

Helsinki, 6 May 2021

Addressee

Registrant of JS 448-280-7 / 107934-68-9 listed in the last Appendix of this decision

Date of submission of the dossier subject of a decision

10/07/2020

Registered substance subject to this decision, hereafter 'the Substance'

Substance name: 4,4'-(9H-fluoren-9-ylidene)bis(2-chloroaniline)

EC number: 407-560-9

CAS number: NS

Decision number: Please refer to the REACH-IT message which delivered this communication (in format TPE-D-XXXXXXXXXX-XX-XX/F)**DECISION ON TESTING PROPOSAL(S)**

Based on Article 40 of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 (REACH), you must submit the information listed below by **15 May 2023**.

The requested information must be generated using the Substance unless otherwise specified.

A. Information required from the Registrants subject to Annex IX of REACH

1. Sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day) (Annex IX, Section 8.6.2.; test method: EU B.26./OECD TG 408) by oral route, in rats
2. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study (Annex IX, Section 8.7.2.; test method: EU B.31./OECD TG 414) by oral route, in one species (rat or rabbit)

Reasons for the request(s) are explained in the following appendix:

- Appendix entitled "Reasons to request information required under Annexes IX of REACH".

Information required depends on your tonnage band

You must provide the information listed above for all REACH Annexes applicable to you, and in accordance with Articles 10(a) and 12(1) of REACH:

- the information specified in Annexes VII, VIII and IX to REACH, for registration at 100-1000 tpa.

How to comply with your information requirements

To comply with your information requirements you must submit the information requested by this decision in an updated registration dossier by the deadline indicated above. You must also update the chemical safety report, where relevant, including any changes to classification and labelling, based on the newly generated information.

You must follow the general testing and reporting requirements provided under the Appendix entitled "Requirements to fulfil when conducting and reporting new tests for REACH"

purposes". In addition, you should follow the general recommendations provided under the Appendix entitled "General recommendations when conducting and reporting new tests for REACH purposes". For references used in this decision, please consult the Appendix entitled "List of references".

Appeal

This decision can be appealed to the Board of Appeal of ECHA within three months of its notification. An appeal, together with the grounds thereof, has to be submitted to ECHA in writing. An appeal has suspensive effect and is subject to a fee. Further details are described under: <http://echa.europa.eu/regulations/appeals>.

Approved¹ under the authority of Christel Schilliger-Musset, Director of Hazard Assessment

¹ As this is an electronic document, it is not physically signed. This communication has been approved according to ECHA's internal decision-approval process.

Appendix A: Reasons to request information required under Annex IX of REACH

This decision is based on the examination of the testing proposals you submitted and on scientific information submitted by third parties.

1. Sub-chronic toxicity study (90-days)

A sub-chronic toxicity study (90 days) is an information requirement under Annex IX to REACH (Section 8.6.2.).

You have submitted a testing proposal for a sub-chronic toxicity study (90 day) in rats by the oral route according to OECD TG 408 with the Substance.

ECHA notes that you provided your considerations concluding that there were no alternative methods which could be used to adapt the information requirement(s) for which testing is proposed. ECHA has taken these considerations into account.

You proposed testing by the oral route, in rats. ECHA agrees with your proposal.

Consideration of the information received during third party consultation

ECHA received third party information concerning the testing proposal during the third party consultation. For the reasons explained below, the information provided by third parties is not sufficient to fulfil this information requirement.

Third party information:

"According to the Registration Dossier, a combined 28-day repeated dose toxicity study (OECD 407) with the Registered Substance reports a NOAEL of 1000 mg/kg bw/d. Published analyses of toxicological data in REACH Registration Dossiers (Taylor et al, 2014; Taylor & Andrew, 2017) demonstrate that, for low toxicity substances reporting a NOAEL of 1000 mg/kg bw/d, there is no added value for the human risk assessment if a 90-day study is performed. As this substance appears to meet the definition of a 'low toxicity substance', a 90-day study is not scientifically justified and not in the interests of animal welfare."

ECHA notes that it is your responsibility to consider and justify in the registration dossier any adaptation of the information requirements in accordance with Annex IX, Section 8.6.2., column 2, fourth indent. This adaptation specifies that a sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day) does not need to be conducted if "*the substance is unreactive, insoluble and not inhalable and there is no evidence of absorption and no evidence of toxicity in a 28-day study, particularly if such a pattern is coupled with limited human exposure*". ECHA notes that all criteria need to be met.

ECHA observes that the third party comment addressed only the criterion concerning toxicity. However, the third party did not provide sufficient evidence of no toxicity. Furthermore, an adaptation would also need to demonstrate that the other conditions of the adaptation possibility are fulfilled.

Therefore the criteria listed in Column 2 of Annex IX, section 8.6.2., fourth indent are not met and the information requirement for the sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day) cannot be adapted on this basis.

Under Article 40(3)(a) of REACH, you are requested to carry out the proposed test with the Substance.

In your comments on the draft decision, you agreed to perform the requested study.

2. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study

A pre-natal developmental toxicity (PNDT) study in one species is an information requirement under Annex IX to REACH (Section 8.7.2.).

You have submitted a testing proposal for a PNDT study according to OECD TG 414 with the Substance in the rat by the oral route.

Species

You proposed testing with the rat as a first species. You may select between the rat or the rabbit because both are preferred species under the OECD TG 414.

Route

You proposed testing by the oral route. ECHA agrees with your proposal. Based on the ECHA Guidance R.7.6.2.3.2., the oral route is the most appropriate route of administration to investigate reproductive toxicity.

Under Article 40(3)(a) of REACH, you are requested to carry out the proposed test with the Substance.

In your comments on the draft decision, you agreed to perform the requested study.

Appendix B: Requirements to fulfil when conducting and reporting new tests for REACH purposes

A. Test methods, GLP requirements and reporting

1. Under Article 13(3) of REACH, all new data generated as a result of this decision must be conducted according to the test methods laid down in a European Commission Regulation or to international test methods recognised by the Commission or ECHA as being appropriate.
2. Under Article 13(4) of REACH, ecotoxicological and toxicological tests and analyses must be carried out according to the GLP principles (Directive 2004/10/EC) or other international standards recognised by the Commission or ECHA.
3. Under Article 10(a)(vi) and (vii) of REACH, all new data generated as a result of this decision must be reported as study summaries, or as robust study summaries, if required under Annex I of REACH. See ECHA Practical Guide on How to report robust study summaries².

B. Test material

Before generating new data, you must agree within the joint submission on the chemical composition of the material to be tested (Test material) which must be relevant for all the registrants of the Substance.

1. Selection of the Test material(s)

The Test material used to generate the new data must be selected taking into account the following:

- the variation in compositions reported by all members of the joint submission,
- the boundary composition(s) of the Substance,
- the impact of each constituent/ impurity on the test results for the endpoint to be assessed. For example, if a constituent/ impurity of the Substance is known to have an impact on (eco)toxicity, the selected Test material must contain that constituent/ impurity.

2. Information on the Test material needed in the updated dossier

- You must report the composition of the Test material selected for each study, under the "Test material information" section, for each respective endpoint study record in IUCLID.
- The reported composition must include all constituents of each Test material and their concentration values and other parameters relevant for the property to be tested.

This information is needed to assess whether the Test material is relevant for the Substance and whether it is suitable for use by all members of the joint submission.

Technical instructions on how to report the above is available in the manual on How to prepare registration and PPORD dossiers³.

² <https://echa.europa.eu/practical-guides>

³ <https://echa.europa.eu/manuals>

Appendix C: Procedure

ECHA received your testing proposals on 8 May 2020 and started the testing proposal evaluation in accordance with Article 40(1).

ECHA held a third party consultation for the testing proposals from 17 June 2020 until 3 August 2020. ECHA received information from third parties (see corresponding Appendix A).

ECHA followed the procedure detailed in Articles 50 and 51 of REACH.

ECHA notified you of the draft decision and invited you to provide comments.

ECHA took into account your comments and amended the deadline.

In your comments on the draft decision, you requested an extension of the deadline to provide information from 18 to 30 months from the date of adoption of the decision.

You provided information from CROs and indicate an "*Earliest tentative start date January 2022*". You then argue that a total timeline of 30 months is needed (12 months to start + 18 months from the proposed timeline in the draft decision)". However, in the statement from the CRO there is no schedule detailing why a 12 months lead in time is required.

On this basis, ECHA has extended the deadline to 24 months.

ECHA notified the draft decision to the competent authorities of the Member States for proposals for amendment.

As no amendments were proposed, ECHA adopted the decision under Article 51(3) of REACH.

Appendix D: List of references - ECHA Guidance⁴ and other supporting documentsEvaluation of available information

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.4 (version 1.1., December 2011), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.4 where relevant.

QSARs, read-across and grouping

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.6 (version 1.0, May 2008), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.6 where relevant.

Read-across assessment framework (RAAF, March 2017)⁵

RAAF - considerations on multi-constituent substances and UVCBs (RAAF UVCB, March 2017)⁵

Physical-chemical properties

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7a (version 6.0, July 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7a in this decision.

Toxicology

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7a (version 6.0, July 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7a in this decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7c (version 3.0, June 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7c in this decision.

Environmental toxicology and fate

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7a (version 6.0, July 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7a in this decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7b (version 4.0, June 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7b in this decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7c (version 3.0, June 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7c in this decision.

PBT assessment

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.11 (version 3.0, June 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.11 in this decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.16 (version 3.0, February 2016), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.16 in this decision.

Data sharing

Guidance on data-sharing (version 3.1, January 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance on data sharing in this decision.

OECD Guidance documents⁶

⁴ <https://echa.europa.eu/guidance-documents/guidance-on-information-requirements-and-chemical-safety-assessment>

⁵ <https://echa.europa.eu/support/registration/how-to-avoid-unnecessary-testing-on-animals/grouping-of-substances-and-read-across>

⁶ <http://www.oecd.org/chemicalsafety/testing/series-testing-assessment-publications-number.htm>

Guidance Document on aqueous-phase aquatic toxicity testing of difficult test chemicals – No 23, referred to as OECD GD 23.

Guidance document on transformation/dissolution of metals and metal compounds in aqueous media – No 29, referred to as OECD GD 29.

Guidance Document on Standardised Test Guidelines for Evaluating Chemicals for Endocrine Disruption – No 150, referred to as OECD GD 150.

Guidance Document supporting OECD test guideline 443 on the extended one-generation reproductive toxicity test – No 151, referred to as OECD GD 151.

