# Justification for the selection of a substance for CoRAP inclusion

A mixture of:

triphenylthiophosphate and **Substance Name (Public Name):** 

tertiary butylated phenyl

derivatives

**Chemical Group:** 

**EC Number:** 421-820-9

**CAS Number:** 192268-65-8

Submitted by: **NL MSCA** 

22/03/2016 Date:

#### Note

This document has been prepared by the evaluating Member State(s) given in the CoRAP update.

# **Contents**

1	IDE	NTITY OF THE SUBSTANCE	3
	1.1	Other identifiers of the substance	3
2	CLA	SSIFICATION AND LABELLING	.4
		Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP 4	1
		Self classification Proposal for Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP	4 4
3	INF	ORMATION ON AGGREGATED TONNAGE AND USES	.5
4		TIFICATION FOR THE SELECTION OF THE CANDIDATE CORAP SUBSTANCE.	
		Legal basis for the proposal	5
		Selection criteria met (why the substance qualifies for being in CoRAP)	5
	4.3	Initial grounds for concern to be clarified under Substance Evaluation	5
	4.4	Other completed/ongoing regulatory processes that may affect suitability	
		for substance evaluation	6
	4.5	Preliminary indication of information that may need to be requested to	
		clarify the concern	6
	4.6	Potential follow-up and link to risk management	6

# 1 IDENTITY OF THE SUBSTANCE

# 1.1 Other identifiers of the substance

**Table 1: Substance identity** 

EC name:	A mixture of: triphenylthiophosphate and tertiary butylated phenyl derivatives			
IUPAC name:	reaction mass of: triphenylthiophosphate and tertiary butylated phenyl derivatives			
Index number in Annex VI of the CLP Regulation	-			
Molecular formula:	UVCB: C18H15O3PS, C22H23O3PS, C26H31O3PS			
Molecular weight or molecular weight range:	342.35; 398.46; 454.57			
Synonyms/Trade names:	CD 28-0132; IRGALUBE 232			
Type of substance	Multi constituent MINCR			

**Type of substance** Mono-constituent Multi-constituent UVCB

# 1.2 Similar substances/grouping possibilities

Tricresylphosphate:

CoRAP 2014; the Netherlands; Suspected PBT

EC nr. 215-548-8 CAS 1330-78-5

Name tris(methylphenyl) phosphate

## Structural formula:

### 2 CLASSIFICATION AND LABELLING

# 2.1 Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP

Aquatic Chronic 4, H413, May cause long lasting harmful effects to aquatic life.

#### 2.2 Self classification

• In the registration: (Environmental hazard)

The classification in the registration is identical with the harmonized classification in Annex VI of the CLP

Precautionary statements:

P273: Avoid release to the environment.

 The following hazard classes are in addition notified among the aggregated self classifications in the C&L Inventory:

None, except that one aggregated notification has given the M-factor=10 for the chronic environment hazard.

# 2.3 Proposal for Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP

\_

#### 3 INFORMATION ON AGGREGATED TONNAGE AND USES

From ECHA dissemination site						
☐ 1 - 10 tpa		☐ 10 - 100 tpa		⊠ 100 – 1000 tpa		
☐ 1000 - 10,000 tpa		☐ 10,000 - 100,000 tpa		☐ 100,000 - 1,000,000 tpa		
	0 tpa	☐ 10,000,000 - 100,000,000 tpa		☐ > 100,000,000 tpa		
□ <1 >+	g. 10+ ; 100+ ; 1	0,000+ tpa)	□ Confidential			
		essional use	☐ Consumer use		☐ Closed System	
Use in Lubricants, greases, release products, hydrolic fluids (professional, industrial), intermediate, metal working fluid (industrial).						

# 4 JUSTIFICATION FOR THE SELECTION OF THE CANDIDATE CORAP SUBSTANCE

# 4.1 Legal basis for the proposal

☑ Article 44(2) (refined prioritisation criteria for substance evaluation)				
☐ Article 45(5) (Member State priority)				
4.2 Selection crite	eria met (why the substan	ce qualifies for being in CoRAP)		
☐ Fulfils criteria as CMR/ Suspected CMR				
☐ Fulfils criteria as Sensitiser/ Suspected sensitiser				
☐ Fulfils criteria as potential endocrine disrupter				
□ Fulfils criteria as PBT/vPvB / Suspected PBT/vPvB				
$\square$ Fulfils criteria high (aggregated) tonnage ( $tpa>1000$ )				
☑ Fulfils exposure criteria				
☐ Fulfils MS's (national) priorities				
4.3 Initial grounds for concern to be clarified under Substance Evaluation				
rd based concerns	-	1		
¬m □r	Suspected CMR <sup>1</sup>	☐ Potential endocrine disruptor		

Hazard based concerns						
CMR	Suspected CMR <sup>1</sup>	☐ Potential endocrine disruptor				
□C □M □R	□C □M □R					
Sensitiser	Suspected Sensitiser <sup>1</sup>					
☐ PBT/vPvB	Suspected PBT/vPvB¹	☐ Other (please specify below)				
Exposure/risk based concer	ns					
☐ Wide dispersive use	☐ Consumer use	☐ Exposure of sensitive populations				
☐ Exposure of environment	☐ Exposure of workers	☐ Cumulative exposure				
☐ High RCR	☐ High (aggregated) tonnage	☐ Other (please specify below)				
P: not readily biodegradable in OECD 301C and D (0% degradation after 28 days). Degradability of one component in an OECD302 B (Zahn Wellens) inherent biodegradability test.						
B: O,O,O-triphenyl phosphorothioate (main component) BCF 842 – 2508						
T: Lowest NOEC (rainbow trout) 0.0044 mg/l (based on growth rate)						

Suspected PBT: Potentially Persistent, Bioaccumulative and Toxic

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> <u>CMR/Sensitiser</u>: known carcinogenic and/or mutagenic and/or reprotoxic properties/known sensitising properties (according to CLP harmonized or registrant self-classification or CLP Inventory) <u>Suspected CMR/Suspected sensitiser</u>: suspected carcinogenic and/or mutagenic and/or reprotoxic properties/suspected sensitising properties (not classified according to CLP harmonized or registrant self-classification)

# 4.4 Other completed/ongoing regulatory processes that may affect suitability for substance evaluation

☐ Compliance check, Final decision	☐ Dangerous substances Directive 67/548/EEC						
☐ Testing proposal	☐ Ex	☐ Existing Substances Regulation 793/93/EEC					
☐ Annex VI (CLP)	☐ Pla	☐ Plant Protection Products Regulation 91/414/EEC					
☐ Annex XV (SVHC)		☐ Biocidal Products Directive 98/8/EEC ; Biocidal Product Regulation (Regulation (EU) 528/2012)					
☐ Annex XIV (Authorisation)	☐ Ot	☐ Other (provide further details below)					
Annex XVII (Restriction)							
The NL-CA is of the opinion that it is better to wait for the outcome of the substance evaluation of O,O,O-triphenyl phosphorothionate (EC nr 209-909-0), the NL-CA intends to perform. Althought the NL-CA has identified the concerns as described, the NL-CA decided to first perform a substance evaluation of the mono-constituent O,O,O-triphenyl phosphorothionate (EC nr 209-909-0). As this substance is one of the main constituents of the UVCB, the outcome of the mono-constituent will influence the concern regarding the UVCB. Several uncertainties exist on the substance identity of the UVCB and the read-across performed. Therefore, the NL-CA has decided not to evaluate the monoconstituent and the UVCB together.							
4.5 Preliminary indication of information that may need to be requested to clarify the concern							
☐ Information on toxicological properties		☐ Information on physico-chemical properties					
☐ Information on fate and behaviour		☐ Information on exposure					
☐ Information on ecotoxicological properties	☐ Information on uses						
☐ Information ED potential		☐ Other (provide further details below)					
Persistence will have to be further examined. The information in the dossier is indicating that the main and bioaccumulating component (triphenylthiophosphate) of the UVCB, would be inherently biodegradable in an OECD302 (Zahn-Wellens) test. This test result cannot be translated to an environmental half-life, therefore it is not possible to conclude that the component would be not P. Further evaluation of the dossier data is required, and possibly further testing (simulation testing) of the UVCB substance and/or individual components might be necessary.  The B-criterion seems to be fulfilled with BCF values of up to 2508 for the main component.  The T criterion seems to be fulfilled with a chronic fish test.  Use information will be necessary (volumes for different uses) to evaluate the relevance of different uses for PBT assessment.							
4.6 Potential follow-up and link to risk management							
☐ Harmonised C&L ☐ Restriction	⊠ Au	thorisation	☐ Other (provide further details)				
If the evaluation results in a conclusion that the substance is PBT/vPvB it should be substituted by safer alternatives.							