8.5 Procedures for waste management of the active substance for industry or professional users

8.5.1 Possibility of re-use or recycling

Spilled product cannot be used further and must be disposed of. If safe disposal is not possible, contact the manufacturer, the dealer or the local representative. Do not contaminate waters and sewers.

8.5.2 Possibility of neutralisation of effects

Soak up with absorptive material such as sand, soil, diatomaceous earth, etc. Prevent material from spreading, e.g. by damming in with absorptive material. Collect material in specially marked, tightly closing containers.

8.5.3 Conditions for controlled discharge including leachate qualities on disposal

Pay attention to protective clothing and measures. Cover up product with absorptive material such as sand, soil, diatomaceous earth, etc. Collect material in specially marked, tightly closing containers. Clean dirty areas with water and detergent. Put washing water in containers too, to avoid any contamination of surface and ground water, water supplies and drains. Hose down the area for a prolonged period. Heavily contaminated soil layers have to be dug out down to clean soil. Spilled product cannot be used further and must be disposed of. If safe disposal is not possible, contact the manufacturer, the dealer or the local representative and dispose of in an incinerator approved for chemicals.

8.5.4 Conditions for controlled incineration

Dispose of empty containers in an incinerator approved for chemicals. Damaged containers: Place original containers in specially marked larger ones. Check possibilities of recycling large empty containers, drums and barrels.

8.6 Observations on undesirable or unintended side-effects, e.g. on beneficial and other non-target organisms

There is no observation of undesirable effects on non-target organisms with propiconazole technical when handled according to the instructions given by the manufacturer.

Based on its low volatility and rapid degradation the predicted environmental concentration in air is expected to be negligible

8.7 Identification of any substances falling within the scope of List I or List II of the Annex to Directive 80/68/EEC on the protection of ground water against pollution caused by certain dangerous substances

Not applicable.

Doc III A 8 9 10 Page 4 of 8

	Evaluation by Competent Authorities
	EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE
Date	8 February 2006
Materials and methods	
Conclusion	
Reliability	
Acceptability	
Remarks	
	COMMENTS FROM
Date	Give date of comments submitted
Results and discussion	Discuss additional relevant discrepancies referring to the (sub)heading numbers and to applicant's summary and conclusion. Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state
Conclusion	Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state
Reliability	Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state
Acceptability	Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state
Remarks	

Doc III A 8_9_10 Page 5 of 8

9 CLASSIFICATION AND LABELLING

The following classification has been adopted at ISPRA in 2002 and is in agreement with the Syngenta proposed classification:

Hazard symbol: Xn

N

Indication of danger: harmful

dangerous for the environment

Risk phrases: R 22 harmful if swallowed

R 43 May cause sensitization by skin contact

R 50 / 53 very toxic to aquatic organisms

may cause long-term adverse effects in the aquatic

environment

Safety phrases: S 36/37 wear suitable protective clothing, gloves

S 46 if swallowed, seek medical advice immediately

and show container or label

S 61 Avoid release to the environment. Refer to special

instructions / Safety data sheets.

Justification for the proposal

Harmful The acute oral toxicity of propiconazole (LD $_{50}$) to rats was found to

be 1517 mg / kg bw (relevant classification range 200-

2000 mg / kg bw).

Dangerous for the environment The acute toxicity to algae (EC₅₀ [120 h] / Skeletonema costatum)

was found to be 0.02 mg/l (relevant classification range <

1 mg / kg bw).

R 22 The acute oral toxicity of propiconazole (LD_{50}) to rats was found to

be 1517 mg / kg bw (relevant classification range 200-

2000 mg / kg bw).

R 43 A skin sensitization study in the Guinea Pig (Maximisation Test) from

1999 reveals Positive skin reactions in the main test following

challenge application

R 50 The acute toxicity to algae (EC₅₀ [120 h] / Skeletonema costatum)

was found to be 0.02 mg / 1 (relevant classification range <

1 mg / kg bw).

R 53 Propiconazole was found to be not biodegradable.

Furthermore the partition coefficient (log Pow) was found to be 3.72,

(relevant classification range $\log P_{ow} > 3$).

S 36 / 37 proposed because propionazole is classified as harmful.

Doc III A 8 9 10 Page 6 of 8

S 46 required for all dangerous substances other than those classified as

very toxic, toxic or corrosive.

S 61 required for all substances dangerous for the environment

	Evaluation by Competent Authorities
	EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE
Date	8 February 2006
Materials and methods	
Conclusion	
Reliability	
Acceptability	
Remarks	
	COMMENTS FROM
Date	Give date of comments submitted
Results and discussion	Discuss additional relevant discrepancies referring to the (sub)heading numbers and to applicant's summary and conclusion. Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state
Conclusion	Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state
Reliability	Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state
Acceptability	Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state
Remarks	

10 SUMMARY AND EVALUATION OF SECTIONS 2 TO 9

Reported in Document IIA

Doc III A 8_9_10 Page 7 of 8

	Evaluation by Competent Authorities
	EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE
Date	8 February 2006
Date	s =
Materials and methods	
Conclusion	
Reliability	·
Acceptability	
D	
Remarks	
	COMMENTS FROM
ъ.	Give date of comments submitted
Date	
Results and discussion	Discuss additional relevant discrepancies referring to the (sub)heading numbers and to applicant's summary and conclusion. Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state
Conclusion	Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state
Reliability	Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state
Acceptability	Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state
Remarks	

Doc III A 8_9_10 Page 8 of 8