## Justification for the selection of a substance for CoRAP inclusion

#### - UPDATE -

**Substance Name (Public Name):** 3-trimethoxysilylpropyl methacrylate

Chemical Group: -

**EC Number:** 219-785-8

**CAS Number:** 2530-85-0

**Submitted by:** Health and Safety Authority, Ireland

**Date:** 20/03/2013

Update 26/03/2014 Update 17/03/2015

#### Note

This document has been prepared by the evaluating Member State given in the CoRAP update.

#### **Contents**

1	IDE	NTITY OF THE SUBSTANCE	3
		Other identifiers of the substance	
2	CLA	SSIFICATION AND LABELLING	4
	2.1	Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP	4
		Self classification	
		Proposal for Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP	
3	INF	ORMATION ON AGGREGATED TONNAGE AND USES	4
4	OTH	HER COMPLETED/ONGOING REGULATORY PROCESSES THAT MAY AFFECT	
Sl	JITAI	BILITY FOR SUBSTANCE EVALUATION	5
5	JUS	TIFICATION FOR THE SELECTION OF THE CANDIDATE CORAP SUBSTANCE	5
	5.1	Legal basis for the proposal	5
		Selection criteria met (why the substance qualifies for being in CoRAP)	
	5.3	Initial grounds for concern to be clarified under Substance Evaluation	6
		Preliminary indication of information that may need to be requested to	
		clarify the concern	7
	5.5	Potential follow-up and link to risk management	

#### 1 IDENTITY OF THE SUBSTANCE

#### 1.1 Other identifiers of the substance

**Table 1: Substance identity** 

-	
EC name:	3-trimethoxysilylpropyl methacrylate
IUPAC name:	3-trimethoxysilylpropyl 2-methylprop-2-enoate
Index number in Annex VI of the CLP Regulation	Not listed in Annex VI of CLP
Molecular formula:	C10H20O5Si
Molecular weight or molecular weight range:	248 g/mol
Synonyms/Trade names:	

#### **Structural formula:**

#### 2 CLASSIFICATION AND LABELLING

#### 2.1 Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP

Not listed.

#### 2.2 Self classification

- In the registration data:
  - Not classified.
- In addition, the following hazard classes are notified among the self classifications in the C&L Inventory:
  - Acute Toxicity 4; H302: Harmful if swallowed
  - Skin Irritation 2; H315: Causes skin irritation
  - Eye Irritation 2; H319: Causes serious eye irritation
  - Specific target organ toxicity single exposure (STOT SE) 1; H370: Causes damage to organs
  - Specific target organ toxicity single exposure (STOT SE) 3; H335: May cause respiratory irritation
  - Specific target organ toxicity repeated exposure (STOT RE) 1; H372: Causes damage to organs through prolonged or repeated exposure
  - Specific target organ toxicity repeated exposure (STOT RE) 2; H373: May cause damage to organs through prolonged or repeated exposure

### 2.3 Proposal for Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP

None.

#### 3 INFORMATION ON AGGREGATED TONNAGE AND USES

From ECHA dissemination site						
☐ 1 - 10 tpa		☐ 10 - 100 tpa		☐ 100 - 1000 tpa		
⊠ 1000 – 10,000 tpa		☐ 10,000 - 100,000 tpa		☐ 100,000 - 1,000,000 tpa		
1,000,000 - 10,000,00	0 tpa	☐ 10,000,000 - 100,000,000 tpa		☐ > 100,000,000 tpa		
□ <1 · · · · · · >+	tpa (e.	g. 10+ ; 100+ ; 10,000+ tpa)		☐ Confidential		
☐ Industrial use ☐ Profe		essional use	⊠ Consumer use		☐ Closed System	
The substance is used in non-metal surface treatment and in coatings and sealants, as an industrial intermediate, a monomer and as laboratory chemical.						

# 4 OTHER COMPLETED/ONGOING REGULATORY PROCESSES THAT MAY AFFECT SUITABILITY FOR SUBSTANCE EVALUATION

	☐ Dangerous substances Directive 67/548/EEC
☐ Testing proposal	☐ Existing Substances Regulation 793/93/EEC
☐ Annex VI (CLP)	☐ Plant Protection Products Regulation 91/414/EEC
☐ Annex XV (SVHC)	☐ Biocidal Products Directive 98/8/EEC ; Biocidal Product Regulation (Regulation (EU) 528/2012)
☐ Annex XIV (Authorisation)	☐ Other (provide further details below)
☐ Annex XVII (Restriction)	
	esting proposal for an "OECD Guideline 422 (Combined e Reproduction / Developmental Toxicity Screening Test)"
5 JUSTIFICATION FOR CORAP SUBSTANCE 5.1 Legal basis for the	THE SELECTION OF THE CANDIDATE
Martine 44(2) (unfined animiti	
_	sation criteria for substance evaluation)
☐ Article 45(5) (Member State	sation criteria for substance evaluation) priority)
☐ Article 45(5) (Member State  5.2 Selection criteria n	sation criteria for substance evaluation) priority)  net (why the substance qualifies for being in CoRAP)
☐ Article 45(5) (Member State  5.2 Selection criteria n ☐ Fulfils criteria as CMR/ Susp	sation criteria for substance evaluation) priority)  net (why the substance qualifies for being in CoRAP) pected CMR
☐ Article 45(5) (Member State  5.2 Selection criteria n ☐ Fulfils criteria as CMR/ Susp ☐ Fulfils criteria as Sensitiser/	sation criteria for substance evaluation) priority)  net (why the substance qualifies for being in CoRAP) pected CMR Suspected sensitiser
☐ Article 45(5) (Member State  5.2 Selection criteria m ☐ Fulfils criteria as CMR/ Susp ☐ Fulfils criteria as Sensitiser/ ☐ Fulfils criteria as potential en	sation criteria for substance evaluation) priority)  net (why the substance qualifies for being in CoRAP) pected CMR Suspected sensitiser adocrine disrupter
☐ Article 45(5) (Member State  5.2 Selection criteria n ☐ Fulfils criteria as CMR/ Susp ☐ Fulfils criteria as Sensitiser/ ☐ Fulfils criteria as potential en ☐ Fulfils criteria as PBT/vPvB	sation criteria for substance evaluation) priority)  net (why the substance qualifies for being in CoRAP) pected CMR Suspected sensitiser indocrine disrupter / Suspected PBT/vPvB
☐ Article 45(5) (Member State  5.2 Selection criteria n ☐ Fulfils criteria as CMR/ Susp ☐ Fulfils criteria as Sensitiser/ ☐ Fulfils criteria as potential en ☐ Fulfils criteria as PBT/vPvB ☐ Fulfils criteria high (aggrega	sation criteria for substance evaluation) priority)  net (why the substance qualifies for being in CoRAP) pected CMR Suspected sensitiser indocrine disrupter / Suspected PBT/vPvB
☐ Article 45(5) (Member State  5.2 Selection criteria n ☐ Fulfils criteria as CMR/ Susp ☐ Fulfils criteria as Sensitiser/ ☐ Fulfils criteria as potential en ☐ Fulfils criteria as PBT/vPvB	sation criteria for substance evaluation) priority)  net (why the substance qualifies for being in CoRAP) pected CMR Suspected sensitiser indocrine disrupter / Suspected PBT/vPvB

5.3 Initial grounds for concern to be clarified under Substance Evaluation

Hazard based concerns					
Suspected CMR <sup>1</sup> ☐C ☐M ☐R	☐ Potential endocrine disruptor				
Suspected Sensitiser¹					
☐ Suspected PBT/vPvB¹	☑ Other (please specify below)				
Exposure/risk based concerns					
□ Consumer use	☐ Exposure of sensitive populations				
	☐ Cumulative exposure				
☐ High (aggregated) tonnage	☐ Other (please specify below)				
A guinea-pig maximization test (GPMT) study is available for the registered substance which showed a "weakly positive" effect. However, it is concluded in the registration data that the results of the study are ambiguous since some positive reactions were also observed in the control group (cottonseed oil), but to a lesser degree than those observed in the test group. Negative GPMT studies on analogous substances are also reported in the registration data but no justification is provided for the proposed read-across. It is noted that other methacrylate substances are classified for skin sensitisation in Annex VI of CLP. Further evaluation of the available data is required to conclude on the sensitisation endpoint.					
With respect to repeated dose toxicity, the registrants have used a weight of evidence approach based on a number of rat inhalation studies with durations from 4 to 14 weeks to aerosol atmospheres of aqueous solutions of the registered substance and one 9 day inhalation study to a vapour of the registered substance. A NOAEC of 15 mg/m³ was identified for local effects based on the formation of laryngeal granulomas and a LOAEC of 50 mg/m³ based on decreases in body weight. Further evaluation of the repeated dose toxicity data is required to evaluate the adequacy of the available data set and the robustness of the DNELs for workers and consumers for repeated dose toxicity.					
A prenatal developmental toxicity study in rat with the registered substance is available. An increase in total and soft tissue malformations was observed at 2 and 5 ml/kg bw/day, which were also maternally toxic doses. Therefore, given the aggregated tonnage and the potential for worker and consumer exposure, further evaluation of the available data is required in order to determine whether a second prenatal developmental study with another species is required.					
	Suspected Sensitiser¹  Suspected PBT/vPvB¹  This  Consumer use  Exposure of workers  High (aggregated) tonnage  t (GPMT) study is available for rect. However, it is concluded in the some positive reactions were degree than those observed it res are also reported in the registracross. It is noted that other in Annex VI of CLP. Further evensitisation endpoint.  toxicity, the registrants have unlation studies with durations from softhe registered substance ance. A NOAEC of 15 mg/m³ was an ulomas and a LOAEC of 50 mg the repeated dose toxicity data are robustness of the DNELs for city study in rat with the register emalformations was observed an erefore, given the aggregated erevaluation of the available degrees.				

The identified uses in the registration data indicate potential dermal and inhalation exposure to both workers and consumers. Further assessment of the exposure assessment and risk characterisation is required in order to confirm that risks are adequately controlled.

Suspected PBT: Potentially Persistent, Bioaccumulative and Toxic

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> <u>CMR/Sensitiser</u>: known carcinogenic and/or mutagenic and/or reprotoxic properties/known sensitising properties (according to CLP harmonized or registrant self-classification or CLP Inventory) <u>Suspected CMR/Suspected sensitiser</u>: suspected carcinogenic and/or mutagenic and/or reprotoxic properties/suspected sensitising properties (not classified according to CLP harmonized or registrant self-classification)

#### JUSTIFICATION DOCUMENT FOR THE SELECTION OF A CORAP SUBSTANCE

# 5.4 Preliminary indication of information that may need to be requested to clarify the concern

☐ Information on toxicological properties	☐ Information on physico-chemical properties				
☐ Information on fate and behaviour	☐ Information on exposure				
☐ Information on ecotoxicological properties					
☐ Information ED potential	☐ Other (provide further details below)				
Following evaluation of the existing data, additional data to clarify the identified concerns for skin sensitisation, repeated dose toxicity and developmental toxicity may be requested.  Further information on use, exposure and existing risk management measures may be requested.					
5.5 Potential follow-up and link to risk management					
☑ Harmonised C&L   ☐ Restriction   ☐ A	outhorisation				
If the skin sensitisation hazard is confirmed, a proposal for a harmonized classification for skin sensitisation will be considered. Additional follow up actions will be considered depending on the outcome of the evaluation.					