

Committee for Risk Assessment

RAC

Annex 1 Background document

to the Opinion proposing harmonised classification and labelling at EU level of

methyl acrylate; methyl propenoate

EC Number: 202-500-6 CAS Number: 96-33-3

CLH-O-000006956-59-01/F

The background document is a compilation of information considered relevant by the dossier submitter or by RAC for the proposed classification. It includes the proposal of the dossier submitter and the conclusion of RAC. It is based on the official CLH report submitted to public consultation. RAC has not changed the text of this CLH report but inserted text which is specifically marked as 'RAC evaluation'. Only the RAC text reflects the view of RAC.

Adopted 18 March 2021

P.O. Box 400, FI-00121 Helsinki, Finland | Tel. +358 9 686180 | Fax +358 9 68618210 | echa.europa.eu

CLH report

Proposal for Harmonised Classification and Labelling

Based on Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (CLP Regulation), Annex VI, Part 2

International Chemical Identification: Methyl acrylate

EC Number: 202-500-6

CAS Number: 96-33-3

Index Number: 607-034-00-0

Contact details for dossier submitter:

Environment Agency Austria, Spittelauer Lände 5, A-1090 Vienna

on behalf of the Austrian Competent Authority (Austrian Federal Ministry for sustainability and tourism, Stubenring 1, 1010 Vienna, Austria)

Version number: 02

Date: January 2020

CONTENTS

| 1 | IDE | NTIT | Y OF THE SUBSTANCE | 1 |
|----|-------|-------|---|-----------------|
| | 1.1 N | JAME | AND OTHER IDENTIFIERS OF THE SUBSTANCE | 1 |
| | 1.2 C | COMPO | DSITION OF THE SUBSTANCE | 2 |
| 2 | PRO | POS | ED HARMONISED CLASSIFICATION AND LABELLING | 4 |
| | 2.1 P | ROPO | SED HARMONISED CLASSIFICATION AND LABELLING ACCORDING TO THE CLP CRITERIA | 44 |
| 3 | HIST | FOR | Y OF THE PREVIOUS CLASSIFICATION AND LABELLING | 7 |
| 4 | IIIS' | TIFI | CATION THAT ACTION IS NEEDED AT COMMUNITY LEVEL | 8 |
| | | | | |
| 5 | IDE | NTIF | IED USES | 9 |
| 6 | DAT | CA SC | DURCES | 9 |
| 7 | РНҮ | SICO | OCHEMICAL PROPERTIES | 10 |
| 8 | EVA | LUA | TION OF PHYSICAL HAZARDS | |
| | | | | |
| 9 | тох | | KINETICS (ABSORPTION, METABOLISM, DISTRIBUTION AND ELIMIN | ATION) 12 |
| 10 | EVA | LUA | TION OF HEALTH HAZARDS | 13 |
| | 10.1 | AC | JTE TOXICITY - ORAL ROUTE | 13 |
| | 10.1 | .1 | Short summary and overall relevance of the provided information on acute oral to. | xicity 15 |
| | 10.1 | .2 | Comparison with the CLP criteria | 16 |
| | 10.1 | .3 | Conclusion on classification and labelling for acute oral toxicity | 16 |
| | 10.2 | AC | JTE TOXICITY - DERMAL ROUTE | 16 |
| | 10.2 | .1 | Short summary and overall relevance of the provided information on acute dermal | toxicity18 |
| | 10.2 | .2 | Comparison with the CLP criteria | 18 |
| | 10.2 | .3 | Conclusion on classification and labelling for acute dermal toxicity | 18 |
| | 10.3 | AC | JTE TOXICITY - INHALATION ROUTE | 19 |
| | 10.3 | .1 | Short summary and overall relevance of the provided information on acute inhalat | tion toxicity23 |
| | 10.3 | .2 | Comparison with the CLP criteria | 24 |
| | 10.3 | .3 | Conclusion on classification and labelling for acute inhalation toxicity | 24 |
| | 10.4 | Ski | N CORROSION/IRRITATION | 25 |
| | 10.5 | SER | IOUS EYE DAMAGE/EYE IRRITATION | |
| | 10.6 | RES | PIRATORY SENSITISATION | |
| | 10.7 | Ski | N SENSITISATION | |
| | 10.8 | Gei | RM CELL MUTAGENICITY | |
| | 10.9 | CAI | RCINOGENICITY | |
| | 10.10 | Ref | PRODUCTIVE TOXICITY | |
| | 10.11 | Spe | CIFIC TARGET ORGAN TOXICITY-SINGLE EXPOSURE | |

| | 10.12 | SPECIFIC TARGET ORGAN TOXICITY-REPEATED EXPOSURE | 0 |
|----|-------|--|---|
| | 10.13 | ASPIRATION HAZARD | 0 |
| 11 | EVA | LUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARDS3 | 1 |
| 12 | EVA | LUATION OF ADDITIONAL HAZARDS | 1 |
| 13 | ADD | ITIONAL LABELLING | 1 |
| 14 | ANN | EXES | 1 |
| 15 | REFI | ERENCES | 1 |

1 IDENTITY OF THE SUBSTANCE

1.1 Name and other identifiers of the substance

Table 1: Substance identity and information related to molecular and structural formula of the substance

| Name(s) in the IUPAC nomenclature or other international chemical name(s) | Methyl prop-2-enoate |
|---|--|
| Other names (usual name, trade name, abbreviation) | 2-Propenoic acid methyl ester |
| | Propenoic acid methyl ester |
| | Methoxycarbonylethylene |
| | Acrylic acid methyl ester |
| | Methyl propenoate |
| ISO common name (if available and appropriate) | Not applicable |
| EC number (if available and appropriate) | 202-500-6 |
| EC name (if available and appropriate) | Methyl acrylate |
| CAS number (if available) | 96-33-3 |
| Other identity code (if available) | RTECS: AT2800000 |
| | ICSC Number: 0625 |
| | UN Number: 1919 |
| | PubChem CID: 7294 |
| Molecular formula | C ₄ H ₆ O ₂ |
| Structural formula | H ₃ CO |
| | o CH ₂ |
| SMILES notation (if available) | COC(=O)C=C |
| Molecular weight or molecular weight range | 86.09 g/mol |
| Information on optical activity and typical ratio of (stereo) isomers (if applicable and appropriate) | Not applicable |
| Description of the manufacturing process and identity of the source (for UVCB substances only) | Not applicable |
| Degree of purity (%) (if relevant for the entry in Annex VI) | $\geq 80 \text{ wt }\%$ |

1.2 Composition of the substance

Methyl acrylate is a mono-constituent substance.

| Constituent (Name and numerical identifier) | Concentration range (% w/w minimum and maximum in multi- constituent substances) | CurrentCLHinAnnex VITable3.1(CLP) | Current self- classification and labelling (CLP) |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| Methyl acrylate | Not applicable | Flam. Liq. 2 (H225) | Flam. Liq. 2 (H225) |
| EC 202-500-6 | | Acute Tox. 4 * (H302) | Acute Tox. 4 (H302) |
| CAS 96-33-3 | | Acute Tox. 4 * (H312) | Acute Tox. 4 (H312) |
| | | Acute Tox. 4 * (H332) | Acute Tox. 3 (H331) |
| | | Skin Irrit. 2 (H315) | Skin Irrit. 2 (H315) |
| | | Eye Irrit. 2 (H319) | Eye Irrit. 2 (H319) |
| | | Skin Sen. 1 (H317) | Skin Sen. 1B (H317) |
| | | STOT SE 3 (H335) | STOT SE 3 (H335) |
| | | Note D | Aquatic Chronic 3 (H412) |

Table 3: Impurities (non-confidential information) if relevant for the classification of the substance.

| Impurity (Name and numerical identifier) | Concentration range (% w/w minimum and maximum) | Current CLH in Annex VI Table 3.1 (CLP) | Current self- classification and labelling (CLP) | L V |
|---|--|---|--|-----|
| No data available | | | | |

Table 4: Additives (non-confidential information) if relevant for the classification of the substance.

| Additive (Name and numerical identifier) | Function | Concentration range (% w/w minimum and maximum) | Current CLH in Annex VI Table 3.1 (CLP) | Current self- classification and labelling (CLP) | The additive contributes to the classification and labelling | | | | |
|---|----------|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| No relevant data on additives available. | | | | | | | | | |

| Identification of test substance | Purity | Impurities and additives(identity,%,classificationifavailable) | Other information | The study(ies) in which the test substance is used |
|---|--------|--|----------------------|--|
| The test substance is methyl acrylate in all reported studies. If available, the purity is given in the study records below. | | The test substance frequently contains a polymerization inhibitor. | | |

2 PROPOSED HARMONISED CLASSIFICATION AND LABELLING

2.1 Proposed harmonised classification and labelling according to the CLP criteria

Table 6: Proposed harmonised classification

| | | Classification | | | Labelling | | | Specific | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|---------|---|--|---|--|--|---|--------|
| | Index No | Chemical name | EC No | CAS No | Hazard Class and Category Code(s) | Hazard statement Code(s) | Pictogram, Signal Word Code(s) | Hazard statement Code(s) | Suppl. Hazard statement Code(s) | Conc. Limits | Notes |
| Current Annex VI entry | 607-034- 00-0 | methyl acrylate methyl propenoate | 202-500-6 | 96-33-3 | Flam. Liq. 2 Acute Tox. 4 * Acute Tox. 4 * Acute Tox. 4 * Skin Irrit. 2 Eye Irrit. 2 Skin Sen. 1 STOT SE 3 | H225 H302 H312 H332 H315 H319 H317 H335 | GHS02 GHS07 Dgr | H225 H302 H312 H332 H315 H319 H317 H335 | | | Note D |
| Dossier submitters proposal | 607-034- 00-0 | methyl acrylate methyl propenoate | 202-500-6 | 96-33-3 | Modify Acute Tox. 4 Acute Tox. 4 Acute Tox. 3 Retain Flam. Liq. 2 | Modify H302 H312 H331 Retain H225 | Modify GHS06 Retain GHS02 Dgr | Modify H302 H312 H331 Retain H225 | | Add Oral: ATE = 500 mg/kg bw Dermal: ATE = 1250 mg/kg bw | Note D |

| | | | | | Skin Irrit. 2 | H315 | | H315 | Inhalation: | |
|-----------------------|----------|-------------------|-----------|---------|---------------|------|----------------|------|--------------------------|--------|
| | | | | | Eye Irrit. 2 | H319 | | H319 | ATE = 3 mg/L (vapour) | |
| | | | | | Skin Sen. 1 | H317 | | H317 | | |
| | | | | | STOT SE 3 | H335 | | H335 | | |
| | | | | | Flam. Liq. 2 | H225 | | H225 | Oral: ATE = | |
| | | | | | Acute Tox. 4 | H302 | | H302 | 500 mg/kg bw | |
| Resulting Annex VI | | | | | Acute Tox. 4 | H312 | GHS02 | H312 | Dermal: ATE | |
| entry if | 607-034- | methyl acrylate | 202-500-6 | 96-33-3 | Acute Tox. 3 | H331 | GHS02 GHS06 | H331 | = 1250 mg/kg bw | Note D |
| agreed by RAC and | 00-0 | methyl propenoate | 202-300-0 | 70-33-3 | Skin Irrit. 2 | H315 | | H315 | Inhalation: | Note D |
| COM | | | | | Eye Irrit. 2 | H319 | Dgr | H319 | ATE = 3 | |
| | | | | | Skin Sen. 1 | H317 | | H317 | mg/L | |
| | | | | | STOT SE 3 | H335 | | H335 | (vapour) | |

| Hazard class | Reason for no classification | Within the scope of public consultation | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| Explosives | hazard class not assessed in this dossier | No | | |
| Flammable gases (including chemically unstable gases) | hazard class not assessed in this dossier | No | | |
| Oxidising gases | hazard class not assessed in this dossier | No | | |
| Gases under pressure | hazard class not assessed in this dossier | No | | |
| Flammable liquids | hazard class not assessed in this dossier | No | | |
| Flammable solids | hazard class not assessed in this dossier | No | | |
| Self-reactive substances | hazard class not assessed in this dossier | No | | |
| Pyrophoric liquids | hazard class not assessed in this dossier | No | | |
| Pyrophoric solids | hazard class not assessed in this dossier | No | | |
| Self-heating substances | hazard class not assessed in this dossier | No | | |
| Substances which in contact with water emit flammable gases | hazard class not assessed in this dossier | No | | |
| Oxidising liquids | hazard class not assessed in this dossier | No | | |
| Oxidising solids | hazard class not assessed in this dossier | No | | |
| Organic peroxides | hazard class not assessed in this dossier | No | | |
| Corrosive to metals | hazard class not assessed in this dossier | No | | |
| Acute toxicity via oral route | Acute Tox 4, H302 | Yes | | |
| Acute toxicity via dermal route | Acute Tox 4, H312 | Yes | | |
| Acute toxicity via inhalation route | Acute Tox 3, H331 | Yes | | |
| Skin corrosion/irritation | hazard class not assessed in this dossier | No | | |
| Serious eye damage/eye irritation | hazard class not assessed in this dossier | No | | |
| Respiratory sensitisation | hazard class not assessed in this dossier | No | | |
| Skin sensitisation | hazard class not assessed in this dossier | No | | |
| Germ cell mutagenicity | hazard class not assessed in this dossier | No | | |
| Carcinogenicity | hazard class not assessed in this dossier | No | | |
| Reproductive toxicity | hazard class not assessed in this dossier | No | | |

Table 7: Reason for not proposing harmonised classification and status under public consultation

| Hazard class | Reason for no classification | Within the scope of public consultation | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Specific target organ toxicity-single exposure | hazard class not assessed in this dossier | No | |
| Specific target organ toxicity-repeated exposure | hazard class not assessed in this dossier | No | |
| Aspiration hazard | hazard class not assessed in this dossier | No | |
| Hazardous to the aquatic environment | hazard class not assessed in this dossier | No | |
| Hazardous to the ozone layer | hazard class not assessed in this dossier | No | |

3 HISTORY OF THE PREVIOUS CLASSIFICATION AND LABELLING

Methyl acrylate had a harmonized classification under the Dangerous Substances Directive (67/548/EEC). This was translated to a harmonized CLP classification in Annex VI, Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (CLP Regulation) and the minimum classification (according to Annex VII) was applied to acute toxicity for all routes (marked as Acute Tox. 4 * for all routes).

The harmonised classification for methyl acrylate is

Flam. Liq. 2, H225 Acute Tox. 4 *, H302 Acute Tox. 4 *, H312 Acute Tox. 4 ,* H332 Skin Irrit. 2, H315 Eye Irrit. 2, H319 Skin Sen. 1, H317 STOT SE 3, H335

Note D¹

Self-classification:

The frequency of hazard classifications based on all C&L notifications was retrieved from ECHA dissemination site [accessed 12/2020] and is given below. In total, 877 notifiers provided information on their

¹ Note D: Certain substances which are susceptible to spontaneous polymerisation or decomposition are generally placed on the market in a stabilised form. It is in this form that they are listed in Part 3 of Annex VI to Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008.

hazard classifications (33 aggregated notifications). One notifier reported ethyl acrylate as not meeting GHS hazard criteria.

| Hazard code | Hazard statement | % of notifications |
|-------------|---|--------------------|
| H225 | Highly Flammable liquid and vapor | 100 |
| H301 | Toxic if swallowed | 4.1 |
| H302 | Harmful if swallowed | 96.5 |
| H312 | Harmful in contact with skin | 100 |
| H315 | Causes skin irritation | 100 |
| H317 | May cause an allergic skin reaction | 100 |
| H319 | Causes serious eye irritation | 100 |
| H331 | Toxic if inhaled | 61.1 |
| H332 | Harmful if inhaled | 32.3 |
| H335 | May cause respiratory irritation | 100 |
| H412 | Harmful to aquatic life with long lasting effects | 30.8 |
| H411 | Toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects | 0.5 |

Hazard classifications occurring in notifications:

RAC general comment

Methyl acrylate is used in articles, at industrial sites and in manufacturing. It is manufactured and imported in Europe in 10000 – 100000 tonnes per year.

4 JUSTIFICATION THAT ACTION IS NEEDED AT COMMUNITY LEVEL

[B.] Justification that action is needed at Community level is required.

Reason for a need for action at Community level:

- Change in existing entry due to changes in the criteria (DSD-CLP)
- Disagreement by DS with current self-classification

Further detail on need of action at Community level:

There is a harmonised classification entry in Annex VI to Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 containing a minimum classification and it is concluded that a refinement of the classification based on available data is justified. Differences in self-classification between different notifiers in the C&L Inventory and registration dossier are discovered.

Methyl acrylate is an important industrial chemical. To minimize uncertainties in classification and ensure a high level of protection of workers, classification for acute toxicity has been evaluated.

5 IDENTIFIED USES

Methyl acrylate is manufactured and/or imported in the European Economic Area in 10 000 - 100 000 tonnes per year. Identified uses are in articles, at industrial sites and in manufacturing (Table 8).

| Manufacture | Manufacture of intermediates at production site |
|--------------------------|---|
| | Manufacture of substance (and distribution) |
| | Polymerization at production sites |
| | Polymerization at downstream user sites |
| | Use as laboratory reagent |
| Uses at industrial sites | Manufacture of Intermediates at downstream user sites |
| | Manufacture of Intermediates at production sites |
| | Polymerization at downstream user sites |
| | Polymerization at production sites |
| | Use as laboratory reagent |
| | Industrial application of adhesives |
| Article service life | Manufacture of intermediates at production sites |
| | Polymerization at downstream user sites |
| | Manufacture of intermediates at downstream user sites |
| | Polymerization at production sites |
| | Manufacture and distribution |
| | Use as laboratory reagent |

Table 8: Registered uses of methyl acrylate (according to ECHA dissemination database, November 2020).

6 DATA SOURCES

Systematic searches for publications and other relevant data were performed based on the following databases:

• U.S. National Library of Medicine, Pubmed.gov²

² <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed</u> assessed at 7.2.2019

- TOXNET³, ChemIDplus⁴, IPCS⁵, eChemPortal⁶, EPA Comptox Dashboard⁷, EPA Chemview⁸
- Chemical Abstracts, Medline, Biosis, Embase, SciSearch, PQScitech (at host STN International Europe⁹)

in addition to unspecific databases (e.g., google scholar).

The REACH registration dossier for methyl acrylate, available from ECHA's disseminated database (accessed 2019) has been analysed for study references, which then have been considered as data sources for this CLH report.

Relevant reviews and monographs with toxicological risk assessments on methyl acrylate were analysed for study references. Used reviews are Murphy and Davies (1993), IARC (IARC, 1979) and more recent IARC assessments, OECD (2005), MAK Commission (Hartwig and MAK Commission, 1986) and more recent MAK evaluations, ECETOC (1998).

Whenever relevant information in secondary sources was identified, it was attempted to retrieve the respective primary sources.

7 PHYSICOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES

Table 9: Summary of physicochemical properties

| Property | Value | Reference | Comment |
|--------------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------|--|
| Physical state at 20°C and 101,3 kPa | Liquid | (ECHA Dissemination, 2019) | Visual observation |
| Melting/freezing point | -75.6 °C | (ECHA Dissemination, 2019) | Reported from handbook, measured at 1013.25 hPa |
| Boiling point | 80.1 °C | (ECHA Dissemination, 2019) | Measured at 1013.25 hPa |
| Relative density | 0.95 | (ECHA Dissemination, 2019) | Reported from handbook, measured at 20 °C |

³ <u>https://toxnet.nlm.nih.gov/</u> assessed at 7.2.2019

⁴ <u>https://chem.nlm.nih.gov/chemidplus/</u> assessed at 7.2.2019

⁵ <u>http://www.inchem.org/</u> assessed at 7.2.2019

⁶ <u>http://www.echemportal.org/echemportal/page.action?pageID=9</u> assessed at 7.2.2019

⁷ <u>https://comptox.epa.gov/dashboard/</u> assessed at 7.2.2019

⁸ <u>https://chemview.epa.gov/chemview</u> assessed at 7.2.2019

⁹ http://www.stn-international.de/index.php?id=123 assessed at 13.2.2019

| Property | Value | Reference | Comment | |
|--|-------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|
| Vapour pressure | 90 hPa | (ECHA Dissemination, 2019) | Measured at 20 °C | |
| Surface tension | not surface active | (ECHA Dissemination, 2019) | | |
| Water solubility | 60 g/L | (ECHA Dissemination, 2019) | Reported from handbook, measured at 20 °C | |
| Partition coefficient n- octanol/water | 0.739 | (ECHA Dissemination, 2019) | Measured at 25 °C | |
| Flash point | -2.8 °C | (ECHA Dissemination, 2019) | Reported from publication, measured at 1013.25 hPa | |
| Flammability | Highly flammable | (ECHA Dissemination, 2019) | Reported from secondary source, measured | |
| Explosive properties | Non-explosive | (ECHA Dissemination, 2019) | Estimated, based on chemical structure | |
| Self-ignition temperature | 468 °C | (ECHA Dissemination, 2019) | Reported from handbook, measured at 1013.25 hPa | |
| Oxidising properties | No oxidising properties | (ECHA Dissemination, 2019) | Estimated, based on chemical structure | |
| Granulometry | Not applicable | | | |
| Stabilityinorganicsolventsandidentityofrelevantdegradationproducts | Not applicable | | | |
| Dissociation constant | Not applicable | | | |
| Viscosity | 0.472 mPa*s | (ECHA Dissemination, 2019) | Reported from data base, measured at 25 °C | |

8 EVALUATION OF PHYSICAL HAZARDS

Not performed for this substance.

9 TOXICOKINETICS (ABSORPTION, METABOLISM, DISTRIBUTION AND ELIMINATION)

Evaluation not performed for this substance.

10 EVALUATION OF HEALTH HAZARDS

Acute toxicity

10.1 Acute toxicity - oral route

Table 10: Summary table of animal studies on acute oral toxicity

| Method, guideline, | Species, strain, sex, no/group | Test substance, | Dose levels, duration of | Value LD50 | Reference |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| deviations if any | | | exposure | LD30 | |
| Acute oral toxicity, Similar to OECD 401 GLP: no Reliability (REACH registration): 2 Reliability (this assessment): 3 | not specified | Methyl acrylate Source: no information Purity: no information | and 1210 mg/kg bw (calculated with a density of | original value is reported as "800 μL" [800 μL/kg bw implied] | BASF AG (1958a) in (OECD (2005) [Study 001 in REACH registration] |
| Acute oral toxicity, Similar to OECD 401 GLP: no Reliability (REACH registration): 2 Reliability (this assessment): 3 | Rat, Sherman, no information on sex 10 animals per dose group | Methyl acrylate Source: no information Purity: no information | 6 dose groups, no concentrations specified, but spaced by a factor of 1.58 Single application via gavage Vehicle: No information No information on post exposure observation | 300 mg/kg bw No information on mortalities | Smyth and Carpenter (1948) [Study 002 in REACH registration] |
| Acute oral toxicity, Similar to OECD 401 GLP: no | Rabbit, strain not specified, females only Different group sizes, see mortality table for | Methyl acrylate Source: no information Purity: no information | 120, 180, 280, 420, 620 and 100 mg/kg bw Single application via gavage | 280 - 420 mg/kg bw Mortalities: 120: 0/2 180: 0/4 | Treon et al. (1949) [Study 004 in REACH |

| Method, | Species, strain, | Test substance, | Dose levels, | Value | Reference |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| guideline, deviations if any | sex, no/group | | duration of exposure | LD50 | |
| Reliability (REACH registration): 2 | details | | No vehicle No information on observation | 280: 2/2 420: 1/1 | registration] |
| Reliability (this assessment): 3 | | | time | 620: 1/1 1000: 1/1 | |
| Acute oral toxicity, | only | Methyl acrylate Source: Tokyo | No information on dose levels | 826 mg/kg bw (95% CI: 594 - | Tanii and Hashimoto (1982) |
| Similar to OECD 401 | 4 animals per dose group | Kasei Co Purity: No | Single application via gavage | 1150), reported as 9.6 | [Study 003 in |
| GLP: no Reliability | | information | Vehicle: no information | mmol/kg bw (6.9- 13.4) | REACH registration] |
| (REACH registration): 2 | | | No information on post exposure observation | No information on mortalities | |
| Reliability (this assessment): 3 | | | | | |
| Acute oral toxicity, Not similar to | Rabbit,noinformationonspecies or sex | Methyl acrylate Source: no information | 0.4, 0.8 mg/kg bw Single application via gavage | $> 0.4 \& < 0.8 \ mL/kg bw$ | BASF AG (1960) in OECD (2005) |
| guideline GLP: no | 2 animals per dose group | Purity: no information | Vehicle: 10% or 20% in aqueous | | [Study 005 in REACH |
| Reliability (REACH | | | Traganth, not further specified | 0.4 mL: 0/2 0.8 mL: 2/2 | registration] |
| registration): 2 Reliability (this assessment): 3 | | | No information on post exposure observation | | |
| Acute oral toxicity, | information on | Methyl acrylate Source: no | 0.4, 0.8 mg/kg bw Single application | $> 0.4 \& < 0.8 \ mL/kg bw$ | in OECD (2005) |
| Not similar to guideline | species or sex 1 animal per dose | information Purity: no | via gavage Vehicle: 10% or | Mortalities: | [Study 006 in REACH |
| GLP: no | group | information | 20% in aqueous Traganth, not | 0.4 mL: 0/2 | registration] |
| Reliability (REACH | | | further specified No information | 0.8 mL: 2/2 | |
| registration): 2 Reliability (this assessment): 3 | | | on post exposure observation | | |
| Acute oral toxicity, | Rat, Wistar, no information on | Methyl acrylate Source: no | No information on dose groups | 277 mg/kg bw | Paulet and Vidal (1975) |
| Similar to OECD 401 | sex No information | information Purity: no | Single application via gavage | No information on mortalities | [Study 009 in |
| GLP: no | on group size | information | Vehicle: polyethylene | | REACH registration] |
| Reliability (REACH registration): 3 | | | glycol, no further information | | |
| Reliability (this assessment): 3 | | | 7 days post observation time | | |

| Method, guideline, deviations if any | Species, strain, sex, no/group | Test substance, | Dose levels, duration of exposure | Value LD50 | Reference |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| Acute oral toxicity, Similar to OECD 401 GLP: No information Reliability (this assessment): 4 | Mouse, CF-1, no information on sex No information on group size | Methyl acrylate Source: No information Purity: No information | No information on dose levels Single application via gavage Vehicle: No information Post exposure observation: No information | 840 mg/kg bw No information on mortalities | Latven (1993) in OECD (2005) [Study 007 in REACH registration] |
| Acute oral toxicity, No further information Reliability (this assessment): 4 This result is likely a mistake that is passed on within secondary references, it could not be verified. | No information | No information | No information | 200 mg/kg bw No further information | Fassett (1963) in OECD (2005) [Study 008 in REACH registration] |

10.1.1 Short summary and overall relevance of the provided information on acute oral toxicity

Two old studies on rats, similar to OECD Guideline 401, are available (BASF AG, 1958 the key study in the REACH registration dossier and Smyth, 1948). Both studies are limited in their reliability primarily due to the lack of characterization of the test material. These studies determined an LD_{50} of 768 mg/kg bw and 300 mg/kg bw. One further rat study lacking important experimental details, which reports a LD_{50} of 277 mg/kg bw (Paulet and Vidal, 1975), is not considered to be of sufficient reliability to be taken into account.

Several studies performed on rabbits, mice or cats, are available which have a lower value for classification because of insufficient dose groups or small group sizes. LD_{50} in these studies ranges from 280 – 826 mg/kg bw. Finally, LD_{50} values from studies only reported in secondary sources without experimental details (RL4) range from 200 – 840 mg/kg bw and are not considered relevant for the assessment.

No human studies with relevance for comparison with the criteria in Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 are available.

10.1.2 Comparison with the CLP criteria

According to Table 3.1.1 of Regulation (EC) No. 1272/2008 a substance shall be classified as

- Acute Tox. 4 (oral) if the LD₅₀/ATE values are >300 and ≤ 2000 mg/kg bw.

- Acute Tox. 3 (oral) if the LD₅₀/ATE values are > 50 and ≤ 300 mg/kg bw.

All available studies have deficiencies, however, the information available is considered adequate for concluding on a harmonized classification and ATE value. Among the available studies, the studies on rats (being the preferred species for classification of oral toxicity) with several dose groups and sufficient animals per dose group are considered the most appropriate ones for classification. The lower LD₅₀ from these studies (300 mg/kg bw) lies just at the boundary between category 3 and category 4, while the other LD₅₀ (768 mg/kg bw) corresponds to category 4. The remaining study results, which include tests on additional species, predominantly produced results corresponding to category 4, while one study is giving a range for the LD₅₀ where the lower bound is belonging to category 3. Taken together, the WoE favours a classification as Acute Oral Tox. 4, as the majority of studies in several species come to this conclusion and the much fewer studies that indicate category 3 are not considered reliable enough to deviate from the majority of study outcomes.

10.1.3 Conclusion on classification and labelling for acute oral toxicity

Based on the criteria for classification in regulation (EC) No. 1272/2008, methyl acrylate has to be classified in category 4 for acute oral toxicity (Acute Tox. 4, H302).

No single study can be identified as pivotal for classification therefore using the default ATE is most appropriate. Based on the conversion rules in Table 3.1.2 of Regulation (EC) No. 1272/2008, an ATE value of 500 mg/kg bw is indicated.

10.2 Acute toxicity - dermal route

| Method, guideline, deviations if any | Species, strain, sex, no/group | Test substance, | Doselevelsdurationofexposure | Value LD50 | Reference |
|--|--|---|---|---|------------------|
| Acute dermal toxicity, Similar to OECD 402 GLP: no Reliability (REACH registration): 2 Reliability (this assessment): 3 | Rabbit,noinformationonstrain or sex6 animals per dosegroup | Methyl acrylate No information on source No information on purity | No information on dose levels Occlusive application Vehicle: Methyl "Cellosolve" 24 h exposure No information on post exposure observation | 1250 mg/kg bw (reported as 1.3 mL/kg, converted with density 0.96 g/mL) Mortalities: No information | Carpenter (1948) |
| Acute dermal | Rabbit, no | Methyl acrylate | Only dose: 190 | > 190 mg/kg bw | BASF AG, |

Table 11: Summary table of animal studies on acute dermal toxicity

| Method, | Species, strain, | Test substance, | | Value | Reference |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| guideline, deviations if any | sex, no/group | | duration of exposure | LD50 | |
| toxicity, Not similar to guideline GLP: no Reliability (REACH registration): 2 Reliability (this assessment):3 | information on strain or sex 3 animals per dose group | No information on source No information on purity | mg/kg bw Occlusive application 24 h exposure 21 d observation time | Mortalities: all 3 animals survived | (1958b) in OECD (2005) [Study 002 in REACH registration] |
| Acute dermal toxicity, Not similar to guideline GLP: no Reliability (REACH registration): 3 Reliability (this assessment): 3 | Rat, no information on sex and strain 5 animals per dose group | Methyl acrylate No information on source No information on purity | Single dose level: 1920 mg/animal Exposed skin was submerged in test substance 4 h exposure 28 d observation | No LD50 calculated Mortalities: 1920 mg: 4/5 | BASF AG, (1958b) in OECD (2005) [Study 005 in REACH registration] |
| Acute dermal toxicity, Not similar to guideline GLP: no Reliability (REACH registration): 3 Reliability (this assessment): 3 | Rabbit, no information on strain and sex 2 animals per dose group | Methyl acrylate No information on source No information on purity | No information on dose levels Application to ears, no vehicle, no further information on application (occlusive/non- occlusive not specified) 24 h exposure 28 d observation | No LD50 determined Mortalities: 2 mL/animal: 1/2 4 mL/animal: 2/2 | BASF AG, (1958b) in OECD (2005) [Study 004 in REACH registration] |
| Acute dermal toxicity, Not similar to guideline GLP: no Reliability (REACH registration): 3 Reliability (this assessment): 3 | Rabbit,noinformationonstrain or sex1animalpergroup | Methyl acrylate No information on source No information on purity | Repeated application of 1 or 5 mL, total dose 4.3, 28.4, 32.6 g/kg bw. Occlusive application 1 to 3 h total exposure (removal by washing between applications) Observation time not specified, highest dose was observed for 8 | > 32.6 g/kg bw No mortality observed at highest dose | Treon et al. (1949) [Study 003 in REACH registration] |

| Method, guideline, deviations if any | Species, strain, sex, no/group | Test substance, | Dose l duration exposure | Value LD50 | Reference |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|---------------|-----------|
| | | | weeks | | |

10.2.1 Short summary and overall relevance of the provided information on acute dermal toxicity

Only a limited number of studies on dermal toxicity are available. Among the available studies, the study by Smyth and Carpenter (1948), which is also the key study in the REACH registration, stands out regarding reliability because it is the only one where several doses were tested (although no methodological description of the dose groups is given in the primary source) on more than 2 animals per dose. The remaining studies have considerable deficiencies, but do not contradict the LD_{50} determined in the study by Smyth and Carpenter (1948).

No human studies with relevance for comparison with the criteria in regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 are available.

10.2.2 Comparison with the CLP criteria

According to Table 3.1.1 of Regulation (EC) No. 1272/2008 a substance shall be classified as

- Acute Tox. 4 (dermal) if the LD₅₀/ATE values are > 1000 and ≤ 2000 mg/kg bw
- Acute Tox. 3 (dermal) if the LD₅₀/ATE values are $> 200 \le 1000$ mg/kg bw

A classification is proposed based on the only available study which determined an LD_{50} , although the reliability is limited. The major concern is the lacking information on purity and dose groups. This study reports a LD_{50} of 1250 mg/kg bw, which corresponds to category 4 of the CLP criteria for acute dermal.

10.2.3 Conclusion on classification and labelling for acute dermal toxicity

Based on the criteria in regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 methyl acrylate has to be classified in category 4 for acute dermal toxicity (Acute Tox. 4, H312).

Based on the LD_{50} used for classification an ATE value of 1250 mg/kg bw is indicated.

10.3 Acute toxicity - inhalation route

| Table 12: Summary table of animal studies on acute inhalation toxicity | Table 12: Summar | y table of animal studies (| on acute inhalation toxicity |
|--|------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
|--|------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|

| Method, | Species, strain, | Test substance, , | Dose levels, | Value | Reference |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| guideline, | sex, no/group | form and | duration of | LC50 | |
| deviations if any | | particle size (MMAD) | exposure | | |
| Acute inhalation | Rat, Sprague- | Methyl acrylate, | 3.1, 5.7, 6.7, 8.6 | 6.5 mg/L | BASF AG (1979) |
| toxicity, | Dawley, male and | as vapour | and 10.9 mg/L | (95% CI: 5.8 – | in OECD (2005) |
| Equivalent to | female | Purity: 99.5% | (analytical) | (<i>) 5 7</i> .2) | |
| OECD 403 | 5 males and 5 females per dose | Source: No | 4 h exposure | | [Study 002 and |
| GLP: no | group | information | 14 days post exposure | Mortalities: | 006 in REACH registration] |
| Reliability (REACH | | | observation | 10.9 mg/L: 20/20 | registration |
| registration): 1 | | | | 8.6 mg/L: males: | |
| Reliability (this assessment): 2 | | | | 4/10, females: 10/10 | |
| | | | | 6.7 mg/L: males: 9/10, females: 4/10 | |
| | | | | 5.7 mg/L: males: 4/10, females: 2/10 | |
| | | | | 3.1 mg/L: 0/20 | |
| | | | | Same study, but with fasted animals: | |
| | | | | 5.7 mg/L (No CI given) | |
| Acute inhalation toxicity, | Rat, Holtzman, male only | Methyl acrylate, as vapour | 750, 1000 and | > 2.7 & < 3.6 mg/L | Silver and Murphy (1981) |
| Similar to OECD | 6 males per dose | Purity: 99% | 1500 ppm (analytical), | Mortalities: | |
| 403 | group | Source: Aldrich | corresponding to | 0.71 mg/L: 0/6 | [Study 010 in |
| GLP: no | | Chemical Co., Milwaukee | 0.71, 1.30, 1.79, 2.68, 3.57, and | 1.30 mg/L: 0/6 | REACH registration] |
| Reliability (REACH | | | 5.36 mg/L | 1.79 mg/L: 0/6 | |
| registration): 2 | | | | 2.68 mg/L: 1/6 | |
| Reliability (this | | | 4 h exposure | 3.57 mg/L: 4/6 | |
| assessment): 2 | | | 72 h post exposure observation | 5.36 mg/L: 6/6 | |
| Acute inhalation | 10 | • • | 1086, 1143, 1303, | 1350 ppm (95% | Oberly and Tansy |
| toxicity, | Dawley, male only | as vapour | 1629, 1697, 2715 ppm (analytical) | CI: 1161 – 1570) | (1985) |
| Similar to OECD 403 | 10 males per dose | Purity: 98 – 98.5 %, | | corresponding to | |
| GLP: no | group | Source: no | 4 h exposure | 4.8 mg/L | |
| Reliability (this | | information | - | Mortalities: | |
| assessment): 2 | | | No information | 1086 ppm: 2/10 | |
| | | | on post-exposure | 1143 ppm: 3/10 | |

| Method, | Species, strain, | Test substance, , | Dose levels, | Value | Reference |
|---|--|---|--|---|---|
| guideline, deviations if any | sex, no/group | form and particle size (MMAD) | duration of exposure | LC50 | |
| toxicity, | Hamster, chinese, male and female 5 males and 5 females per dose group | Methyl acrylate, as vapour Purity: 99.5% Source: No information | observation 1.0, 2.0, 2.5, 3.1 and 5.7 mg/L (analytical) 4 h exposure 14 days post exposure observation | 1303 ppm: 5/10 1629 ppm: 7/10 1697 ppm: 8/10 2715 ppm: 10/10 2.5 mg/L (No CI given) Mortalities: 5.7 mg/L: 20/20 3.1 mg/L: males 5/10, females 7/10 2.5 mg/L: males 6/10, females 9/10 2.0 mg/L: males: 1/10, females: | BASF AG (1979) in OECD (2005) [Study 003 and 008 in REACH registration] |
| Acute inhalation toxicity, Equivalent to | Mouse, NMRI, male and female 5 males and 5 | Methyl acrylate, as vapour Purity: 99.5% | 1.0, 3.2, 5.7, 6.7, 8.6, 10.9 mg/L (analytical) | 2/10 1.0 mg/L: 0/20 Same study, but with fasted animals: 3.2 mg/L (No CI given) 5.1 mg mg/L (No CI given) | BASF AG (1979) in OECD (2005) |
| OECD 403 GLP: no Reliability (REACH registration): 1 Reliability (this assessment): 2 | females per dose group | Source: No information | 4 h exposure 14 days post exposure observation | Mortalities: 10.9 mg/L: 20/20 8.6 mg/L: males 9/10, females 10/10 6.7 mg/L: males 9/10, females 10/10 5.7 mg/L: males 3/10, females 0/10 3.2 mg/L: males 4/10, females 1/10 1.0 mg/L: 0/20 Same study, but with fasted animals: 5.7 mg/L (No CI | [Study 004 and 007 in REACH registration] |

| Method, guideline, | Species, strain, sex, no/group | Test substance, , form and | Dose levels, duration of | Value | Reference |
|--|--|-------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| deviations if any | sex, no/group | particle size (MMAD) | exposure | LC50 | |
| | | | | given) | |
| Acute inhalation toxicity, | and female | Methyl acrylate, as vapour | Only concentration level: 10.8 mg/L | <10.8 mg/L | Unnamed study report (2012) |
| similar to OECD 403 | 5 males and 5 females per dose group | Purity: 99.95% Batch: | (analytical) 4 h exposure | Mortalities: 10.8 mg/L: m 5/5, | [Study 001 in |
| GLP: yes | Stoup | 011063eda0 | 14 days post | f 2/5 | REACH registration] |
| Reliability (REACH registration): 1, key study | | No information on source | exposure observation | | registrationj |
| Reliability (this assessment): 3 | | | | | |
| This study is reported as "According to OECD 403", with RL1. However, only a single exposure concentration is reported | | | | | |
| Acute inhalation toxicity, | Rat, strain and sex not specified | Methyl acrylate, as vapour | No information on dose groups | 3.6 mg/L (no CI given) | SmythandCarpenter (1948) |
| Similar to OECD 403 | 6 animals per dose group | Purity: no information | No analytical determination, | Mortalities at 3.6 mg/L: 3/6 | REACH |
| GLP: no | | Source: no information | concentrations estimated from | No mortality data on other | registration] |
| Reliability (REACH registration): 2 | | mormation | dilution settings 4 h exposure | concentrations | |
| Reliability (this assessment): 3 | | | 14 days post- exposure observation | | |
| Acute inhalation toxicity, | Rat, Sprague Dawley, male and | Methyl acrylate, as vapour | Only single concentration | males, 33000 ppm: 1/5 deaths | Vernot et al. (1977) |
| Not similar to | female, | Purity: no | (saturated vapour with analytical | females, 34000 | [Study 013 in |
| guideline | No information on group size | information | determination) | ppm: 3/5 deaths | REACH registration] |
| GLP: no | - Broup bille | Source: no information | Unclear | (corresponding to 118 mg/L and 121 | - Show and on J |
| Reliability (REACH | | | description of exposure method | mg/L, respectively) | |
| registration): 2 | | | (could be stationary vapour | respectively) | |
| Reliability (this assessment): 3 | | | atmosphere) | | |
| | | | 1 h exposure | | |
| | | | 14 days post- exposure | | |

| Method, guideline, | Species, strain, sex, no/group | Test substance, , form and | Dose levels, duration of | Value | Reference |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| deviations if any | sex, no/group | particle size (MMAD) | exposure | LC50 | |
| | | | observation | | |
| Acute inhalation toxicity, Not similar to guideline GLP: no Reliability (REACH registration): 2 Reliability (this assessment): 3 | Rat,noinformationonstrain and sex6animalsgroup | Methyl acrylate, as vapour Purity: no information Source: no information | Approximately 86.4 mg/L (9% vol) (calculation via evaporation rate, no analytical determination)2 min to 8 min exposure 14 days post- exposure observation | 2 min: 0/6 deaths 4 min: 2/6 deaths 8 min: 6/6 deaths | BASF AG, (1958a) in OECD (2005) [Study 009 in REACH registration] |
| Acute inhalation toxicity, Not similar to guideline GLP: no Reliability (REACH registration): 2 Reliability (this assessment): 3 | Rabbit,noinformationonstrain or sex4animalsgroup | Methyl acrylate Purity: no information Source: no information | 2.75 h exposure to 9.04 mg/L, 1 h exposure to 8.70 mg/L (no information on analytical determination) | 8.7 mg/L, 1h: 2/4 9.04 mg/L, 2.75 h: 4/4 No further information | Treon et al, (1949) [Study 012 in REACH registration] |
| Acute inhalation toxicity, Not similar to guideline GLP: no Reliability (REACH registration): 3 Reliability (this assessment): 4 | Rat, No information on strain or sex No information on groups | Methyl acrylate Purity: no information Source: no information | No information | 7.3 mg/L No further information | Lomonova and Klimova (1979) [Study 014 in REACH registration] |
| Acute inhalation toxicity, Not similar to guideline GLP: no Reliability (REACH registration): 3 Reliability (this assessment): 4, (publication only available in | Mouse, No information on strain or sex No information on groups | Methyl acrylate Purity: no information Source: no information | No information | 12.8 mg/L (reported as 3635 ppm) No further information | Lomonova and Klimova (1979) [Study 015 in REACH registration] |

| Method, guideline, deviations if any | Species, strain, sex, no/group | Test substance, ,formandparticlesize(MMAD) | Dose levels, duration of exposure | Value LC50 | Reference |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| foreign language) | | | | | |
| Acute inhalation toxicity, Not similar to guideline GLP: no Reliability (REACH registration): 3 Reliability (this assessment): 4, (no translation available) | No information on experimental animals No information on groups | Methyl acrylate Purity: no information Source: no information | No information | LCLo: 9.4 mg/L LC100: 20 mg/L No further information | Karpov (1955) [Study 016 in REACH registration]] |
| Acute inhalation toxicity, Not similar to guideline GLP: no Reliability (REACH registration): 4 Reliability (this assessment): 4 | Rats, no information on strain or sex No information on groups | Methyl acrylate Purity: no information Source: no information | 5 h exposure, No further information | LCLo: 5.5 mg/L Originally reported as 1540 ppm No further information | Secondary source: Velling (1978) in OECD (2005) [Study 017 in REACH registration] |
| Acute inhalation toxicity, Not similar to guideline GLP: no Reliability (this assessment): 4 | No information on groups | Methyl acrylate Purity: no information Source: no information | No information | 1600 ppm (corresponding to 5.7 mg/L) Only value reported in secondary source, no further information | Secondary source: Parod (2014) |

10.3.1 Short summary and overall relevance of the provided information on acute inhalation toxicity

A GLP conform guideline study is reported as key study in the REACH dossier, however only a single concentration level has been reported (Unnamed, 2012). At 10.8 mg/L, 5/5 male and 3/5 female rats died, giving a strong indication that the LC_{50} is < 10.8 mg/L. Yet the study can't be used as a basis for classification because of a missing lower bound of toxicity. The confidential information in the registration dossier has been checked to confirm that no information on additional concentration levels is available.

However, several other studies of acceptable quality are available. Three of them have the same study design and were performed with rats, mice or hamsters. In addition, each species was tested in non-fasted and fasted state. For comparison with the criteria in regulation (EC) No 1272/2008, the study on non-fasted rats is the most relevant. This study determined an LC₅₀ of 6.5 mg/L (95% CI: 5.8 - 7.2 mg/L, BASF AG (1979) in OECD (2005)). The other studies in this series derive comparable LC₅₀ values (2.5 - 5.7 mg/L). Additional studies of acceptable reliability performed on rats determined LC₅₀ values of 2.7 - 4.8 mg/L (Oberly and Tansy, 1985; Silver and Murphy, 1981).

Several unreliable study results are available with the majority of results backing the results of the reported studies above and only two results, which are only known from secondary sources without experimental detail, are available which correspond to a less stringent classification of acute inhalation toxicity.

In conclusion, all available studies with acceptable reliability indicate a LC_{50} in the range of 2.7 - 6.5 mg/L.

No human studies with relevance for comparison with the criteria in Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 are available.

10.3.2 Comparison with the CLP criteria

According to Table 3.1.1 of Regulation (EC) No. 1272/2008 a substance shall be classified as

- Acute Tox. 4 (inhalation) if the LD₅₀ values are > 10.0 mg/L and $\le 20.0 \text{ mg/L}$ (4h exposure)
- Acute Tox. 3 (inhalation) if the LD₅₀ values are > 2.0 mg/L and ≤ 10.0 mg/L (4h exposure)

No GLP-conform guideline study is available. However several non-GLP studies of acceptable reliability are available. These studies uniformly correspond to a classification as category 3 (2.0 - 10.0 mg/L). This classification is further supported by the majority of other study results and none of the studies provides a reason to deviate from category 3.

10.3.3 Conclusion on classification and labelling for acute inhalation toxicity

Based on the criteria in regulation (EC) No 1272/2008, methyl acrylate has to be classified in category 3 for acute inhalative toxicity (Acute Tox. 3, H 331).

The study with the lowest LD₅₀ relevant for classification did not derive an LD₅₀, but only a range of possible values (> 2.7 & < 3.6 mg/L), therefore it is most appropriate to base the ATE value on the conversion rules Table 3.1.2 of regulation (EC) No 1272/2008. Consequently, an ATE value of 3 mg/L is indicated for vapours.

RAC evaluation of acute toxicity

ACUTE TOXICITY – ORAL ROUTE

Summary of the Dossier Submitter's proposal

The table below shows the available acute oral studies.

| Species | LD ₅₀ (mg/kg bw) | Dosing (mg/kg bw) | Results (mortality) | Reliability (DS) | Study | Remarks |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|---|---------------------|-------|------------------------------|
| rat (5 or 10 males per dose) | 768 | 196, 303, 481, 762, 1210 | 196: 0/5 303: 0/5 481: 0/5 762: 2/5 1210: 5/5 | 3 | 1958a | Comparable OECD TG 401 |
| rat (10 per dose) | 300 | 6 doses | No information | 3 | 1948 | Similar to OECD TG 401 |
| rabbit (1 to 4 females per dose) | 280-420 1 | 120, 180, 280, 420, 620, 1000 | 120: 0/2 180: 0/4 280: 2/2 420: 1/1 620: 1/1 1000: 1/1 | 3 | 1949 | Similar to OECD TG 401 |
| mouse (4 per dose) | 826 | No information | No information | 3 | 1982 | |
| rabbit (2 per dose) | Ca 380- 765 | 0.4, 0.8 (corresponding to 384, 768 mg/kg bw) | 0.4 mL: 0/2 0.8 mL: 2/2 | 3 | 1960 | |
| cat (1 per dose) | > 0.8 mL/kg bw | 0.2, 0.4, 0.8 | no mortalities ² | 3 | 1960 | |
| rat | 277 | No information | No information | 3 | 1975 | Similar to OECD TG 401 |
| mouse | 840 | No information | No information | 4 | 1993 | Similar to OECD TG 401 |
| - | 200 | No information | No information | 4 | 1960 | |

1. Inconsistency between the REACH dossier (LD50 > 180 - < 280 mg/kg bw) and the CLH report Table 10.

2. Inconsistency between the REACH dossier (no mortalities) and the CLH report Table 10 (2 death at 0.8 mL)

All available studies have some limitations. Two rat studies (1985a, 1948) result in LD_{50} values of 768 and 300 mg/kg bw (with no information on the test material). Other studies with rabbits, mice or cats result in a range of LD_{50} values between 280 – 826 mg/kg bw but are less relevant because of insufficient information on dosing or small group sizes.

The lower LD₅₀ of 300 mg/kg bw is just on the boundary between category 4 (300 < LD₅₀ \leq 2000 mg/kg bw) and category 3 (50 < LD₅₀ \leq 300 mg/kg bw). The other studies

predominantly result in LD_{50} values belonging to category 4. Taken together, the majority of the studies indicate category 4, the few studies for category 3 are not considered reliable enough to consider this category.

No single study can be identified as the key study for classification, therefore the default ATE of 500 mg/kg bw was selected.

The DS proposed to classify methyl acrylate as Acute Tox. 4; H302 with a default ATE value of 500 mg/kg bw.

Comments received during consultation

One MSCA disagreed with the proposal as Acute Tox. 4. All studies reported in the CLH dossier have reliability 3 or 4. Three studies concluded on LD_{50} values resulting in category 4. One study reported an LD_{50} between 280 – 420 mg/kg bw and three studies concluded on LD_{50} resulting in category 3. The MSCA proposed Acute Tox. 3 and an ATE of 277 mg/kg bw (based on the lowest LD_{50}).

Two MSCAs can agree with a classification of Acute Tox. 4 and an ATE value of 500 mg/kg bw. One of these MSCAs remarked that the four relevant studies indicate category 4 or 3, but the study (1948) pointing to category 3 is based on a very small group size. Overall, the studies mainly indicate classification in category 4.

DS responded that category 4 is based on the most reliable study (1958) and supporting evidence.

Assessment and comparison with the classification criteria

There are nine studies available, none of them a GLP conform or guideline study. LD_{50} values range from 280 – 826 mg/kg bw from studies performed with rat, mouse, rabbit and cat, and using several different vehicles.

The most reliable studies point to a classification as Acute Tox. 4 ($300 < LD_{50} \le 2000$ mg/kg bw), with LD₅₀ values of 768 and 826 mg/kg bw. However, one study (1948) results in an LD₅₀ of 300, which is exactly on the boundary between category 3 and 4. Another study results in an 180 < LD₅₀ < 280 (REACH registration dossier), different from the information in the Table of the CLH report. This would lead to a category 3, but the study has small group sizes. All in all, the studies mainly indicate a classification as category 4.

No study can be selected as key study for the ATE, furthermore, all studies have a Klimisch score 3 or 4. Therefore the default value is selected: ATE 500 mg/kg bw.

RAC concludes that methyl acrylate meets the criteria ($300 < ATE \le 2000 \text{ mg/kg bw}$) and should be classified as **Acute Tox. 4; H302 (Harmful if swallowed) with an ATE of 500 mg/kg bw**.

ACUTE TOXICITY - DERMAL ROUTE

Summary of the Dossier Submitter's proposal

The table below shows the available acute dermal studies.

| Species | LD ₅₀ (mg/kg bw) | Dosing (mg/kg bw) | Results (mortality) | Rel. (DS) | Study | Remarks |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|-------|------------------------------|
| rabbit (6 per dose) | 1250 | - | No information | 3 | 1948 | Similar to OECD TG 402 |
| rabbit (3 per dose) | > 190 | 190 | 0/3 | 3 | 1958b | |
| rat (5 per dose) | Not calculated | 1920 | 4/5 | 3 | 1958b | |
| rabbit (2 per dose) | Not determined | No information | 2 mL/animal: 1/2 4 mL/animal: 2/2 | 3 | 1958b | |
| Rabbit (1 per dose) | > 32600 | 4300, 2840, 32600 | No mortalities | 3 | 1949 | |

Five studies are available. The key study in this case is the study from 1948 in which several doses were tested on more than 2 animals per dose. Other studies have (also) limitations and do not contradict the key study LD_{50} .

This study (1948) reports an LD₅₀ of 1250 mg/kg bw which leads to classification as Acute Tox. 4 (1000 < LD₅₀ \leq 2000 mg/kg bw). This study is also used for establishing an ATE of 1250 mg/kg bw.

The DS proposed to classify methyl acrylate as Acute Tox. 4; H312 with an ATE value of 1250 mg/kg bw.

Comments received during consultation

Three MSCAs support the classification as Acute Tox 4. However, two of these MSCAs prefer a generic ATE value of 1100 mg/kg bw given that in the key study no information is available on purity, mortalities or dose levels and the key study has a reliability score of 3 as all other studies.

The DS responded that based on the limited reliability of the available studies also a converted ATE of 1100 mg/kg bw can be supported.

Assessment and comparison with the classification criteria

The most reliable study from 1948 lacks information on purity, dose groups and information on mortality. However, the other four available studies also have their limitations (e.g. small group size, one dosing, no information on purity). This study reports an LD₅₀ of 1250 mg/kg bw which leads to classification in category 4. Because of the limited reliability of all studies a default ATE of 1100 mg/kg bw is proposed.

RAC concludes that methyl acrylate meets the criteria for cat 4 (1000 < $LD_{50} \le 2$ 000 mg/kg bw) and should be classified as Acute Tox. 4; H312 (Harmful in contact with skin) with an ATE of 1100 mg/kg bw.

ACUTE TOXICITY – INHALATION ROUTE

Summary of the Dossier Submitter's proposal

The table below shows the available acute inhalation studies.

| Species | LC ₅₀ | Concentrations | Results | Rel. | Study | Remarks |
|--|------------------|---|--|------|-------|---|
| species | (mg/L) | (mg/L) | (mortality) | (DS) | Sludy | Remarks |
| rat (10 males/ females per dose) | 6.5 | 3.1, 5.7, 6.7, 8.6, 10.9 | 3.1: 0/20 5.7: M: 4/10, F: 2/10 6.7: M: 9/10, F: 4/10 8.6: M: 4/10, F: 10/10 10.9: 20/20 | 2 | 1979 | Equivalent to OECD TG 403; purity 99.5%; 4h Same study, but with fasted animals: 5.7 mg/L |
| rat (6 males per dose) | > 2.7 & < 3.6 | 0.71, 1.30, 1.79, 2.68, 3.57, 5.36 | 0.71: 0/6 1.30: 0/6 1.79: 0/6 2.68: 1/6 3.57: 4/6 5.36: 6/6 | 2 | 1981 | Similar to OECD TG 403, GLP; purity 99%; 4h |
| rat (10 males per dose) | 4.8 | 1086, 1143, 1303, 1629, 1697, 2715 ppm | 1086: 2/10 1143: 3/10 1303: 5/10 1629: 7/10 1697: 8/10 2715: 10/10 | 2 | 1985 | Similar to OECD TG 403; 4h Same study, but with fasted animals: 3.2 mg/L |
| hamster (5 males/females per dose) | 2.5 | 1.0, 2.0, 2.5, 3.1, 5.7 | 1.0: 0/20 2.0: 3/20 M: 1/10, F: 2/10 2.5: 15/20 M: 6/10, F: 9/10 3.1: 12/20 M: 5/10; F: 7/10 5.7: 20/20 | 2 | 1979 | Similar to OECD TG 403; 4h Same study, but with fasted animals: 3.2 mg/L |
| mouse (10 males/females per dose) | 5.1 | 1.0, 3.2, 5.7, 6.7, 8.6, 10.9 | 1.0: 0/20 3.2: M: 4/10, F: 1/10 5.7: M: 3/10, F: 0/10 6.7: M: 9/10, F: 10/10 8.6: M: 9/10, F: 10/10 10.9: 20/20 | 2 | 1979 | Equivalent to OECD TG 403; 4h Same study, but with fasted animals: 5.7 mg/L |
| rat (5 males/5 females per dose) | < 10.8 | 10.8 | M: 5/5 F: 2/5 | 3 | 2012 | Similar to OECD TG 403 (but |

| r | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----------------------|------|--|---|--------------|-------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | single dose); 4h |
| Rat (6 per dose) | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3/6 at 3.6 mg/L | 3 | 1948 | Similar to OECD TG 403; 4h |
| rat (male/female) | | | M: 117 mg/L: 1/5 F: 121 mg/L: 3/5 | 3 | 1977 | 1h |
| Rat (6 per dose) | | 86.4 | 2 min: 0/6 4 min: 2/6 8 min: 6/6 | 3 | 1958a | 2-8 min |
| Rabbit (4 per dose) | - | | 8.7, 1h: 2/4 9.04, 2.75 h: 4/4 | 3 | 1949 | 2.75h; 1h |
| rat | 7.3 | | | 4 | 1979 | Exposure period not specified |
| mouse | 12.8 | | | 4 | 1979 | Exposure period not specified |
| mouse | LC _{L0} 9.3 | | | 4 | 1955 | Exposure period not specified |
| Rat | LC _{L0} 5.5 | | | 4 | 1978 1954 | 5h |
| No information | 5.7 | | | 4 | 2014 | Secondary source |

One GLP conform guideline study (2012) in rats is available for methyl acrylate, however only one single concentration is reported. At 10.8 mg/L, 5/5 male and 3/5 female rats died, indicating that the 4h $LC_{50} < 10.8$ mg/L. Several other reliable studies with rats, mice and hamsters report LC_{50} values in the range of 2.5 - 6.5 mg/L. Overall, the data indicate a classification as category 3 (2.0 mg/L < 4h $LC_{50} \leq 10.0$ mg/L).

The study with the lowest 4h-LC₅₀ relevant for classification did not derive an LC₅₀, but only a range of possible values (> 2.7 & < 3.6 mg/L), therefore it is most appropriate to base the ATE value on the conversion rules (CLP Regulation, Table 3.1.2). Consequently, an ATE value of 3 mg/L is indicated for vapours.

The DS proposed to classify methyl acrylate as Acute Tox. 3; H331 with an ATE value of 3 mg/L (vapours).

Comments received during consultation

Three MSCAs agreed with the proposal as Acute Tox. 3 and proposed ATE of 3 mg/L.

Assessment and comparison with the classification criteria

Fifteen acute inhalation studies are available. No GLP conform guideline study is available, however several reliable studies in rats result in a range of LC_{50} values of 2.0 - 10.0 mg/L. This leads to a classification (2 < 4h $LC_{50} \le$ 10 mg/L) as Acute Tox. 3.

The study with the lowest LC_{50} provided a range of > 2.7 & < 3.6 mg/L. Therefore, RAC proposes the default ATE of 3 mg/L for vapours.

RAC concludes that methyl acrylate meets the criteria for ca 3 (2 < 4h $LC_{50} \le 10 \text{ mg/L}$) and should be classified as Acute Tox. 3; H331 (Toxic if inhaled) with an ATE of 3 mg/L.

10.4 Skin corrosion/irritation

Evaluation not performed for this substance.

10.5 Serious eye damage/eye irritation

Evaluation not performed for this substance.

10.6 Respiratory sensitisation

Evaluation not performed for this substance.

10.7 Skin sensitisation

Evaluation not performed for this substance.

10.8 Germ cell mutagenicity

Evaluation not performed for this substance.

10.9 Carcinogenicity

Evaluation not performed for this substance.

10.10 Reproductive toxicity

Evaluation not performed for this substance.

10.11 Specific target organ toxicity-single exposure

Evaluation not performed for this substance.

10.12 Specific target organ toxicity-repeated exposure

Evaluation not performed for this substance.

10.13 Aspiration hazard

Evaluation not performed for this substance.

11 EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARDS

Evaluation not performed for this substance.

12 EVALUATION OF ADDITIONAL HAZARDS

Evaluation not performed for this substance.

13 ADDITIONAL LABELLING

Not applicable for this evaluation.

14 ANNEXES

All relevant information for classification is included in this document.

15 REFERENCES

BASF AG, Department of Toxicology (1958a). Report on toxicological studies with different acrylic acid esters, unpublished study. (VII/308), 9 December 1958.

BASF AG, Department of Toxicology (1958b). unpublished studies. VII/308 and 348, 21 July 1958.

BASF AG, Department of Toxicology (1960). Report on toxicological studies with acrylic acid esters in rabbits and cats, unpublished study. (VII/308), 8 November 1960.

BASF AG, Department of Toxicology (1979). unpublished study, Determination of the acute inhalation toxicity. (78/622), 14 February 1979.

ECETOC, European Centre for Ecotoxicology and Toxicology of Chemicals (1998). JACC Report No. 37. Joint Assessment of Commodity Chemicals. Methyl Acrylate. Brussels, Belgium.

ECHA Dissemination (2019). Information on Chemicals - Registered Substances. European Chemicals Agency. Online: <u>http://echa.europa.eu/web/guest/information-on-chemicals/registered-substances</u>

Fassett, D.W. (1963). Esters. In: Patty, F.A., Patty's Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology, Vol. II, 2nd ed., Interscience Publishers New York, 1877-1880.

Hartwig, A.; MAK Commission (1986). Methylacrylat [MAK Value Documentation in German language, 1986]. In: The MAK-Collection for Occupational Health and Safety, 1-9. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/3527600418.mb3527609633d3527600011.

IARC, International Agency for Research on Cancer (1979). Acrylic Acid, Methyl Acrylate, Ethyl Acrylate and Polyacrylic Acid. In: IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of the Carcinogenic Risk of Chemicals to Humans. Vol. 19. Some Monomers, Plastics and Synthetic Elastomers, and Acrolein, WHO, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland. <u>https://monographs.iarc.fr/wp-content/uploads/2018/06/mono19.pdf</u>, 47-71.

Karpov, B.D. (1955). Toxicological assessment of methylacrylate. Gigiena i Sanitarija, 20, 19-22.

Latven, A.R. (1993). Munich Research Laboratory Inc., Rohm and Haas Company, Contract Laboratory No. 50RC-1003 (1956) cited in Tyler T et al.(eds): Health Effect Assessments of the Basic Acrylates. CRC Press

Lomonova, G.V.; Klimova, E.I. (1979). [Data on the toxicology of the methyl and ethyl ethers of acrylic acid]. *Gigiena Truda i Professional'nye Zabolevaniia*, 9, 55-56.

Murphy, S.R.; Davies, J.H. (1993). Methyl acrylate health effects assessment. In: Taylor, T.B.; Murphy, S.R.; Hunt, E.K., Health Effect Assessments of the Basic Acrylates, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 33-52.

Oberly, R.; Tansy, M.F. (1985). LC50 values for rats acutely exposed to vapors of acrylic and methacrylic acid esters. *Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health*, 16, 811-822.

OECD, Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development (2005). SIDS Dossier. Methyl Acrylate (CAS No. 96-33-3). Paris, France.

Parod, R.J. (2014). Methyl Acrylate. In: Wexler, P., Encyclopedia of Toxicology, 2nd edition, Academic Press, 69-71.

Paulet, G.; Vidal, E. (1975). [On the toxicity of some acrylic and methacrylic esters, acrylamide and polyacrylamides]. *Archives des Maladies Professionnelles de Médecine, du Travail et de Sécurité Sociale*, 36, 58-60.

Silver, E.H.; Murphy, S.D. (1981). Potentiation of acrylate ester toxicity by prior treatment with the carboxylesterase inhibitor triorthotolyl phosphate (TOTP). *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*, 57, 208-219

Smyth, H.F.; Carpenter, C.P. (1948). Further experience with the range finding test in the industrial toxicology laboratory. *Journal of Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology*, 30, 63-68.

Tanii, H.; Hashimoto, K. (1982). Structure-toxicity relationship of acrylates and methacrylates *Toxicology Letters*, 11, 125-129.

Treon, J.F.; Sigmon, H.; Wright, H.; Kitzmiller, K.V. (1949). The toxicity of methyl and ethyl acrylate *Journal of Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology*, 31, 317-326.

Unnamed study report (2012) REACH registration data. https://echa.europa.eu/de/registration-dossier/-/registered-dossier/15313/7/3/3

Velling, E.I. (1978). Arkhangel'skaya Materialy por Voprosu Prom. Toksikol. Klin. Prof. Boleznei Sbornik 45-53 (1957) in Chem. Abstr. 54, 14457ff (1954). as cited in: BASF AG, Department of Toxicology, unpublished study (XXVI/351), 30 May 1978.

Vernot, E.H.; MacEwen, J.D.; Haun, C.C.; Kinkead, E.R. (1977). Acute toxicity and skin corrosion data for some organic and inorganic compounds and aqueous solutions. *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*, 42, 417-423