


Justification Document for the Selection of a CoRAP Substance

Substance Name (public name): Phenol, dodecyl-, branched

EC Number: 310-154-3

CAS Number: 121158-58-5

Authority: Germany

Date: 21/03/2017

Cover Note

This document has been prepared by the evaluating Member State given in the $\operatorname{\mathsf{CoRAP}}$ update.

Table of Contents

| 1 | IDENTITY OF THE SUBSTANCE | 3 |
|--------------|--|--------------|
| 1.1 | Other identifiers of the substance | 3 |
| 1.2 | Similar substances/grouping possibilities | 3 |
| 2 | OVERVIEW OF OTHER PROCESSES / EU LEGISLATION | 4 |
| 3 | HAZARD INFORMATION (INCLUDING CLASSIFICATION) | 5 |
| 3. 3. | Classification 1.1 Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP 1.2 Self classification 1.3 Proposal for Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP | 5 5 5 |
| 4 | INFORMATION ON (AGGREGATED) TONNAGE AND USES | 6 |
| 4.1 | Tonnage and registration status | 6 |
| 4.2 | Overview of uses | 6 |
| _ | JUSTIFICATION FOR THE SELECTION OF THE CANDIDATE RAP SUBSTANCE | E 7 |
| 5.1. | Legal basis for the proposal | 7 |
| 5.2. CoR | Selection criteria met (why the substance qualifies for being in AP) | 7 |
| 5.3. Eva | Initial grounds for concern to be clarified under Substance luation | 7 |
| 5.4. requ | Preliminary indication of information that may need to be uested to clarify the concern | 8 |
| 5 5 | Potential follow-up and link to risk management | Q |

1 IDENTITY OF THE SUBSTANCE

1.1 Other identifiers of the substance

Table: Other Substance identifiers

| EC name (public): | Phenol, dodecyl-, branched | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| IUPAC name (public): | Phenol, para alkylation products with C12-rich branched olefins from propene oligomerisation | | |
| Index number in Annex VI of the CLP Regulation: | | | |
| Molecular formula: | | | |
| Molecular weight or molecular weight range: | | | |
| Synonyms: | Branched laurylphenol | | |
| Type of substance ☐ Mono-constitue Structural formula: | ent Multi-constituent UVCB | | |
| | | | |

1.2 Similar substances/grouping possibilities

2 OVERVIEW OF OTHER PROCESSES / EU LEGISLATION

Table: Completed or ongoing processes

| RMOA | | ☐ Risk Management Option Analysis (RMOA) | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | lon | □ Compliance check, Final decision | | | |
| | Evaluation | ☐ Testing proposal | | | |
| sses | Ev | ☐ CoRAP and Substance Evaluation | | | |
| REACH Processes | Authorisation | ☐ Candidate List | | | |
| REAC | Authoi | ☐ Annex XIV | | | |
| | Restric - tion - Lion - | | | | |
| Harmonised C&L | | | | | |
| Processes under other EU legislation | | ☐ Plant Protection Products Regulation Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 | | | |
| Proce under E legisl | | ☐ Biocidal Product Regulation Regulation (EU) 528/2012 and amendments | | | |
| Previous legislation | | ☐ Dangerous substances Directive Directive 67/548/EEC (NONS) | | | |
| Prev | | ☐ Existing Substances Regulation Regulation 793/93/EEC (RAR/RRS) | | | |
| EP) holm ntion IPS | | ☐ Assessment | | | |
| (UNEP) Stockholm convention (POPs | ☐ In relevant Annex | | | | |
| Other processes / EU legislation | | \square Other (provide further details below) | | | |
| | | tance has been included in the 9 th ATP to the CLP with a new entry for Annex VI (cf. section 3.1) | | | |

3 HAZARD INFORMATION (INCLUDING CLASSIFICATION)

3.1 Classification

3.1.1 Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP

The following entry has been included in the 9th ATP to the CLP regulation:1

Table: Harmonised classification

| Index No | International Chemical Identification | EC No | CAS No | Classification | | Spec. Conc. Limits, | Notes |
|------------------|---|---------------|-----------------|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------|
| | | | | Hazard Class and Category Code(s) | Hazard statement code(s) | M- factors | |
| 604-092- 00-9 | phenol, dodecyl-, branched | 310- 154-3 | 121158- 58-5 | Repr. 1B Skin Corr. 1C Eye Dam. 1 Aquatic Acute 1 Aqu. Chronic 1 | H360F H314 H318 H400 H410 | M = 10 M = 10' | |

3.1.2 Self classification

• In the registration:

Skin Corr. 1C; Repr. 2; Aquatic Acute 1; Aquatic Chronic 1.

- The following hazard classes are in addition notified among the aggregated self classifications in the C&L Inventory:
 - Skin Irrit. 2; Eye irrit. 2; Eye Dam. 1; Skin Corr. 1A; Skin Corr. 1B; Repr. 1B; Acute Tox. 4;.

3.1.3 Proposal for Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP

In December 2013 RAC adopted the following opinon: Repr. Cat 1B, Skin Corr. 1C, Aquatic acute 1, Aquatic chronic 1. This harmonised classification and labelling has been included in the $9^{\rm th}$ ATP to the CLP regulation.

¹ http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32016R1179

4 INFORMATION ON (AGGREGATED) TONNAGE AND USES²

4.1 Tonnage and registration status

Table: Tonnage and registration status

| From ECHA dissemination site (19.09.2016) | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------|------------------------|--|--------------|------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| ☐ Full registration(s) (Art. 10) | | | ☐ Intermediate registration(s) (Art. 17 and/or 18) | | | | |
| Tonnage band (| as per dissemi | nation site |) | | | | |
| □ 1 - 10 tpa | | □ 10 - | · 100 tpa | | □ 100 – 1000 tpa | | |
| □ 1000 - 10,00 | 00 tpa | ⊠ 10,0 | 000 - 100,000 |) tpa | ☐ 100,000 - 1,000,000 tpa | | |
| ☐ 1,000,000 - 10,000,000 ☐ 10,000,000 - 100,000,000 tpa | | | | | □ > 100, | □ > 100,000,000 tpa | |
| □ <1 | >+ tpa | (e.g. 10- | + ; 100+ ; 10 | ,000+ tpa) | ☐ Confide | ential | |
| 4.2 Overview of uses According to the information provided at the ECHA dissemination site, the substance is used as an intermediate for the manufacture of chemicals, rubber products and plastic products. However, according to a risk evaluation report provided by UK in 2007, it is used for the production of oil and lubricant additives and additives may contain a significant amount of unreacted alkylphenol. They are used in petrol and diesel powered road vehicles and marine diesel engines and thus a wide dispersive use can be assumed. Table: Uses Part 1: | | | | | | | |
| Manufacture | ☐ Formulation | ⊠ Industrial use | Professional use | Consumer use | ☐ Article service life | ☐ Closed system | |

EC no 310-154-3 MSCA - DE Page 6 of 9

² ECHA dissemination site was accessed in September 2016.

5. JUSTIFICATION FOR THE SELECTION OF THE CANDIDATE CORAP SUBSTANCE

| 5.1. | Legal basis for the proposal |
|------|--|
| | $oxed{\boxtimes}$ Article 44(2) (refined prioritisation criteria for substance evaluation) |
| | ☐ Article 45(5) (Member State priority) |
| 5.2. | Selection criteria met (why the substance qualifies for being in CoRAP) |
| | \square Fulfils criteria as CMR/ Suspected CMR |
| | \square Fulfils criteria as Sensitiser/ Suspected sensitiser |
| | oxtimes Fulfils criteria as potential endocrine disrupter |
| | \square Fulfils criteria as PBT/vPvB / Suspected PBT/vPvB |
| | \boxtimes Fulfils criteria high (aggregated) tonnage ($tpa>1000$) |
| | □ Fulfils exposure criteria |
| | \square Fulfils MS's (national) priorities |
| | |

5.3. Initial grounds for concern to be clarified under Substance Evaluation

| Hazard based concerns | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| CMR □ C □ M □ R | Suspected CMR¹ □ C □ M □ R | □ Potential endocrine disruptor | | | |
| ☐ Sensitiser | ☐ Suspected Sensitiser³ | | | | |
| ☐ PBT/vPvB | ☐ Suspected PBT/vPvB¹ | ☐ Other (please specify below) | | | |
| Exposure/risk based concerns | | | | | |
| ☐ Wide dispersive use | ☐ Consumer use | ☐ Exposure of sensitive populations | | | |
| ☐ Exposure of environment | ☐ Exposure of workers | ☐ Cumulative exposure | | | |
| ☐ High RCR | ☐ High (aggregated) tonnage | ☐ Other (please specify below) | | | |

Suspected PBT: Potentially Persistent, Bioaccumulative and Toxic

³ <u>CMR/Sensitiser</u>: known carcinogenic and/or mutagenic and/or reprotoxic properties/known sensitising properties (according to CLP harmonized or registrant self-classification or CLP Inventory) <u>Suspected CMR/Suspected sensitiser</u>: suspected carcinogenic and/or mutagenic and/or reprotoxic properties/suspected sensitising properties (not classified according to CLP harmonized or registrant self-classification)

There are indications for Phenol, dodecyl-, branched to be an endocrine reacting substance from in vitro tests (binding affinity to the hepatic estrogen receptor of rainbow trout (Tollefsen and Julie Nilsen, 2008) and receptor binding assay to human estrogen receptor hERa (Akahori et al., 2008)) and in vivo tests (from tests on mammals (Yamasaki et al., 2003)and (Akahori et al., 2008)).

Furthermore there are structural alerts for an estrogen mode of action from the substance. It is very likely that a large proportion of the substance is a para-alkylated phenol with an alkyl chain length of C9–C15. (Nonylphenol and 4-tert-octylphenol as similar substances have been identified as endocrine disruptors.)

Although the main focus of the substance evaluation are the endocrine disruptive properties, environmental relevance should be also verified. In order to investigate environmental relevance of the substance, information regarding exposure and wide dispersive use will be also evaluated. Whether the substance reaches the environment, it needs to be further investigated as limited information is available on uses and emissions. The substance is used e.g. for manufacturing of fuel additives, surfactants and phenolic resins (Source: http://www.transtank.hk/msds/26.pdf), as well as monomer for alkylphenol formaldehyde resins, ink resins (Source: http://www.siigroup.com/EHSPdf/PDDPGPS.pdf). For surfactants, it can probably be assumed that the substance does reach the environment. Regarding resins, it is possible that they contain rest monomers that could be released into the environment. For these reasons the wide dispersive use cannot be excluded.

5.4. Preliminary indication of information that may need to be requested to clarify the concern

| \square Information on toxicological properties | ☐ Information on physico-chemical properties | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| \square Information on fate and behaviour | \square Information on exposure | | | |
| ☐ Information on ecotoxicological properties | \square Information on uses | | | |
| ☐ Information ED potential | ☐ Other (provide further details below) | | | |
| The necessity of an in vivo test on endocrine properties (e.g. a fish sexual development test) is to be evaluated during substance evaluation. | | | | |

5.5. Potential follow-up and link to risk management

| ☐ Harmonised C&L | ☐ Restriction | ☐ Authorisation | ○ Other (provide further details) | | | | |
|-----------------------|---|-----------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| definition, SVHC iden | If the substance has to be considered an Endocrine Disruptor according to WHO/IPCS definition, SVHC identification and candidate listing might be the first steps that will be further analysed in a risk management option analysis. | | | | | | |

References:

Akahori, Y., Nakai, M., Yamasaki, K., Takatsuki, M., Shimohigashi, Y., Ohtaki, M., 2008. Relationship between the results of in vitro receptor binding assay to human estrogen receptor alpha and in vivo uterotrophic assay: comparative study

JUSTIFICATION DOCUMENT FOR THE SELECTION OF A CORAP SUBSTANCE

with 65 selected chemicals. Toxicology in vitro: an international journal published in association with BIBRA 22, 225-231.

Tollefsen, K.E., Julie Nilsen, A., 2008. Binding of alkylphenols and alkylated non-phenolics to rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) hepatic estrogen receptors. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 69, 163-172.

Yamasaki, K., Takeyoshi, M., Sawaki, M., Imatanaka, N., Shinoda, K., Takatsuki, M., 2003. Immature rat uterotrophic assay of 18 chemicals and Hershberger assay of 30 chemicals. Toxicology 183, 93-115.