

Helsinki, 06 May 2022

**Addressees**

Registrants of JS\_VCARB listed in the last Appendix of this decision

**Date of submission of the dossier subject of a decision**

21/05/2019

**Registered substance subject to this decision, hereafter 'the Substance'**

Substance name: Vinylene carbonate

EC number: 212-825-5

**Decision number:** Please refer to the REACH-IT message which delivered this communication (in format TPE-D-XXXXXXXXXX-XX-XX/F)

**DECISION ON TESTING PROPOSAL(S)**

Based on Article 40 of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 (REACH), you must submit the information listed below by **11 August 2023**.

The requested information must be generated using the Substance unless otherwise specified.

**A. Information required from the Registrants subject to Annex VII of REACH**

1. In vivo mammalian alkaline comet assay (triggered by Annex VII, Section 8.4., column 2), as requested below in Appendix C, Section 1.

**B. Information required from the Registrants subject to Annex VIII of REACH**

1. In vivo mammalian alkaline comet assay (triggered by Annex VIII, Section 8.4., column 2), as requested below in Appendix C, Section 1.

**C. Information required from the Registrants subject to Annex IX of REACH**

1. In vivo mammalian alkaline comet assay (triggered by Annex IX, Section 8.4., column 2; test method: OECD TG 489), in rats, or if justified, in other rodent species, oral route, on the following tissues: liver, glandular stomach and duodenum.
2. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study (Annex IX, Section 8.7.2.; test method: EU B.31./OECD TG 414) by oral route, in one species (rat or rabbit).

**D. Reasons to reject testing proposal under Annex IX of REACH**

1. Sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day) (Annex IX, Section 8.6.2.; test method: EU B.26./OECD TG 408).

Reasons for the request(s) are explained in the appendices A to C entitled "Reasons to request information required under Annexes VII to IX of REACH", respectively.

The reasons for the rejection of one of your testing proposal(s) are explained under Appendix D entitled "Reasons to reject testing proposal under Annex IX of REACH".

### **Information required depends on your tonnage band**

You must provide the information listed above for all REACH Annexes applicable to you, and in accordance with Articles 10(a) and 12(1) of REACH:

- the information specified in Annex VII to REACH, for registration at 1-10 tonnes per year (tpa), or as a transported isolated intermediate in quantity above 1000 tpa;
- the information specified in Annexes VII and VIII to REACH, for registration at 10-100 tpa;
- the information specified in Annexes VII, VIII and IX to REACH, for registration at 100-1000 tpa.

You are only required to share the costs of information that you must submit to fulfil your information requirements.

In this decision ECHA requests the same *in vivo* mammalian alkaline comet assay from registrants at different tonnages. ECHA notes that only one study is to be conducted; the registrants concerned must make every effort to reach an agreement as to who is to carry out the study on behalf of the other registrants under Article 53 of REACH.

The registrant with registration number [REDACTED] is not requested to provide the study requested under C.2., because it opted out from the joint submission for that specific information requirement. The information has been requested from that registrant in another decision specifically addressed to it based on the assessment of the information submitted separately.

### **How to comply with your information requirements**

To comply with your information requirements you must submit the information requested by this decision in an updated registration dossier by the deadline indicated above. You must also update the chemical safety report, where relevant, including any changes to classification and labelling, based on the newly generated information.

You must follow the general testing and reporting requirements provided under the Appendix entitled "Requirements to fulfil when conducting and reporting new tests for REACH purposes". For references used in this decision, please consult the Appendix entitled "List of references".

### **Appeal**

This decision can be appealed to the Board of Appeal of ECHA within three months of its notification. An appeal, together with the grounds thereof, has to be submitted to ECHA in writing. An appeal has suspensive effect and is subject to a fee. Further details are described under: <http://echa.europa.eu/regulations/appeals>.

Approved<sup>1</sup> under the authority of Mike Rasenberg, Director of Hazard Assessment

---

<sup>1</sup> As this is an electronic document, it is not physically signed. This communication has been approved according to ECHA's internal decision-approval process.

## **Appendix A: Reasons to request information required under Annex VII of REACH**

This decision is based on the examination of the testing proposals you submitted and on scientific information submitted by third parties.

### **1. In vivo mammalian alkaline comet assay**

Further mutagenicity studies must be considered under Annex VII to REACH in case of a positive result in an *in vitro* gene mutation study in bacteria (Section 8.4., Column 2).

Your dossier contains positive results for the *in vitro* gene mutation study in bacteria (OECD TG 471; [REDACTED], 2008) which raise the concern for gene mutations.

ECHA considers that further mutagenicity studies are necessary to address the identified concern.

For the assessment of the testing proposal, see Section C.1.

## **Appendix B: Reasons to request information required under Annex VIII of REACH**

This decision is based on the examination of the testing proposals you submitted and on scientific information submitted by third parties.

### **1. In vivo mammalian alkaline comet assay**

Appropriate *in vivo* mutagenicity studies must be considered under Annex VIII to REACH (Section 8.4., Column 2) in case of a positive result in any of the *in vitro* genotoxicity studies under Annex VII or VIII to REACH.

Your dossier contains positive results for the *in vitro* gene mutation study in bacteria (OECD TG 471; [REDACTED], 2008) which raise the concern for gene mutations.

ECHA considers that appropriate *in vivo* mutagenicity studies must be considered to address the identified concern.

For the assessment of the testing proposal, see Section C.1.

## Appendix C: Reasons to request information required under Annex IX of REACH

This decision is based on the examination of the testing proposals you submitted and on scientific information submitted by third parties.

### 1. In vivo mammalian alkaline comet assay

An appropriate *in vivo* somatic cell genotoxicity is an information requirement under Annex IX to REACH (Section 8.4., Column 2) if (1) there is a positive result in any of the *in vitro* genotoxicity study under Annex VII or VIII to REACH and (2) there are no results available from an *in vivo* study.

Your dossier contains positive results for the *in vitro* gene mutation study in bacteria (OECD TG 471; ██████████, 2008) which raise the concern for gene mutations. Moreover, in the dossier there is an *in vivo* study (OECD TG 474; ██████████, 2002), however this study does not address the concern on gene mutation.

#### 1.1. Information provided to fulfil the information requirement

You submitted a testing proposal for an *In vivo* mammalian alkaline comet assay to be performed with the Substance.

ECHA requested your considerations for alternative methods to fulfil the information requirement for Genetic toxicity *in vivo*. You provided your considerations concluding that there were no alternative methods which could be used to adapt the information requirement(s) for which testing is proposed. ECHA has taken these considerations into account.

ECHA agrees that an appropriate *in vivo* follow up genotoxicity study is necessary to address the concern identified *in vitro*.

#### 1.2. Test selection

According to the Guidance on IRs & CSA, Section R.7.7.6.3 the *in vivo* mammalian alkaline comet assay ("comet assay", OECD TG 489) is suitable to follow up a positive *in vitro* result on gene mutation.

#### 1.3. Specification of the study design

You proposed testing in the rat. According to the test method OECD TG 489, rats are the preferred species. Other rodent species can be used if scientifically justified (OECD TG 489, para. 23).

You proposed testing by the oral route. Having considered the anticipated routes of human exposure and adequate exposure of the target tissue(s) performance of the test by the oral route is appropriate.

In line with the test method OECD TG 489, the test must be performed by analysing tissues from liver as primary site of xenobiotic metabolism, glandular stomach and duodenum as sites of contact. There are several expected or possible variables between the glandular stomach and the duodenum (different tissue structure and function, different pH conditions, variable physico-chemical properties and fate of the Substance, and probable different local absorption rates of the Substance and its possible breakdown product(s)). In light of these

expected or possible variables, it is necessary to analyse both tissues to ensure a sufficient evaluation of the potential for genotoxicity at the site of contact in the gastro-intestinal tract.

#### *Germ cells*

A subsequent germ cell genotoxicity study (TGR/OECD TG 488, or CA on spermatogonia/OECD TG 483) may still be required under Annex IX of REACH, in case 1) an *in vivo* genotoxicity test on somatic cell is positive, and 2) no clear conclusion can be made on germ cell mutagenicity.

Therefore, you may consider to collect the male gonadal cells from the seminiferous tubules in addition to the other aforementioned tissues in the comet assay, as it would optimise the use of animals. You can prepare the slides for male gonadal cells and store them for up to 2 months, at room temperature, in dry conditions and protected from light. Following the generation and analysis of data on somatic cells in the comet assay, in accordance to Annex IX, Section 8.4., column 2, you should consider analysing the slides prepared with gonadal cells. This type of evidence may be relevant for the overall assessment of possible germ cell mutagenicity including classification and labelling according to the CLP Regulation.

#### *1.4. Outcome*

Your testing proposal is accepted under Article 40(3)(a) and you are requested to conduct the test with the Substance, as specified above.

### **2. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study**

A pre-natal developmental toxicity (PNDT) study (OECD TG 414) in one species is an information requirement under Annex IX to REACH (Section 8.7.2.).

#### *2.1. Information provided to fulfil the information requirement*

You have submitted a testing proposal for a PNDT study according to OECD TG 414 by the oral route with the Substance.

ECHA requested your considerations for alternative methods to fulfil the information requirement for Developmental toxicity. You provided your considerations concluding that there were no alternative methods which could be used to adapt the information requirement(s) for which testing is proposed. ECHA has taken these considerations into account.

ECHA agrees that a PNDT study in a first species is necessary.

#### *2.2. Specification of the study design*

You proposed testing in the rat as a first species. You may select between the rat or the rabbit because both are preferred species under the OECD TG 414 (ECHA Guidance R.7a, Section R.7.6.2.3.2.).

You proposed testing by the oral route. ECHA agrees with your proposal because this route of administration is the most appropriate to investigate reproductive toxicity (ECHA Guidance R.7a, Section R.7.6.2.3.2.).

#### *2.3. Outcome*

Your testing proposal is accepted under Article 40(3)(a) and you are requested to conduct the test with the Substance, as specified above.

## Appendix D: Reasons to reject testing proposal under Annex IX of REACH

### 1. Sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day)

A sub-chronic toxicity study (90 day) is an information requirement under Annex IX to REACH (Section 8.6.2.). As provided in Annex IX, Section 8.6.2, Column 2, the study does not need to be conducted if a reliable short-term toxicity study (28-day) is available showing severe toxicity effects according to the criteria for classifying the substance as STOT RE Category 1 or Category 2, and where the NOAEL-90 days can be extrapolated for the same route of exposure.

#### 1.1 Information provided

##### A. Testing proposal

You have submitted a testing proposal for a Sub-chronic toxicity study (90 day) according to OECD TG 408 with the Substance.

ECHA requested your considerations for alternative methods to fulfil the information requirement for Repeated dose toxicity. You provided your considerations concluding that there were no alternative methods which could be used to adapt the information requirement(s) for which testing is proposed. ECHA has taken these considerations into account.

##### B. Existing information

Your dossier contains an OECD TG 422 study in rats, via oral route, with the Substance. This study shows severe toxicity effects, with liver being the target organ. The observed effects fulfil the criteria for self-classification of the substance as STOT RE category 2, and you have self-classified the Substance accordingly. Furthermore, the NOAEL-90 days can be extrapolated for the same route of exposure.

##### C. Third party comments

ECHA received third party information concerning the testing proposal during the third party consultation.

The third party notes that *'The Registration Dossier contains a screening study (OECD 422) with the substance. The Registrant proposes classification for STOT RE in Category 2 on the basis of this study. According to REACH, at this tonnage level (Annex IX) the sub-chronic toxicity study (90 days) does not need to be conducted if a reliable short-term toxicity study (28 days) is available showing severe toxicity effects according to the criteria for classifying the substance as STOT RE Category 1 or Category 2, for which the observed NOAEL-28 days, with the application of an appropriate assessment factor, allows the extrapolation towards the NOAEL-90 days for the same route of exposure. The need for a 90-day study as proposed by the Registrant is therefore questioned'*

#### Conclusion

As the criteria of Annex IX, Section 8.6.2, Column 2, first indent are met, ECHA considers that a sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day) is not necessary.



### *1.2 Outcome*

Under Article 40(3)(d) of REACH, the proposed test is rejected.

#### *Notes for your consideration*

As provided in Annex IX, Section 8.6.2, Column 2, you are invited to consider adapting the information requirement on the basis of the available information.

This decision does not prevent ECHA from initiating compliance checks at a later stage, to verify the compliance of your registration dossier.

## **Appendix E: Requirements to fulfil when conducting and reporting new tests for REACH purposes**

### **A. Test methods, GLP requirements and reporting**

1. Under Article 13(3) of REACH, all new data generated as a result of this decision must be conducted according to the test methods laid down in a European Commission Regulation or to international test methods recognised by the Commission or ECHA as being appropriate.
2. Under Article 13(4) of REACH, ecotoxicological and toxicological tests and analyses must be carried out according to the GLP principles (Directive 2004/10/EC) or other international standards recognised by the Commission or ECHA.
3. Under Article 10(a)(vi) and (vii) of REACH, all new data generated as a result of this decision must be reported as study summaries, or as robust study summaries, if required under Annex I of REACH. See ECHA Practical Guide on How to report robust study summaries<sup>2</sup>.

### **B. Test material**

Before generating new data, you must agree within the joint submission on the chemical composition of the material to be tested (Test material) which must be relevant for all the registrants of the Substance.

#### **1. Selection of the Test material(s)**

The Test material used to generate the new data must be selected taking into account the following:

- the variation in compositions reported by all members of the joint submission,
- the boundary composition(s) of the Substance,
- the impact of each constituent/ impurity on the test results for the endpoint to be assessed. For example, if a constituent/ impurity of the Substance is known to have an impact on (eco)toxicity, the selected Test material must contain that constituent/ impurity.

#### **2. Information on the Test material needed in the updated dossier**

- You must report the composition of the Test material selected for each study, under the "Test material information" section, for each respective endpoint study record in IUCLID.
- The reported composition must include all constituents of each Test material and their concentration values and other parameters relevant for the property to be tested.

This information is needed to assess whether the Test material is relevant for the Substance and whether it is suitable for use by all members of the joint submission.

Technical instructions on how to report the above is available in the manual on How to prepare registration and PPORD dossiers<sup>3</sup>.

---

<sup>2</sup> <https://echa.europa.eu/practical-guides>

<sup>3</sup> <https://echa.europa.eu/manuals>

## **Appendix F: Procedure**

ECHA started the testing proposal evaluation in accordance with Article 40(1) on 27 April 2021.

ECHA held a third party consultation for the testing proposal(s) from 20 May 2021 until 5 July 2021. ECHA received information from third parties (see Appendix D).

ECHA followed the procedure detailed in Articles 50 and 51 of REACH.

ECHA notified you of the draft decision and invited you to provide comments.

ECHA did not receive any comments within the commenting period.

ECHA notified the draft decision to the competent authorities of the Member States for proposals for amendment.

As no amendments were proposed, ECHA adopted the decision under Article 51(3) of REACH.

**Appendix G: List of references - ECHA Guidance<sup>4</sup> and other supporting documents**Evaluation of available information

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.4 (version 1.1., December 2011), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.4 where relevant.

QSARs, read-across and grouping

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.6 (version 1.0, May 2008), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.6 where relevant.

Read-across assessment framework (RAAF, March 2017)<sup>5</sup>

RAAF - considerations on multi-constituent substances and UVCBs (RAAF UVCB, March 2017)<sup>6</sup>

Physical-chemical properties

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7a (version 6.0, July 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7a in this decision.

Toxicology

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7a (version 6.0, July 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7a in this decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7c (version 3.0, June 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7c in this decision.

Environmental toxicology and fate

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7a (version 6.0, July 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7a in this decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7b (version 4.0, June 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7b in this decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7c (version 3.0, June 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7c in this decision.

PBT assessment

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.11 (version 3.0, June 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.11 in this decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.16 (version 3.0, February 2016), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.16 in this decision.

Data sharing

Guidance on data-sharing (version 3.1, January 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance on data sharing in this decision.

---

<sup>4</sup> <https://echa.europa.eu/guidance-documents/guidance-on-information-requirements-and-chemical-safety-assessment>

<sup>5</sup> <https://echa.europa.eu/support/registration/how-to-avoid-unnecessary-testing-on-animals/grouping-of-substances-and-read-across>

<sup>6</sup> [https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13630/raaf\\_uvcb\\_report\\_en.pdf/3f79684d-07a5-e439-16c3-d2c8da96a316](https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13630/raaf_uvcb_report_en.pdf/3f79684d-07a5-e439-16c3-d2c8da96a316)

OECD Guidance documents<sup>7</sup>

Guidance Document on aqueous-phase aquatic toxicity testing of difficult test chemicals – No 23, referred to as OECD GD 23.

Guidance document on transformation/dissolution of metals and metal compounds in aqueous media – No 29, referred to as OECD GD 29.

Guidance Document on Standardised Test Guidelines for Evaluating Chemicals for Endocrine Disruption – No 150, referred to as OECD GD 150.

Guidance Document supporting OECD test guideline 443 on the extended one-generation reproductive toxicity test – No 151, referred to as OECD GD 151.

---

<sup>7</sup> <http://www.oecd.org/chemicalsafety/testing/series-testing-assessment-publications-number.htm>

**Appendix H: Addressees of this decision and the corresponding information requirements applicable to them**

You must provide the information requested in this decision for all REACH Annexes applicable to you.

Registrant Name	Registration number	Highest REACH Annex applicable to you
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

Where applicable, the name of a third party representative (TPR) may be displayed in the list of recipients whereas ECHA will send the decision to the actual registrant.