

Decision number: TPE-D-0000003081-87-03/F

Helsinki, 16 May 2013

DECISION ON A TESTING PROPOSAL SET OUT IN A REGISTRATION PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 40(3) OF REGULATION (EC) NO 1907/2006

For N,N',N'',N'''-tetrakis(4,6-bis(butyl-(N-methyl-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-4-
yl)amino)triazin-2-yl)-4,7-diazadecane-1,10-diamine, CAS No 106990-43-6 (EC No
401-990-0), registration number:

The European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) has taken the following decision in accordance with the procedure set out in Articles 50 and 51 of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH Regulation).

I. Procedure

Addressee:

Pursuant to Article 40(1) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA has examined the following testing proposal submitted as part of the registration dossier in accordance with Articles 10(a)(ix) and 12(1)(e) thereof for N,N',N'',N'''-tetrakis(4,6-bis(butyl-(N-methyl-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-4-yl)amino)triazin-2-yl)-4,7-diazadecane-1,10-diamine, CAS No 106990-43-6 (EC No 401-990-0), by (Registrant).

• Earthworm reproduction test (Eisenia fetida/Eisenia andrei) (OECD Guideline 222).

This decision is based on the registration dossier as submitted with submission number, for the tonnage band of 1000 tonnes or more per year. This decision does not take into account any updates after 8 March 2013, the date upon which ECHA notified its draft decision to the Competent Authorities of the Member States pursuant to Article 51(1) of the REACH Regulation.

This decision does not imply that the information provided by the Registrant in his registration dossier is in compliance with the REACH requirements. The decision does not prevent ECHA from initiating a compliance check on the registration at a later stage.

The examination of the testing proposal was initiated upon the date when receipt of the complete registration dossier was confirmed on 12 July 2012.

On 3 January 2013 ECHA sent the draft decision to the Registrant and invited him to provide comments within 30 days of the receipt of the draft decision.

By 4 February 2013 the Registrant did not provide any comments on the draft decision to ECHA.

On 8 March 2013 ECHA notified the Competent Authorities of the Member States of its draft decision and invited them pursuant to Article 51(1) of the REACH Regulation to submit proposals to amend the draft decision within 30 days of the receipt of the notification.



Subsequently, Competent Authorities of the Member States did not propose amendments to the draft decision and ECHA took the decision pursuant to Article 51(3) of the REACH Regulation.

II. Testing required

The Registrant shall carry out the following proposed tests pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation using the indicated test methods and the registered substance subject to the present decision:

1. Long-term toxicity on terrestrial invertebrates (Annex X, 9.4.4.; test method: Earthworm reproduction test (*Eisenia fetida/Eisenia andrei*), OECD 222);

Pursuant to Article 40(3)(c) of the REACH Regulation the Registrant shall carry out the following additional test using the indicated test method and the registered substance subject to the present decision:

 Effects on soil micro-organisms (Annex IX, 9.4.2.; test method: Soil microorganisms: nitrogen transformation test, EU C.21/OECD 216);

Once results of the requested toxicity test on terrestrial invertebrates are available, in accordance with Annex I of the Reach Regulation, the Registrant is required to revise the chemical safety assessment. He should furthermore consider whether there is a need to investigate further the effects on terrestrial organisms in order to fulfil the information requirements of section 9.4 of Annexes IX and X, and if necessarysubmit testing proposals for additional terrestrial toxicity tests. If the Registrant concludes that no further investigation of effects on terrestrial organisms is required, he should update his technical dossier by clearly stating the reasons for adapting the information requirement of Annex IX, section 9.4.3. and Annex X, section 9.4.6. of the REACH Regulation.

Pursuant to Articles 40(4) and 22 of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant shall submit to ECHA by **16 November 2014** an update of the registration dossier containing the information required by this decision.

At any time, the Registrant shall take into account that there may be an obligation to make every effort to agree on sharing of information and costs with other Registrants.

III. Statement of reasons

The decision of ECHA is based on the examination of the testing proposal submitted by the Registrant for the registered substance.

Pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) and (c) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA may require the Registrant to carry out the proposed test and to carry out additional tests in cases of non-compliance of the testing proposal with Annexes IX, X or XI.

The Registrant must address the standard information requirements set out in Annexes IX and X, section 9.4., for different taxonomic groups: effects on soil micro-organisms (Annex IX, section 9.4.2.), short-term toxicity testing on invertebrates (Annex IX, section 9.4.1.), long-term toxicity testing on invertebrates (Annex X, section 9.4.4.), short-term toxicity testing on plants (Annex IX, section 9.4.3.) and long-term toxicity testing on plants (Annex X, section 9.4.6.).



The information on the endpoint 'effects on terrestrial organisms' is not available for the registered substance but needs to be present in the technical dossier to meet the information requirements.

1) Terrestrial Invertebrates (Annex IX, 9.4.1. and Annex X, 9.4.4.)

The Registrant proposed a long-term toxicity test on terrestrial invertebrates (Earthworm reproduction test, OECD 222). This test is suitable to address the information requirement of Annex X, section 9.4.4. and at the same time that of Annex IX, section 9.4.1.

Based upon the available aquatic toxicity information and the physico-chemical properties of the substance, and in relation to section R.7.11.6., Chapter R.7c of the ECHA *Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment* (May 2008), ECHA considers that the substance would fall into soil hazard category 3. In the context of an integrated testing strategy for soil toxicity, the Guidance advocates performing an initial screening assessment based upon the Equilibrium Partitioning Method (EPM), together with a confirmatory long-term soil toxicity test.

Therefore, pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant is required to carry out the proposed study: Long-term toxicity to invertebrates (Annex X, 9.4.4.); test method: Earthworm reproduction test (*Eisenia fetida*/*Eisenia andrei*) (OECD 222), using the registered substance.

As the Guidance advocates performing an initial screening assessment based upon the EPM, together with a confirmatory long-term soil toxicity test (the long-term toxicity to terrestrial invertebrates test), which the Registrant is requested to carry out by the present decision, ECHA considers that at this stage it is not possible to determine whether results obtained from a toxicity screening assessment would be sufficient to fulfil the information requirements of Annex IX, 9.4.3. and Annex X, 9.4.6. of the REACH Regulation (respectively short-term toxicity testing on terrestrial plants and long-term toxicity testing on terrestrial plants).

The Registrant shall determine the need to perform further terrestrial toxicity tests on plants based on the outcome of the requested toxicity test on terrestrial invertebrates and the considerations set out in Table R.7.11.-2, section R7.C. of the ECHA *Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment* (May 2008). If the Registrant concludes that further investigation of effects on terrestrial organisms is required, he shall submit testing proposals for additional terrestrial toxicity tests.

2) Soil microorganisms (Annex IX, section 9.4.2.)

The hazard to soil microbial communities is a standard information requirement under Annex IX, section 9.4.2. of the REACH Regulation. ECHA notes that the registration dossier does not contain data for this endpoint.

ECHA concludes that the effects on soil microorganisms need to be ascertained by performing a relevant test (EU Method C.21 or OECD 216).

Therefore, pursuant to Article 40(3)(c) of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant is required to carry out the following additional study: Effects on soil micro-organisms (Annex IX, 9.4.2.; test method: Soil microorganisms: nitrogen transformation test, EU C.21/OECD 216), using the registered substance.



ECHA emphasises that the intrinsic properties of soil microbial communities are not addressed through the EPM extrapolation method and therefore the potential adaptation possibility outlined for the information requirement of Annex IX, Section 9.4.3. does not apply for the present endpoint.

IV. Adequate identification of the composition of the tested material

The process of examination of testing proposals set out in Article 40 of the REACH Regulation aims at ensuring that the new studies meet real information needs. Within this context, the Registrant's dossier was sufficient to confirm the identity of the substance to the extent necessary for examination of the testing proposal. The Registrant must note, however, that this information, or the information submitted by other registrants of the same substance, has not been checked for compliance with the substance identity requirements set out in Section 2 of Annex VI of the REACH Regulation.

In relation to the proposed test, the sample of substance used for the new studies must be suitable for use by all the joint registrants. Hence, the sample should have a composition that is within the specifications of the substance composition that are given by the joint registrants. It is the responsibility of all joint registrants of the same substance to agree to the tests proposed (as applicable to their tonnage level) and to document the necessary information on their substance composition.

In addition, it is important to ensure that the particular sample of substance tested in the new studies is appropriate to assess the properties of the registered substance, taking into account any variation in the composition of the technical grade of the substance as actually manufactured by each registrant. If the registration of the substance by any registrant covers different grades, the sample used for the new studies must be suitable to assess these grades.

Finally there must be adequate information on substance identity for the sample tested and the grades registered to enable the relevance of the studies to be assessed.

V. General requirements for the generation of information and Good Laboratory Practice

ECHA reminds registrants of the requirements of Article 13(4) of the REACH Regulation that ecotoxicological and toxicological tests and analyses shall be carried out in compliance with the principles of good laboratory practice (GLP).

According to Article 13(3) of the REACH Regulation, tests that are required to generate information on intrinsic properties of substances shall be conducted in accordance with the test methods laid down in a Commission Regulation or in accordance with other international test methods recognised by the Commission or the European Chemicals Agency as being appropriate. Thus, the Registrant shall refer to Commission Regulation (EC) No 440/2008 laying down test methods pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 as adapted to technical progress or to other international test methods recognised as being appropriate and use the applicable test methods to generate the information on the endpoints indicated above.



VI. <u>Information on right to appeal</u>

An appeal may be brought against this decision to the Board of Appeal of ECHA under Article 51(8) of the REACH Regulation. Such appeal shall be lodged within three months of receiving notification of this decision. Further information on the appeal procedure can be found on the ECHA's internet page at

http://echa.europa.eu/appeals/app_procedure_en.asp. The notice of appeal will be deemed to be filed only when the appeal fee has been paid.



Jukka Malm Director of Regulatory Affairs