

**Addressees** Registrant(s) of JS2585483 as listed in Appendix 3 of this decision

## **Date of submission of the dossier subject to this decision** 18/05/2021

**Registered substance subject to this decision ("the Substance")** 

Substance name: Sodium 2,4,5-trichlorobenzenesulphonate EC number: 258-548-3

**Decision number:** Please refer to the REACH-IT message which delivered this communication (in format TPE-D-XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX/F)

## DECISION ON TESTING PROPOSAL(S)

Based on Article 40 of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 (REACH), you must submit the information listed below by **22** August 2024.

Requested information must be generated using the Substance unless otherwise specified.

## Information required from all the Registrants subject to Annex VIII of REACH

1. In vivo mammalian alkaline comet assay (triggered by Annex VIII, Section 8.4., column 2; test method: OECD TG 489) in rats, or if justified, other rodent species, oral route, on the following tissues: liver, glandular stomach and duodenum.

Your originally proposed test using the Substance is rejected, according to Article 40(3)(d):

In vivo mammalian erythrocyte micronucleus test (EU B.12./OECD TG 474)

The reasons for the decision(s) are explained in Appendix 1.

## Information required depends on your tonnage band

You must provide the information listed above for all REACH Annexes applicable to you in accordance with Articles 10(a) and 12(1) of REACH. The addressees of the decision and their corresponding information requirements based on registered tonnage band are listed in Appendix 3.

You are only required to share the costs of information that you must submit to fulfil your information requirements.

#### How to comply with your information requirements

To comply with your information requirements, you must submit the information requested by this decision in an updated registration dossier by the deadline indicated above. You must also **update the chemical safety report**, where relevant, including any changes to classification and labelling, based on the newly generated information.

You must follow the general requirements for testing and reporting new tests under



REACH, see Appendix 4.

## Appeal

This decision, when adopted under Article 51 of REACH, may be appealed to the Board of Appeal of ECHA within three months of its notification to you. Please refer to <a href="http://echa.europa.eu/regulations/appeals">http://echa.europa.eu/regulations/appeals</a> for further information.

#### Failure to comply

If you do not comply with the information required by this decision by the deadline indicated above, ECHA will notify the enforcement authorities of your Member State.

Authorised<sup>1</sup> under the authority of Mike Rasenberg, Director of Hazard Assessment

Appendix 1: Reasons for the decision

Appendix 2: Procedure

Appendix 3: Addressees of the decision and their individual information requirements

Appendix 4: Conducting and reporting new tests under REACH

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As this is an electronic document, it is not physically signed. This communication has been approved according to ECHA's internal decision-approval process.



## Appendix 1: Reasons for the decision

## Contents

Reasons for the decision(s) related to the information under Annex VIII of REACH			
1.	In vivo mammalian alkaline comet assay4		
References6			



# Reasons for the decision(s) related to the information under Annex VIII of REACH

## 1. In vivo mammalian alkaline comet assay

- 1 Appropriate in vivo mutagenicity studies must be considered under Annex VIII to REACH (Section 8.4., Column 2) in case of a positive result in any of the in vitro genotoxicity studies under Annex VII or VIII to REACH.
- 2 Your dossier contains positive results for the in vitro mammalian chromosomal aberration test (OECD TG 473, 2018) which raise the concern for chromosomal aberrations.

#### 1.1. Information provided to fulfil the information requirement

- 3 You have submitted a testing proposal for an In vivo mammalian erythrocyte micronucleus test to be performed with the Substance.
- 4 ECHA requested your considerations for alternative methods to fulfil the information requirement for Genetic toxicity in vivo. You provided your considerations concluding that there were no alternative methods which could be used to adapt the information requirement(s) for which testing is proposed. ECHA has taken these considerations into account.
- 5 ECHA agrees that an appropriate in vivo follow up genotoxicity study is necessary to address the concern identified in vitro.

#### 1.2. Test selection

- 6 According to the Guidance on IRs & CSAa, Section R.7.7.6.3, the in vivo mammalian alkaline comet assay ("comet assay", OECD TG 489) or the in vivo mammalian erythrocyte micronucleus test ("MN test", OECD TG 474) or the in vivo mammalian bone marrow chromosomal aberration test ("CA test", OECD TG 475) are suitable to follow up a positive in vitro result on chromosomal aberration if the Substance or its metabolite(s) will reach the target tissue.
- 7 However, based on the information provided in the dossier, the genotoxic effect noted in the in vitro test (2018) is observed only in the long term treatment without metabolic activation, which means that the genotoxic effect appears to be a result of the exposure to the parent compound (and not to the metabolites). In the in vivo follow up study, the potential effect of the parent (non-metabolised) substance on target tissue(s) can be detected in the comet assay, as site of contact tissues are analysed in this assay. On the contrary, the two other in vivo tests, i.e. OECD TG 474 and 475, may not detect the effect of the parent substance as it cannot be ruled out that only the metabolite(s) reach(es) the bone marrow (i.e. the target organ of these tests). Therefore, the in vivo comet assay is the most appropriate follow-up test for the Substance.

## 1.3. Specification of the study design

- 8 According to the test method OECD TG 489, rats are the preferred species. Other rodent species can be used if scientifically justified (OECD TG 489, para. 23).
- 9 Having considered the anticipated routes of human exposure and adequate exposure of the target tissue(s) performance of the test by the oral route is appropriate.



10 In line with the test method OECD TG 489, the test must be performed by analysing tissues from liver as primary site of xenobiotic metabolism, glandular stomach and duodenum as sites of contact. There are several expected or possible variables between the glandular stomach and the duodenum (different tissue structure and function, different pH conditions, variable physico-chemical properties and fate of the Substance, and probable different local absorption rates of the Substance and its possible breakdown product(s)). In light of these expected or possible variables, it is necessary to analyse both tissues to ensure a sufficient evaluation of the potential for genotoxicity at the site of contact in the gastro-intestinal tract.

#### 1.3.1. Germ cells

11 You may consider collecting the male gonadal cells from the seminiferous tubules in addition to the other tissues in the comet assay, as it would optimise the use of animals. You can prepare the slides for male gonadal cells and store them for up to 2 months, at room temperature, in dry conditions and protected from light. Following the generation and analysis of data on somatic cells in the comet assay, you should consider analysing the slides prepared with gonadal cells. This type of evidence may be relevant for the overall assessment of possible germ cell mutagenicity including classification and labelling according to the CLP Regulation.

#### 1.4. Outcome

12 Your testing proposal is rejected under Article 40(3)(d) of REACH. Under Article 40(3)(c) you are requested to carry out the additional test with the Substance, as specified above.



## References

The following documents may have been cited in the decision.

## *Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment (Guidance on IRs & CSA)*

- Chapter R.4 Evaluation of available information; ECHA (2011).
- Chapter R.6 QSARs, read-across and grouping; ECHA (2008).
- Appendix to Chapter R.6 for nanoforms; ECHA (2019). Chapter R.7a Endpoint specific guidance, Sections R.7.1 – R.7.7; ECHA (2017). Appendix to Chapter R.7a for nanomaterials; ECHA (2017).
- Chapter R.7b Endpoint specific guidance, Sections R.7.8 R.7.9; ECHA (2017). Appendix to Chapter R.7b for nanomaterials; ECHA (2017).
- Chapter R.7c Endpoint specific guidance, Sections R.7.10 R.7.13; (ECHA 2017). Appendix to Chapter R.7a for nanomaterials; ECHA (2017). Appendix R.7.13-2 Environmental risk assessment for metals and metal compounds; ECHA (2008).
- Chapter R.11 PBT/vPvB assessment; ECHA (2017).

Chapter R.16 Environmental exposure assessment; ECHA (2016).

## Guidance on data-sharing; ECHA (2017).

All Guidance on REACH is available online: <u>https://echa.europa.eu/guidance-documents/guidance-on-reach</u>

## Read-across assessment framework (RAAF)

RAAF, 2017Read-across assessment framework (RAAF), ECHA (2017)RAAF UVCB, 2017Read-across assessment framework (RAAF) – considerations on<br/>multi- constituent substances and UVCBs), ECHA (2017).

#### The RAAF and related documents are available online:

https://echa.europa.eu/support/registration/how-to-avoid-unnecessary-testing-onanimals/grouping-of-substances-and-read-across

#### **OECD Guidance documents (OECD GDs)**

OECD GD 23	Guidance document on aquatic toxicity testing of difficult
	substances and mixtures; No. 23 in the OECD series on testing and assessment, OECD (2019).
OECD GD 29	Guidance document on transformation/dissolution of metals and
	metal compounds in aqueous media; No. 29 in the OECD series on
	testing and assessment, OECD (2002).
OECD GD 150	Revised guidance document 150 on standardised test guidelines for
	evaluating chemicals for endocrine disruption; No. 150 in the OECD
	series on testing and assessment, OECD (2018).
OECD GD 151	Guidance document supporting OECD test guideline 443 on the
	extended one-generation reproductive toxicity test; No. 151 in the
	OECD series on testing and assessment, OECD (2013).
	OLCD Series on resulty and assessment, OLCD (2013).



## **Appendix 2: Procedure**

ECHA started the testing proposal evaluation in accordance with Article 40(1) on 23 August 2021.

ECHA held a third party consultation for the testing proposal(s) from 30 September 2021 until 15 November 2021. ECHA did not receive information from third parties.

ECHA followed the procedure detailed in Articles 50 and 51 of REACH.

ECHA notified you of the draft decision and invited you to provide comments.

ECHA did not receive any comments within the commenting period.

ECHA notified the draft decision to the competent authorities of the Member States for proposals for amendment.

As no amendments were proposed, ECHA adopted the decision under Article 51(3) of REACH.

The deadline of the decision is set based on standard practice for carrying out OECD TG tests. It has been exceptionally extended by 12 months from the standard deadline granted by ECHA to take into account currently longer lead times in contract research organisations.



# Appendix 3: Addressees of this decision and their corresponding information requirements

In accordance with Articles 10(a) and 12(1) of REACH, the information requirements for individual registrations are defined as follows:

 the information specified in Annexes VII and VIII to REACH, for registration at 10-100 tpa.

Registrant Name	Registration number	Highest REACH Annex applicable to you

Where applicable, the name of a third party representative (TPR) may be displayed in the list of recipients whereas ECHA will send the decision to the actual registrant.



## Appendix 4: Conducting and reporting new tests for REACH purposes

## 1. Requirements when conducting and reporting new tests for REACH purposes

#### **1.1.** Test methods, GLP requirements and reporting

- (1) Under Article 13(3) of REACH, all new data generated as a result of this decision must be conducted according to the test methods laid down in a European Commission Regulation or to international test methods recognised by the Commission or ECHA as being appropriate.
- (2) Under Article 13(4) of REACH, ecotoxicological and toxicological tests and analyses must be carried out according to the GLP principles (Directive 2004/10/EC) or other international standards recognised by the Commission or ECHA.
- (3) Under Article 10(a)(vi) and (vii) of REACH, all new data generated as a result of this decision must be reported as study summaries, or as robust study summaries, if required under Annex I of REACH. See ECHA Practical Guide on How to report robust study summaries<sup>2</sup>.
- (4) Under the introductory part of Annexes VII/VIII/IX/X to REACH, where a test method offers flexibility in the study design, for example in relation to the choice of dose levels or concentrations, the chosen study design must ensure that the data generated are adequate for hazard identification and risk assessment.

#### 1.2. Test material

Before generating new data, you must agree within the joint submission on the chemical composition of the material to be tested (Test Material) which must be relevant for all the registrants of the Substance.

- Selection of the Test material(s) The Test Material used to generate the new data must be selected taking into account the following:
  - the variation in compositions reported by all members of the joint submission,
  - the boundary composition(s) of the Substance,
  - the impact of each constituent/ impurity on the test results for the endpoint to be assessed. For example, if a constituent/ impurity of the Substance is known to have an impact on (eco)toxicity, the selected Test Material must contain that constituent/ impurity.
- (2) Information on the Test Material needed in the updated dossier
  - You must report the composition of the Test Material selected for each study, under the "Test material information" section, for each respective endpoint study record in IUCLID.
  - The reported composition must include all constituents of each Test Material and their concentration values and other parameters relevant for the property to be tested.

This information is needed to assess whether the Test Material is relevant for the Substance and whether it is suitable for use by all members of the joint submission.

Technical instructions on how to report the above is available in the manual on How to prepare registration and PPORD dossiers<sup>3</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> <u>https://echa.europa.eu/practical-guides</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> <u>https://echa.europa.eu/manuals</u>