COMPILED COMMENTS ON CLH CONSULTATION

Comments provided during consultation are made available in the table below as submitted through the web form. Please note that the comments displayed below may have been accompanied by attachments which are listed in this table and included in a zip file if non-confidential. Journal articles are not confidential; however they are not published on the website due to Intellectual Property Rights.

ECHA accepts no responsibility or liability for the content of this table.

Last data extracted on 24.10.2023

Substance name: ulexite (CaNaH12(BO3)5.2H2O) [1] ulexite

(CaNaH12(BO3)5.2H2O), calcined [2]; colemanite (CaH(BO2)3.2H2O) [1] boron calcium oxide (B6Ca2O11), hydrate (1:5) [2] colemanite, calcined [3]; tincalconite (B4Na2O7.5H2O)

CAS number: 1319-33-1 [1] 92908-33-3 [2]; 1318-33-8 [1] 854267-07-5 [2]

92908-12-8 [3]; 12045-88-4

EC number: - [1] 296-662-5 [2]; - [1] - [2] 296-640-5 [3]; -

Dossier submitter: Sweden

GENERAL COMMENTS

| Date | Country | Organisation | Type of Organisation | Comment number |
|------------------|---------|--------------|----------------------|----------------|
| 13.10.2023 | France | | MemberState | 1 |
| Comment received | | | | |

The read-across approach for reproductive and developmental toxicity was evaluated by the DS. Despite the lack of studies on borate minerals for toxicokinetic data and reproductive toxicity properties, the read-across is considered acceptable with high confidence from previously evaluated boric acid and inorganic borates.

As the water solubility of borate minerals are available in this report, they are similar for all substances tested and for boric acid under simple simulated physiological conditions. Thus, extrapolation to boric acid and borate salts is warranted for both toxicokinetic properties and adverse effects on sexual function and fertility and on the development using boron (B) equivalents.

TOXICITY TO REPRODUCTION

| Date | Country | Organisation | Type of Organisation | Comment number |
|------------|---------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| 13.10.2023 | Germany | <confidential></confidential> | Company-Downstream user | 2 |
| | | | 4361 | |

Comment received

Dear all,

We wanted to draw RAC's attention to several points we feel have been neglected in the CLH report on the classification on several borate minerals as toxic for the reproductive system, cat. 1B.

The classification proposal is based on read across from boric acid and borax. In the justification for action (section 4), it is stated that this read across is justified due to the formation of boric acid after oral exposure of the borate minerals. However, we would like to point out that the solubility and therefore also the bioavailability of borate substances may differ tremendously depending on the involved cations and crystal structure as well as

the amount of crystal water. This is also a reason for partially huge differences in given values for the solubility of the same substance in different sources. The values cited in section 7 in the CLH report from Schubert at al. are in comparison to other literature including standard books like the CRC Handbook for Chemistry and Physics or manufacturer's information (partially also cited in section 7) generally higher.

While boric acid and sodium borates in general exhibit quite good solubility in water at neutral or low pH, this is not true for all the boron minerals proposed for classification. As already listed in the CLH report, tincalconite's solubility is comparable to that of boric acid. Ulexite and colemanite, however, are not readily soluble in water at biologically relevant temperatures. There are many publications on the bioavailability of different boron compounds for plants as boron plays an important role as micronutrient. Only above ~ 60 °C, significant amounts of these minerals may dissolve which is not relevant for an effect in the human body. At ambient conditions, the availability is much lower. Therefore, these publications suggest that ulexite and colemanite also have a significantly lower dissolution rate in the human body.

A read across from readily soluble compounds to only partially soluble in case of ulexite or almost insoluble compounds in case of colemanite may lead to false conclusions. Therefore, we kindly ask the RAC members to thoroughly investigate relevant biological concentrations in case of exposure under reasonable scenarios for the each substance individually and to consider a classification into category 2 if there are doubts on effects at the respective concentrations.

| Date | Country | Organisation | Type of Organisation | Comment number | |
|------------------|---------|--------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| 05.10.2023 | Germany | | MemberState | 3 | |
| Comment received | | | | | |

The proposed classification as Repr. 1B for the borate minerals ulexite, colemanite and tincalconite is supported by the DE CA. The classification for reproductive toxicity following oral exposure is based on a read-across approach from inorganic borates (borax or disodium tetraborate decahydrate) and boric acid, and justified on the basis of their common behaviour in aqueous media.

| Date | Country | Organisation | Type of Organisation | Comment number | |
|---|---------|--------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| 13.10.2023 | France | | MemberState | 4 | |
| Comment received | | | | | |
| FR supports the classification proposal Repr. 1B, H360FD. | | | | | |