toxicity. The result in the limit test was greater than the classification limit for acute toxicity.

RAC welcomes the new calculations on the algae test results and also the use of results based on measured concentrations.

Please find further details in the RAC opinion.

Date	Country	Organisation	Type of Organisation	Comment number
11.10.2019	United Kingdom		MemberState	2

Comment received

4,4'-oxydi(benzenesulphonohydrazide) (EC: 201-286-1; CAS: 80-51-3)

Data:

The DS has referred to the REACH Registration dossier accessed in July 2018 (ECHA, 2018 in the CLH report) for relevant environmental endpoints. The reliability of the data is therefore described in the REACH registration without study reports being reviewed by the DS. We note that some study summaries do not include all the information to support the reliability score e.g. details of all validity criteria in ecotox tests, control and raw data,

ANNEX 2 - COMMENTS AND RESPONSE TO COMMENTS ON CLH PROPOSAL ON 4,4'-OXYDI(BENZENESULPHONOHYDRAZIDE)

and all relevant endpoints (see below comment for chronic toxicity to fish).

Hydrolysis:

The substance undergoes significant hydrolysis at 25°C with DT50 values <12 hours. The degradation products were not identified although the DS considers that hydrazine and 4,4'-oxybis(benzenesulfonic acid) are expected hydrolysis products based on parent structure. Hydrazine has a harmonised classification of Aquatic Acute 1 and Aquatic Chronic 1 (index number: 007-008-00-3) (ECHA, 2019).

There is uncertainty regarding the toxicity of these potential degradants because these products were neither identified in the hydrolysis test, nor in aquatic ecotoxicity tests. In addition, no information on the toxicity of the expected degradation product 4,4'-oxybis(benzenesulfonic acid) is provided. It is therefore unclear whether the parent compound or the degradation products are inducing toxicity.

ECHA guidance considers that for 'substances where the degradation half-life (DT50) is less than 12 hours, environmental effects are likely to be attributed to the hydrolysis products rather than to the parent substance itself'. However it is unclear at what temperature this relates to for hydrolysis DT50s. For example, it is unclear if DT50s should be temperature corrected to 12°C, similar to the process for biodegradation endpoints. We note that such a temperature correction involves uncertainty due to extrapolation but consider that some form of consistency is required.

As mentioned above where hydrolysis DT50 values are <12 hours, it is then appropriate to base the results from static and semi-static toxicity tests on initial measured or nominal concentrations in order to account for this rapid hydrolysis and the toxicity of the degradation product (although measured concentrations of the toxic degrades are desirable). It is also practice to use mean measured concentrations if the toxicity is driven by the parent compound and actual concentrations are not within 80-120% of the nominal.

Dependant on the appropriate temperature for the hydrolysis DT50s, we are unclear whether endpoints should be based on initial measured or mean measured parent concentrations.

This is relevant for some endpoints to consider the appropriate acute classification. For example, the *Daphnia magna* 48 h EC50 for immobilisation is 15 mg/L based on nominal concentrations and 0.69 mg/L measured concentrations. The later would result in an Aquatic Acute 1 classification with an M-factor of 1. We note this classification mirrors the acute classification for hydrazine. Algal ErC50 values based on mean measured concentrations are not provided in the CLH report or in the online registration dossier so it is unclear if they are in the same concentration range resulting in Aquatic Acute 1 classification.

Overall, we think the DS should consider if the hydrolysis DT50 should be temperature corrected and based on this justify whether nominal/initial measured or mean measured ecotoxicity endpoints are appropriate.

Chronic toxicity to fish:

The REACH registration summary does not include endpoints for length and weight. As this is the key chronic study, these should be presented to conclude if they are or are not more sensitive.

ANNEX 2 - COMMENTS AND RESPONSE TO COMMENTS ON CLH PROPOSAL ON 4,4'-OXYDI(BENZENESULPHONOHYDRAZIDE)

ECHA, (2019). Summary of classification and labelling. Available: <https://echa.europa.eu/information-on-chemicals/cl-inventory-database/-/discli/details/13707>. Last accessed 26/09/2019.

Dossier Submitter's Response

Thank you for your comments.
 For the comments on ecotoxicological study results basing on nominal or mean measured concentrations please see response to comment 1.
 Concerning the chronic toxicity to fish: Results for the endpoints length and weight were not given in the report. Additionally to the NOECs for post hatch mortality and overall mortality (0.09 mg/L), a NOEC for hatching success rate was given with 1.01 mg/L.

Hydrolysis:
 In the hydrolysis study half-lives at 20°C, 25°C and 30°C were mentioned (see response to comment 1). The temperature can be corrected by the following equation, but it should be noted that the extrapolation of hydrolysis rates from higher to lower temperature may contain remarkable uncertainties (ECHA Guidance R.7b 2017, p. 206):

$$t_{1/2}(X^{\circ}\text{C}) = t_{1/2} e^{(0.08(T - X))}$$

	pH 4	pH 7	pH 9
Hydrolysis half-lives at 12°C extrapolated from 20°C	43.8 h	32.6 h	26.4 h
Hydrolysis half-lives at 12°C extrapolated from 25°C	26 h	22.4 h	16.4 h
Hydrolysis half-lives at 12°C extrapolated from 30°C	15.9 h	15.7 h	10.4 h

RAC's response

RAC agrees that ECHA guidance considers that for 'substances where the degradation half-life (DT50) is less than 12 hours, environmental effects are likely to be attributed to the hydrolysis products rather than to the parent substance itself'. This does not, however, mean that nominal/initial measured concentrations should be used for classification. Please see the CLP Guidance (I.4.I) and the RAC opinion for further clarification.

Date	Country	Organisation	Type of Organisation	Comment number
11.10.2019	France		MemberState	3

Comment received

ANSES would like to thank the German competent authority for his work and proposal. ANSES agrees on the proposed classification for 4,4'-oxydi(benzenesulphonohydrazide) as Aquatic Chronic 1 M-factor of 1. However, ANSES is of the opinion that a classification for aquatic acute hazard 1 is suitable and could be proposed. As expressed in the classification dossier, fast hydrolysis occur and no degradation products were identified. Nevertheless, as detailed in the dossier, considering the chemical structure of the substance, the hydrolysis is expected to lead to hydrazine and 4,4'-oxybis(benzenesulfonic acid) and hydrazine as a harmonized classification as Aquatic Acute 1 and Aquatic Chronic 1 (Index number 007-008-00-3). Moreover, the Daphnia magna test used for the proposed classification show a 48hEC50 = 0.69 mg/L based on measured concentration, but the recovery rate of the parent substance was low and the

ANNEX 2 - COMMENTS AND RESPONSE TO COMMENTS ON CLH PROPOSAL ON 4,4'-OXYDI(BENZENESULPHONOHYDRAZIDE)

degradation products were not identified. It could be assumed that it has been hydrazine that engendered this low 48hEC50. In order to ensure the maximum protection for environment, and due to the expected degradation product, already classified, ANSES is of the opinion that a classification as Aquatic Acute 1 in addition to Aquatic Chronic 1 could be proposed for 4,4'-oxydi(benzenesulphonohydrazide)
Dossier Submitter's Response
Thank you for your comments. We agree with you and also assume that the toxic effect on <i>Daphnia magna</i> is a result of hydrazine. For the comment on classification as Aquatic Acute 1 based on measured concentration please see response to comment 1.
RAC's response
RAC agrees to classify OBSH with Aquatic Acute 1 classification. Please see the RAC opinion for further information.

Date	Country	Organisation	Type of Organisation	Comment number
11.10.2019	Belgium		MemberState	4
Comment received				
<p>Degradation We support the conclusion that OBSH is not rapidly degradable. Although it is demonstrated that the substance degrades abiotically via hydrolysis with a half-life <16h it is not demonstrated that hydrolysis products don't fulfil the classification criteria as hazardous to the aquatic environment. Moreover, one of the expected (but not identified) hydrolysis products, hydrazine, has a harmonised classification for the environment: aquatic acute 1, H400 and aquatic Chronic 1, H410.</p> <p>Bioaccumulation A BCF study was performed, but values were below the LOD. Due to the very quick hydrolysis, OSBH is not expected to meet the bioaccumulation criterion for classification. This is confirmed in a valid QSAR estimation of log Kow (log Kow = 0.08)</p> <p>Aquatic toxicity</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acute <p>Fish: Concerning the first fish study with <i>Oryzias latipes</i> we consider the 96h LC50 of 74 mg/l, based on nominal concentrations, invalid. No analytical monitoring was performed in this study and, although a solvent is used, it is not clear what concentrations were tested.</p> <p>Invertebrates: We agree that due to quick hydrolyzation of OBSH (half-life of 7.9h at pH7 and 25°C <12h) the toxicity observed in the first study with <i>Daphnia magna</i> (48h EC50 = 15 mg/L-nom) are more likely due to the hydrolysis products, The recovery rate of OBSH in this study was 3.43 to 16.7 % of the nominal concentrations. Although degradation products were not identified in the hydrolysis study hydrazine and 4,4'-oxybis(benzenesulfonic acid) can be expected as hydrolysis degradants based on the chemical structure of OBSH.</p> <p>In the registration dossier of hydrazine a mean 48hEC50 of 0.175 mg/L for <i>Daphnia</i></p>				

ANNEX 2 - COMMENTS AND RESPONSE TO COMMENTS ON CLH PROPOSAL ON 4,4'-OXYDI(BENZENESULPHONOHYDRAZIDE)

magna is reported, which is in the same order of magnitude as the measured EC50 of 0.69 mg/L of the OBSH *Daphnia* study.

Algae;

Following CLP guidance I.4.1. a) half of the detection limit should be used when concentrations at the end of the test are below the analytical detection limit.

The result of the first algae study is expressed as nominal concentrations while result of analytical monitoring showed that OBSH was not detected in all samples at the end of the test (72 hrs).

The second study with *Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata* 72hErC50= 0.7 mg/L is based on initial measured concentrations as at the end of the test no test material could be determined analytically. Measured concentrations at 72h were below 0.01 mg/L, thus resulting in a EC50 of 0.005 mg/L

• Chronic aquatic toxicity:

Algae: see acute toxicity: same remark for the chronic values.

Dossier Submitter's Response

Thank you for your comments.

We agree that the first acute fish toxicity study is not meaningful for the assessment of the toxicity of OBSH as it is not clear which concentrations were tested.

Concerning aquatic toxicity, we agree that it can be expected that the hydrolysis products caused the effects in the acute *Daphnia* study. For the first algae study we agree that, basing the result on mean measured concentrations, half of the LOQ should be used when the measured concentration would be below the LOQ. This would result in the following mean measured concentrations: 0.68 – 0.46 – 0.31 – 0.21 – 0.14 mg/L. The respective E_rC₅₀ would be 0.57 mg/L and the NOE_rC would be 0.21 mg/L. For the second algae study the nominal concentrations are: 10.0 – 6.10 – 3.7 – 2.2 – 1.4 – 0.82 – 0.50 mg/L, and the mean measured concentrations (using half of the LOQ for concentrations below the LOQ): 0.81 – 0.46 – 0.35 – 0.096 – 0.076 – 0.059 – 0.047 mg/L. This would result in an E_rC₅₀ of approximately 0.35 mg/L and a NOE_rC of 0.059 mg/L.

RAC's response

RAC agrees that the first acute fish study is no reliable because no analytical monitoring was performed during the test.

RAC welcomes the new calculations on the algae studies.

Please see the RAC opinion for further details.