

Helsinki, 19 July 2018

Addressee: [REDACTED]

Decision number: TPE-D-2114428711-54-01/F

Substance name: N-[3-(dimethoxymethylsilyl)-2-methylpropyl]ethylenediamine

EC number: 245-642-4

CAS number: 23410-40-4

Registration number: [REDACTED]

Submission number: [REDACTED]

Submission date: 13.06.2017

Registered tonnage band: [REDACTED]

DECISION ON A TESTING PROPOSAL

Based on Article 40 of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 (the 'REACH Regulation'), ECHA examined your testing proposal(s) and decided as follows.

Your testing proposals are accepted and you are requested to carry out:

- 1. Sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day), oral route (Annex IX, Section 8.6.2.; test method: EU B.26./OECD TG 408) in rats using the registered substance, and**
- 2. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study (Annex IX, Section 8.7.2.; test method: EU B.31./OECD TG 414) in a first species rats, oral route using the registered substance.**

You may adapt the testing requested above according to the specific rules outlined in Annexes VI to X and/or according to the general rules contained in Annex XI of the REACH Regulation. In order to ensure compliance with the respective information requirement, any such adaptation will need to have a scientific justification, referring and conforming to the appropriate rules in the respective Annex, and an adequate and reliable documentation.

You are required to submit the requested information in an updated registration dossier by **27 July 2020**. You shall also update the chemical safety report, where relevant. The timeline has been set to allow for sequential testing.

The reasons of this decision are set out in Appendix 1. The procedural history is described in Appendix 2. Advice and further observations are provided in Appendix 3.

Appeal

This decision can be appealed to the Board of Appeal of ECHA within three months of its notification. An appeal, together with the grounds thereof, shall be submitted to ECHA in writing. An appeal has suspensive effect and is subject to a fee. Further details are described under <http://echa.europa.eu/regulations/appeals>.

Authorised¹ by Ofelia Bercaru, Head of Unit, Evaluation E3

¹ As this is an electronic document, it is not physically signed. This communication has been approved according to ECHA's internal decision-approval process.

Appendix 1: Reasons

The decision of ECHA is based on the examination of the testing proposals submitted by you for the registered substance N-[3-(dimethoxymethylsilyl)-2-methylpropyl]ethylenediamine (CAS no 23410-40-4, EC no 245-642-4), taking into account the updated dossier

The initial draft decision was based on the dossier with the submission number [REDACTED], registered at the tonnage band of 10 to 100 tpa. Therein you proposed a testing strategy intending to fulfil the standard information requirements for a sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day), oral route (Annex IX, 8.6.2) and a pre-natal developmental toxicity study, oral route (Annex IX, Section 8.7.2.) of the registered substance by testing the analogue substance N-[3-(trimethoxysilyl)propyl]ethylenediamine (CAS no 1760-24-3, EC no 217-164-6). ECHA rejected the read-across proposed and also rejected the testing proposals as not necessary for the tonnage band of the substance registered.

In your comments to the draft decision you explained that you have upgraded the tonnage band of the registered substance to [REDACTED] tpa and submitted an updated dossier [REDACTED]. You therefore suggested that the tests proposed should be reconsidered by ECHA.

In the updated dossier you have changed your testing strategy and proposed to conduct tests using the registered substance. ECHA has assessed your changed strategy as follows.

1. Sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day) (Annex IX, Section 8.6.2.)

Pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA may require the Registrant to carry out the proposed test.

A sub-chronic toxicity study (90 day) is a standard information requirement for the tonnage band of [REDACTED] tonnes per year as laid down in Annex IX, Section 8.6.2. of the REACH Regulation. The information on this endpoint is not available for the registered substance but needs to be present in the technical dossier to meet the information requirements. Consequently there is an information gap and it is necessary to provide information for this endpoint.

In the updated dossier, you have submitted a testing proposal for a sub-chronic toxicity study (90 day) in rats by the oral route according to OECD TG 408 with the registered substance.

ECHA requested your considerations for alternative methods to fulfil the information requirement for Sub-chronic toxicity (90-day): oral. ECHA notes that you provided your considerations concluding that there were no alternative methods which could be used to adapt the information requirement(s) for which testing is proposed. ECHA has taken these considerations into account.

You proposed testing by the oral route. Based on the information provided in the technical dossier and/or in the chemical safety report, ECHA agrees that the oral route - which is the preferred one as indicated in ECHA *Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment* (version 6.0, July 2017) Chapter R.7a, Section R.7.5.4.3 - is the most appropriate route of administration. The substance is a liquid of low vapour pressure. Uses with industrial and professional spray application are reported in the chemical safety report. However, the reported concentrations are low (< [REDACTED]%). Furthermore, ECHA points out that no repeated dose toxicity study by the oral route is available. Hence, the test shall be

performed by the oral route using the test method EU B.26./OECD TG 408. Therefore, ECHA considers that the proposed study performed by the oral route with the registered substance is appropriate to fulfil the information requirement of Annex IX, Section 8.6.2. of the REACH Regulation.

You proposed testing in rats. According to the test method EU B.26./OECD TG 408 the rat is the preferred species. ECHA considers this species as being appropriate and testing should be performed with the rat.

Therefore, pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, you are requested to carry out the proposed study with the registered substance subject to the present decision: Sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day) in rats, oral route (test method: EU B.26./OECD TG 408).

Notes for your consideration

ECHA notes that a revised version of OECD TG 408 was adopted this year by the OECD. This revised version contains enhancements of certain endocrine disrupting relevant parameters. You should test in accordance with the revised version of the guideline as published on the OECD website for adopted test guidelines (https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/environment/oecd-guidelines-for-the-testing-of-chemicals-section-4-health-effects_20745788).

2. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study (Annex IX, Section 8.7.2.) in a first species

Pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA may require the Registrant to carry out the proposed test.

A pre-natal developmental toxicity study for a first species is a standard information requirement for the tonnage band of ██████████ tonnes per year as laid down in Annex IX, Section 8.7.2. of the REACH Regulation. The information on this endpoint is not available for the registered substance but needs to be present in the technical dossier to meet the information requirements. Consequently there is an information gap and it is necessary to provide information for this endpoint.

In the updated dossier, you have submitted a testing proposal for a pre-natal developmental toxicity study (Annex IX, Section 8.7.2.; test method: OECD TG 414) in rats, oral route using the registered substance.

ECHA requested your considerations for alternative methods to fulfil the information requirement for Reproductive toxicity (pre-natal developmental toxicity). ECHA notes that you provided your considerations concluding that there were no alternative methods which could be used to adapt the information requirement(s) for which testing is proposed. ECHA has taken these considerations into account.

ECHA considers that the proposed study performed with the registered substance is appropriate to fulfil the information requirement of Annex IX, Section 8.7.2. of the REACH Regulation.

You proposed testing with the rat as a first species. According to the test method EU B.31./OECD TG 414, the rat is the preferred rodent species and the rabbit the preferred non-rodent species. On the basis of this default consideration, ECHA considers testing should be performed with the rat or the rabbit as a first species.

You proposed testing by the oral route. ECHA considers that the oral route is the most appropriate route of administration for substances except gases to focus on the detection of hazardous properties on reproduction as indicated in ECHA *Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment* (version 6.0, July 2017) Chapter R.7a, Section R.7.6.2.3.2. Since the substance to be tested is a liquid, ECHA concludes that testing should be performed by the oral route.

Therefore, pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, you are requested to carry out the proposed study with the registered substance subject to the present decision: Prenatal developmental toxicity study in a first species (rat or rabbit), oral route (test method: EU B.31./OECD TG 414).

Notes for your consideration

For the selection of the appropriate species you are advised to consult ECHA *Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment* (version 6.0, July 2017), Chapter R.7a, Section R.7.6.2.3.2.

ECHA notes that a revised version of OECD TG 414 was adopted this year by the OECD. This revised version contains enhancements of certain endocrine disrupting relevant parameters. You should test in accordance with the revised version of the guideline as published on the OECD website for adopted test guidelines (https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/environment/oecd-guidelines-for-the-testing-of-chemicals-section-4-health-effects_20745788).

Appendix 2: Procedural history

ECHA received your registration containing the testing proposal(s) for examination pursuant to Article 40(1) on 30 April 2015.

ECHA held a third party consultation for the testing proposal(s) from 25 June 2015 until 10 August 2015. ECHA did not receive information from third parties.

ECHA notified you of the draft decision and invited you to provide comments. In your comments to the draft decision you did not provide specific considerations to the endpoints subject to the current decision.

You were notified that the draft decision does not take into account any updates after 16 July 2016, 30 calendar days after the end of the commenting period.

However, following your request and justification provided (including interlinked read-across testing strategy on several supposedly related registered substances) ECHA has exceptionally granted you additional time until 30 June 2017 for the update.

You updated your registration on 13 June 2017. ECHA took the information in the updated registration into account, and amended the draft decision.

ECHA notified the draft decision to the competent authorities of the Member States for proposals for amendment.

As no amendments were proposed, ECHA took the decision according to Article 51(3) of the REACH Regulation.

Appendix 3: Further information, observations and technical guidance

1. This decision does not imply that the information provided in your registration dossier is in compliance with the REACH requirements. The decision does not prevent ECHA from initiating a compliance check on the registration at a later stage.
2. Failure to comply with the requests in this decision, or to otherwise fulfil the information requirements with a valid and documented adaptation, will result in a notification to the enforcement authorities of the Member States.
3. In relation to the information required by the present decision, the sample of the substance used for the new tests must be suitable for use by all the joint registrants. Hence, the sample should have a composition that is suitable to fulfil the information requirement for the range of substance compositions manufactured or imported by the joint registrants.

It is the responsibility of all joint registrants who manufacture or import the same substance to agree on the appropriate composition of the test material and to document the necessary information on their substance composition. In addition, it is important to ensure that the particular sample of the substance tested in the new tests is appropriate to assess the properties of the registered substance, taking into account any variation in the composition of the technical grade of the substance as actually manufactured or imported by each registrant.

If the registration of the substance by any registrant covers different grades, the sample used for the new tests must be suitable to assess these grades. Finally there must be adequate information on substance identity for the sample tested and the grades registered to enable the relevance of the tests to be assessed.