

Helsinki, 15 August 2022

Addressees

Registrant of JS NPDRPF as listed in Appendix 3 of this decision

Date of submission of the dossier subject to this decision 06/09/2021

Registered substance subject to this decision ("the Substance")

Substance name: N-Phenyl-diethanolamine, reaction products with formaldehyde EC number:

DECISION ON TESTING PROPOSAL(S)

Based on Article 40 of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 (REACH), you must submit the information listed below by **24 February 2025**.

Requested information must be generated using the Substance unless otherwise specified.

Information required from all the Registrants subject to Annex IX of REACH

- 1. Viscosity (Annex IX, Section 7.17.; test method OECD TG 114);
- 2. In vivo mammalian alkaline comet assay (triggered by Annex IX, Section 8.4., column 2) in rats, or if justified, other rodent species, oral route, on the following tissues: liver, glandular stomach and duodenum
- 3. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study (Annex IX, Section 8.7.2.; test method: OECD TG 414) by oral route, in one species (rat or rabbit)
- 4. Simulation testing on ultimate degradation in surface water (Annex IX, Section 9.2.1.2.; test method: EU C.25./OECD TG 309) at a temperature of 12°C. Non-extractable residues (NER) must be quantified and a scientific justification of the selected extraction procedures and solvents must be provided.
- 5. Identification of degradation products (Annex IX, 9.2.3.; test method: using an appropriate test method)

The reasons for the decision(s) are explained in Appendix 1.

Information required depends on your tonnage band

You must provide the information listed above for all REACH Annexes applicable to you in accordance with Articles 10(a) and 12(1) of REACH. The addressees of the decision and their corresponding information requirements based on registered tonnage band are listed in Appendix 3.



You are only required to share the costs of information that you must submit to fulfil your information requirements.

How to comply with your information requirements

To comply with your information requirements, you must submit the information requested by this decision in an updated registration dossier by the deadline indicated above. You must also **update the chemical safety report**, where relevant, including any changes to classification and labelling, based on the newly generated information.

You must follow the general requirements for testing and reporting new tests under REACH, see Appendix 4.

Appeal

This decision, when adopted under Article 51 of REACH, may be appealed to the Board of Appeal of ECHA within three months of its notification to you. Please refer to http://echa.europa.eu/regulations/appeals for further information.

Failure to comply

If you do not comply with the information required by this decision by the deadline indicated above, ECHA will notify the enforcement authorities of your Member State.

Authorised¹ under the authority of Mike Rasenberg, Director of Hazard Assessment

Appendix 1: Reasons for the decision

Appendix 2: Procedure

Appendix 3: Addressees of the decision and their individual information requirements

Appendix 4: Conducting and reporting new tests under REACH

_

¹ As this is an electronic document, it is not physically signed. This communication has been approved according to ECHA's internal decision-approval process.



Appendix 1: Reasons for the decision

Contents

Apı	Appendix 1: Reasons for the decision3 Reasons for the decision(s) related to the information under Annex IX of REACH4				
Rea					
1.	Viscosity	4			
2.	In vivo mammalian alkaline comet assay	4			
3.	Pre-natal developmental toxicity study	6			
4.	Simulation testing on ultimate degradation in surface water	7			
5.	Identification of degradation products	8			
Ref	References				



Reasons for the decision(s) related to the information under Annex IX of REACH

1. Viscosity

- 1 Viscosity is an information requirement under Annex IX to REACH (Section 7.17.).
 - 1.1. Information provided to fulfil the information requirement
- 2 You have submitted a testing proposal for a Viscosity of liquids test (test method: OECD TG 114) on the Substance.
- 3 Your registration dossier does not include any information on Viscosity.
- 4 ECHA agrees that an appropriate study on Viscosity is needed.
 - 1.2. Test selection and study specifications
- 5 The proposed Viscosity of liquids test (test method: OECD TG 114) is appropriate to cover the information requirement on Viscosity (ECHA Guidance R.7.1.18.3.).

1.3. Outcome

- 6 Your testing proposal is accepted under Article 40(3)(a) and you are requested to conduct the test with the Substance, as specified above.
- 7 In the comments to the draft decision, you agree to perform the requested test.

2. In vivo mammalian alkaline comet assay

- 8 An appropriate *in vivo* somatic cell genotoxicity study is an information requirement under Annex IX to REACH (Section 8.4., Column 2) if (1) there is a positive result in any of the *in vitro* genotoxicity study under Annex VII or VIII to REACH and (2) there are no results available from an *in vivo* study.
- 9 Your dossier contains positive results for the *in vitro* gene mutation study in bacteria (OECD TG 471, 2020) and *in vitro* micronucleus test (OECD TG 487, 2020) which raise the concern for gene mutation and chromosomal aberration. In your dossier there is also an *in vivo* micronucleus study (OECD TG 474, 2020) which gave negative results. But the OECD TG 474 study does not address the concern on gene mutation.
 - 2.1. Information provided to fulfil the information requirement
- 10 You have submitted a testing proposal for an *In vivo* mammalian alkaline comet assay to be performed with the Substance.
- 11 According to the Guidance on IRs & CSA, Section R.7.7.6.3 the *in vivo* mammalian alkaline comet assay ("comet assay", OECD TG 489) is suitable to follow up a positive *in vitro* result on gene mutation.
- 12 ECHA therefore agrees that the proposed comet assay is an appropriate *in vivo* follow up genotoxicity study to address the remaining concern identified *in vitro*.



13 ECHA requested your considerations for alternative methods to fulfil the information requirement for Genetic toxicity *in vivo*. You provided your considerations concluding that there were no alternative methods which could be used to adapt the information requirement(s) for which testing is proposed. ECHA has taken these considerations into account.

2.2. Specification of the study design

- 14 You did not specify the species to be used for testing. According to the test method OECD TG 489, rats are the preferred species. Other rodent species can be used if scientifically justified (OECD TG 489, para. 23).
- 15 You did not specify the route for testing. Having considered the anticipated routes of human exposure and adequate exposure of the target tissue(s) performance of the test by the oral route is appropriate.
- 16 In line with the test method OECD TG 489, the test must be performed by analysing tissues from liver as primary site of xenobiotic metabolism, glandular stomach and duodenum as sites of contact. There are several expected or possible variables between the glandular stomach and the duodenum (different tissue structure and function, different pH conditions, variable physico-chemical properties and fate of the Substance, and probable different local absorption rates of the Substance and its possible breakdown product(s)). In light of these expected or possible variables, it is necessary to analyse both tissues to ensure a sufficient evaluation of the potential for genotoxicity at the site of contact in the gastro-intestinal tract.

2.2.1. Germ cells

- 17 A subsequent germ cell genotoxicity study (TGR/OECD TG 488, or CA on spermatogonia/OECD TG 483) may still be required under Annex IX of REACH, in case 1) an *in vivo* genotoxicity test on somatic cell is positive, and 2) no clear conclusion can be made on germ cell mutagenicity.
- 18 Therefore, you may consider collecting the male gonadal cells from the seminiferous tubules in addition to the other aforementioned tissues in the comet assay, as it would optimise the use of animals. You can prepare the slides for male gonadal cells and store them for up to 2 months, at room temperature, in dry conditions and protected from light. Following the generation and analysis of data on somatic cells in the comet assay, in accordance to Annex IX, Section 8.4., column 2, you should consider analysing the slides prepared with gonadal cells. This type of evidence may be relevant for the overall assessment of possible germ cell mutagenicity including classification and labelling according to the CLP Regulation.

2.2.2. Cross-linking properties

19 You are reminded that you may decide to take into account the potential cross-linking properties of the Substance in the experimental setup of the comet assay and perform a modified comet assay in order to detect cross links. Therefore, you may consider preparing and analysing two sets of slides: one set of slides submitted to the standard experimental conditions (as described in OECD TG 489); the other set of slides submitted to modified experimental conditions that enable the detection of DNA. The modified experimental conditions may utilise one of the following options: (1) increase of electrophoresis time, e.g. as described in reference 23 [1] in the OECD TG 489; (2) treatment of isolated cells (either in suspension or embedded in the slides) with a chemical (e.g. MMS); or (3) treatment of isolated cells (either in suspension or embedded in the slides) with ionising radiation (options 2 and 3 are described e.g. in references 36-



39 [2-5] in the OECD TG 489 or Pant et al. 2015 [6]). In order to ensure the robustness of the test result a specific positive control group of animals would be needed.

References:

- [1] Nesslany *et al.* (2007) In vivo comet assay on isolated kidney cells to distinguish genotoxic carcinogens from epigenetic carcinogens or cytotoxic compounds *Muta Res*;630(1-2):28-41.
- [2] Merk and Speit (1999) Detection of crosslinks with the comet assay in relationship to genotoxicity and cytotoxicity. *Environ Mol Mutagen*; 33(2):167-72.
- [3] Pfuhler and Wolf (1996) Detection of DNA-crosslinking agents with the alkaline comet assay. *Environ Mol Mutagen*;27(3):196-201.
- [4] Wu and Jones (2012) Assessment of DNA interstrand crosslinks using the modified alkaline comet assay. *Methods Mol Biol*;817:165-81.
- [5] Spanswick *et al.* (2010) Measurement of DNA interstrand crosslinking in individual cells using the Single Cell Gel Electrophoresis (Comet) assay. *Methods Mol Biol*;613:267-282.
- [6] Pant K et al. (2015) Modified in vivo comet assay detects the genotoxic potential of 14-hydroxycodeinone, an α,β -unsaturated ketone in oxycodone. Environ Mol Mutagen;56(9):777-87.

2.3. Outcome

- 20 Your testing proposal is accepted under Article 40(3)(a) and you are requested to conduct the test with the Substance, as specified above.
- 21 In the comments to the draft decision, you agree to perform the requested test.

3. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study

- 22 A pre-natal developmental toxicity (PNDT) study (OECD TG 414) in one species is an information requirement under Annex IX to REACH (Section 8.7.2.).
 - 3.1. Information provided to fulfil the information requirement
- 23 You have submitted a testing proposal for a PNDT study according to OECD TG 414 by the oral route with the Substance.
- 24 ECHA requested your considerations for alternative methods to fulfil the information requirement for Developmental toxicity. You provided your considerations concluding that there were no alternative methods which could be used to adapt the information requirement(s) for which testing is proposed. ECHA has taken these considerations into account.
- 25 ECHA agrees that a PNDT study in a first species is necessary.

3.2. Specification of the study design

- 26 You proposed testing in the rat as a first species. You may select between the rat or the rabbit because both are preferred species under the OECD TG 414 (ECHA Guidance R.7a, Section R.7.6.2.3.2.).
- 27 You did not specify the route for testing. The oral route of administration is the most appropriate to investigate reproductive toxicity (ECHA Guidance R.7a, Section R.7.6.2.3.2.).



3.3. Outcome

- 28 Your testing proposal is accepted under Article 40(3)(a) and you are requested to conduct the test with the Substance, as specified above.
- 29 In the comments to the draft decision, you agree to perform the requested test.

4. Simulation testing on ultimate degradation in surface water

- 30 Simulation testing on ultimate degradation in surface water is an information requirement under Annex IX to REACH (Section 9.2.1.2.).
 - 4.1. Information needed to fulfil the information requirement
- 31 You have submitted a testing proposal for an Aerobic mineralisation in Surface Water Simulation biodegradation test (test method: OECD TG 309).
- 32 Your registration dossier does not include any information on ultimate biodegradation in surface water.
- 33 Simulation testing on ultimate degradation in surface water does not need to be conducted if the substance is highly insoluble in water or is readily biodegradable (Annex IX, Section 9.2.1.2, column 2).
- 34 The information provided in your dossier indicates that:
 - the Substance is well soluble (the main constituents of the Substance have a water solubilities of 32.1 g/L and 36.7 g/L based on OECD TG 105);
 - the Substance is not readily biodegradable (7% and 10% biodegradation after 28 days based on OECD TG 301B).
- 35 As the Substance is considered to be well soluble and not readily biodegradable, ECHA agrees that an appropriate simulation study on ultimate degradation in surface water is needed.
 - 4.2. Test selection and study specifications
- 36 The proposed Aerobic mineralisation in Surface Water Simulation biodegradation test (test method: OECD TG 309) is appropriate to cover the information requirement for degradation/biodegradation (ECHA Guidance R.7.9.4.1).
- 37 Simulation degradation studies must include two types of investigations (ECHA Guidance R.7.9.4.1.):
 - 1) a degradation pathway study where transformation/degradation products are quantified and, if relevant, are identified, and
 - 2) a kinetic study where the degradation rate constants (and degradation half-lives) of the parent substance and of relevant transformation/degradation products are experimentally determined.
- 38 You must perform the test, by following the pelagic test option with natural surface water containing approximately 15 mg dw/L of suspended solids (acceptable concentration between 10 and 20 mg dw/L) (ECHA Guidance R.11.4.1.1.3.).



- 39 The required test temperature is 12°C, which corresponds to the average environmental temperature for the EU (ECHA Guidance R.16, Table R.16-8) and is in line with the applicable test conditions of the OECD TG 309.
- 40 As specified in ECHA Guidance R.7.9.4.1., the organic carbon (OC) concentration in surface water simulation tests is typically 2 to 3 orders of magnitude higher than the test substance concentration and the formation of non-extractable residues (NERs) may be significant in surface water tests. Therefore, non-extractable residues (NER) must be quantified. The reporting of results must include a scientific justification of the used extraction procedures and solvents. By default, total NER is regarded as non-degraded Substance. However, if reasonably justified and analytically demonstrated a certain part of NER may be differentiated and quantified as irreversibly bound or as degraded to biogenic NER, such fractions could be regarded as removed when calculating the degradation half-life(s) (ECHA Guidance R.11.4.1.1.3.). Further recommendations may be found in the background note on options to address non-extractable residues in regulatory persistence assessment available on the ECHA website.
- 41 Relevant transformation/degradation products are at least those detected at ≥ 10% of the applied dose at any sampling time or those that are continuously increasing during the study even if their concentrations do not exceed 10% of the applied dose, as this may indicate persistence (OECD TG 309; ECHA Guidance R.11.4.1.).

4.3. Outcome

- 42 Your testing proposal is accepted under Article 40(3)(a) and you are requested to conduct the test with the Substance, as specified above.
- 43 In the comments to the draft decision, you agree to perform the requested test.

5. Identification of degradation products

- 44 Identification of degradation products is an information requirement under Annex IX to REACH (Section 9.2.3.).
 - 5.1. Information needed to fulfil the information requirement
- 45 You have submitted a testing proposal for the identification of degradation products.
- 46 You have provided no information on the identity of degradation products for the Substance.
- 47 ECHA agrees that the appropriate information on the identification of degradation products is needed.
 - 5.2. Specification of the method and of the study design
- 48 You propose to incorporate the identification of degradation products in the ultimate degradation in surface water simulation test (test method: OECD TG 309) with the following justification:
- 49 "As the substance is not readily biodegradable, the requirement to identify degradation products (Annex IX, Section 9.2.3) is triggered and should be performed in conjunction with the ultimate degradation in surface water simulation test.".



- 50 The proposed method using the Aerobic mineralisation in Surface Water Simulation biodegradation test (test method: OECD TG 309) is appropriate to identify the degradation products (ECHA Guidance R.7.9.4.1).
- 51 To determine the degradation rate of the Substance, the proposed study according to OECD TG 309 (Appendix 1 under section 3) must be conducted at 12°C and at a test material application rate reflecting realistic assumptions. However, to overcome potential analytical limitations with the identification and quantification of major transformation/degradation products, you may consider running a parallel test at higher temperature (but within the frame provided by the test guideline, e.g. 20°C) and at higher application rate (i.e. 10 times).
- 52 You may also use other appropriate and suitable test method(s) to provide information on the identity of the transformation/degradation products, for example an enhanced screening level degradation test or modelling tools. You will need to provide a scientifically valid justification for the chosen method. The provided information should include, identification, stability, behaviour, molar quantity of transformation/degradation products relative to the parent compound. In addition, degradation half-life, log Kow and potential toxicity of the transformation/degradation may need to be investigated.

5.3. Outcome

- 53 Your testing proposal is accepted under Article 40(3)(a) and you are requested to provide the information on the degradation products of the Substance, as specified above.
- 54 In the comments to the draft decision, you agree to perform the requested test.



References

The following documents may have been cited in the decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment (Guidance on IRs & CSA)

Chapter R.4 Evaluation of available information; ECHA (2011). Chapter R.6 QSARs, read-across and grouping; ECHA (2008).

Appendix to Chapter R.6 for nanoforms; ECHA (2019).

Chapter R.7a Endpoint specific guidance, Sections R.7.1 – R.7.7; ECHA (2017).

Appendix to Chapter R.7a for nanomaterials; ECHA (2017).

Chapter R.7b Endpoint specific guidance, Sections R.7.8 – R.7.9; ECHA (2017).

Appendix to Chapter R.7b for nanomaterials; ECHA (2017).

Chapter R.7c Endpoint specific guidance, Sections R.7.10 – R.7.13; (ECHA 2017).

Appendix to Chapter R.7a for nanomaterials; ECHA (2017).

Appendix R.7.13-2 Environmental risk assessment for metals and metal

compounds; ECHA (2008).

Chapter R.11 PBT/vPvB assessment; ECHA (2017).

Chapter R.16 Environmental exposure assessment; ECHA (2016).

Guidance on data-sharing; ECHA (2017).

All Guidance on REACH is available online: https://echa.europa.eu/guidance-documents/guidance-on-reach

Read-across assessment framework (RAAF)

RAAF, 2017 Read-across assessment framework (RAAF), ECHA (2017)
RAAF UVCB, 2017 Read-across assessment framework (RAAF) – considerations on multi- constituent substances and UVCBs), ECHA (2017).

The RAAF and related documents are available online:

https://echa.europa.eu/support/registration/how-to-avoid-unnecessary-testing-on-animals/grouping-of-substances-and-read-across

OECD Guidance documents (OECD GDs)

OECD GD 23	Guidance document on aquatic toxicity testing of difficult substances and mixtures; No. 23 in the OECD series on testing and assessment, OECD (2019).
OECD GD 29	Guidance document on transformation/dissolution of metals and metal compounds in aqueous media; No. 29 in the OECD series on testing and assessment, OECD (2002).
OECD GD 150	Revised guidance document 150 on standardised test guidelines for evaluating chemicals for endocrine disruption; No. 150 in the OECD series on testing and assessment, OECD (2018).
OECD GD 151	Guidance document supporting OECD test guideline 443 on the extended one-generation reproductive toxicity test; No. 151 in the OECD series on testing and assessment, OECD (2013).



Appendix 2: Procedure

ECHA received your testing proposal(s) on 6 September 2021 and started the testing proposal evaluation in accordance with Article 40(1).

ECHA held a third party consultation for the testing proposal(s) from 21 October 2021 until 7 December 2021. ECHA did not receive information from third parties.

ECHA followed the procedure detailed in Articles 50 and 51 of REACH.

ECHA notified you of the draft decision and invited you to provide comments.

In your comments you agreed to the draft decision. ECHA took your comments into account and did not amend the requests.

ECHA notified the draft decision to the competent authorities of the Member States for proposals for amendment.

As no amendments were proposed, ECHA adopted the decision under Article 51(3) of REACH.

The deadline of the decision is set based on standard practice for carrying out OECD TG tests. It has been exceptionally extended by 12 months from the standard deadline granted by ECHA to take into account currently longer lead times in contract research organisations.



Appendix 3: Addressees of this decision and their corresponding information requirements

In accordance with Articles 10(a) and 12(1) of REACH, the information requirements for individual registrations are defined as follows:

• the information specified in Annexes VII, VIII and IX to REACH, for registration at 100-1000 tpa.

Registrant Name	Registration number	Highest REACH Annex applicable to you

Where applicable, the name of a third party representative (TPR) may be displayed in the list of recipients whereas ECHA will send the decision to the actual registrant.



Appendix 4: Conducting and reporting new tests for REACH purposes

1. Requirements when conducting and reporting new tests for REACH purposes

1.1. Test methods, GLP requirements and reporting

- (1) Under Article 13(3) of REACH, all new data generated as a result of this decision must be conducted according to the test methods laid down in a European Commission Regulation or to international test methods recognised by the Commission or ECHA as being appropriate.
- (2) Under Article 13(4) of REACH, ecotoxicological and toxicological tests and analyses must be carried out according to the GLP principles (Directive 2004/10/EC) or other international standards recognised by the Commission or ECHA.
- (3) Under Article 10(a)(vi) and (vii) of REACH, all new data generated as a result of this decision must be reported as study summaries, or as robust study summaries, if required under Annex I of REACH. See ECHA Practical Guide on How to report robust study summaries².
- (4) Where a test method offers flexibility in the study design, for example in relation to the choice of dose levels or concentrations, the chosen study design shall ensure that the data generated are adequate for hazard identification and risk assessment.

1.2. Test material

(1) Selection of the Test material(s)

The Test Material used to generate the new data must be selected taking into account the following:

- the boundary composition(s) of the Substance,
- the impact of each constituent/ impurity on the test results for the endpoint to be assessed. For example, if a constituent/ impurity of the Substance is known to have an impact on (eco)toxicity, the selected Test Material must contain that constituent/ impurity.
- (2) Information on the Test Material needed in the updated dossier
 - You must report the composition of the Test Material selected for each study, under the "Test material information" section, for each respective endpoint study record in IUCLID,
 - The reported composition must include the careful identification and description of the characteristics of the Tests Materials in accordance with OECD GLP (ENV/MC/CHEM(98)16) and EU Test Methods Regulation (EU) 440/2008 (Note, Annex), namely all the constituents must be identified as far as possible as well as their concentration. Also any constituents that have harmonised classification and labelling according to the CLP Regulation must be identified and quantified using the appropriate analytical methods.

With that detailed information, ECHA can confirm whether the Test Material is relevant for the Substance.

Technical instructions on how to report the above is available in the manual on How to prepare registration and PPORD dossiers³.

² <u>https://echa.europa.eu/practical-guides</u>

³ https://echa.europa.eu/manuals



2. General recommendations for conducting and reporting new tests

2.1. Environmental testing for substances containing multiple constituents

Your Substance contains multiple constituents and, as indicated in Guidance on IRs & CSA, Section R.11.4.2.2, you are advised to consider the following approaches for persistency, bioaccumulation and aquatic toxicity testing:

- the "known constituents approach" (by assessing specific constituents), or
- the "fraction/block approach, (performed on the basis of fractions/blocks of constituents), or
- the "whole substance approach", or
- various combinations of the approaches described above

Selection of the appropriate approach must take into account the possibility to characterise the Substance (i.e. knowledge of its constituents and/or fractions and any differences in their properties) and the possibility to isolate or synthesize its relevant constituents and/or fractions.

References to Guidance on REACH and other supporting documents can be found in Appendix 1.