

Committee for Risk Assessment
RAC

Annex 2
Response to comments document (RCOM)
to the Opinion proposing harmonised classification and
labelling at EU level of

EC Number: 237-222-4
CAS Number: 13701-59-2

CLH-O-0000006847-60-01/F

Adopted
17 September 2020

ANNEX 2 - COMMENTS AND RESPONSE TO COMMENTS ON CLH PROPOSAL ON BARIUM DIBORON TETRAOXIDE

COMMENTS AND RESPONSE TO COMMENTS ON CLH: PROPOSAL AND JUSTIFICATION

Comments provided during public consultation are made available in the table below as submitted through the web form. Any attachments received are referred to in this table and listed underneath, or have been copied directly into the table.

All comments and attachments including confidential information received during the public consultation have been provided in full to the dossier submitter (Member State Competent Authority), the Committees and to the European Commission. Non-confidential attachments that have not been copied into the table directly are published after the public consultation and are also published together with the opinion (after adoption) on ECHA's website. Dossier submitters who are manufacturers, importers or downstream users, will only receive the comments and non-confidential attachments, and not the confidential information received from other parties.

ECHA accepts no responsibility or liability for the content of this table.

Substance name: barium diboron tetraoxide

EC number: 237-222-4

CAS number: 13701-59-2

Dossier submitter: Sweden

TOXICITY TO REPRODUCTION

Date	Country	Organisation	Type of Organisation	Comment number
05.12.2019	Germany		MemberState	1
Comment received				
The classification is based on read-across to borax and boric acid already assessed by RAC and is not contradicted by data with the substance itself.				
Dossier Submitter's Response				
Thank you for your support.				
RAC's response				
Thank you, noted.				

OTHER HAZARDS AND ENDPOINTS – Acute Toxicity

Date	Country	Organisation	Type of Organisation	Comment number
05.12.2019	Germany		MemberState	2
Comment received				
Based on data with the substance itself Category 4 is only justified for the oral route.				
Dossier Submitter's Response				
Thank you for your support.				
RAC's response				
Thank you, noted. For the oral route RAC proposes Category 3 based on mortality in range-finding studies to the developmental study in the rabbit (Study report, 1993b). As to the inhalation route, RAC does not find sufficient grounds to remove the current classification as Acute Tox. 4 (only the asterisk to be removed).				