

Decision number: TPE-D-2114296279-32-01/F

Helsinki, 3 June 2015

## DECISION ON TESTING PROPOSAL(S) SET OUT IN A REGISTRATION PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 40(3) OF REGULATION (EC) NO 1907/2006

# For 2-[(2-methyl-1-oxoallyl)oxy]ethyl acetoacetate, CAS No 21282-97-3 (EC No 244-311-1), registration number:

Addressee:

The European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) has taken the following decision in accordance with the procedure set out in Articles 50 and 51 of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH Regulation).

## I. Procedure

Pursuant to Article 40(1) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA has examined the following testing proposal submitted as part of the registration dossier in accordance with Articles 10(a)(ix) and 12(1)(d) thereof for 2-[(2-methyl-1-oxoallyl)oxy]ethyl acetoacetate, CAS No 21282-97-3 (EC No 244-311-1), submitted by accordance with acetoacetate).

• Mammalian Erythrocyte Micronucleus Test (OECD Guideline 474) to be conducted using the registered substance.

This decision is based on the registration dossier as submitted with submission number **Exercise**, for the tonnage band of 100 to 1000 tonnes per year. This decision does not take into account any updates after 30 October 2014, the date upon which ECHA notified its draft decision to the Competent Authorities of the Member States pursuant to Article 51(1) of the REACH Regulation.

This decision does not imply that the information provided by the Registrant in his registration dossier is in compliance with the REACH requirements. The decision does not prevent ECHA from initiating a compliance check on the registration at a later stage.

ECHA received the registration dossier containing the above mentioned testing proposal for further examination pursuant to Article 40(1) on 29 April 2013.

ECHA held a third party consultation for the testing proposal from 29 April 2014 until 13 June 2014. ECHA did not receive information from third parties.

On 31 July 2014 ECHA sent the draft decision to the Registrant and invited him to provide comments within 30 days of the receipt of the draft decision.

By 8 September 2014 the Registrant did not provide any comments on the draft decision to ECHA.

On 30 October 2014 ECHA notified the Competent Authorities of the Member States of its draft decision and invited them pursuant to Article 51(1) of the REACH Regulation to submit proposals for amendment of the draft decision within 30 days of the receipt of the notification.



Subsequently, proposal for amendment to the draft decision was submitted.

On 5 December 2014 ECHA notified the Registrant of the proposal for amendment to the draft decision and invited him pursuant to Article 51(5) of the REACH Regulation to provide comments on the proposal for amendment within 30 days of the receipt of the notification.

The ECHA Secretariat reviewed the proposal for amendment received and did not amend the draft decision.

On 15 December 2014 ECHA referred the draft decision to the Member State Committee.

By 5 January 2015, in accordance to Article 51(5), the Registrant provided comments on the proposals for amendment. The Member State Committee took the comments of the Registrant on the proposals for amendment into account.

After discussion in the Member State Committee meeting on 3-5 February 2015, a unanimous agreement of the Member State Committee on the draft decision as modified at the meeting was reached on 4 February 2015.

ECHA took the decision pursuant to Article 51(6) of the REACH Regulation.

### II. Testing required

### A. Tests required pursuant to Article 40(3)

The Registrant shall carry out the following proposed test pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) and 13(4) of the REACH Regulation using the indicated test method and the registered substance subject to the present decision:

• *In vivo* mammalian erythrocyte micronucleus test (test method: EU B.12./OECD 474).

### Note for consideration by the Registrant:

The Registrant may adapt the testing requested above according to the specific rules outlined in Annexes VI to X and/or according to the general rules contained in Annex XI of the REACH Regulation. In order to ensure compliance with the respective information requirement, any such adaptation will need to have a scientific justification, referring to and conforming with the appropriate rules in the respective Annex, and an adequate and reliable documentation.

Failure to comply with the request(s) in this decision, or to fulfil otherwise the information requirement(s) with a valid and documented adaptation, will result in a notification to the Enforcement Authorities of the Member States.

### B. Deadline for submitting the required information

Pursuant to Articles 40(4) and 22(2) of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant shall submit to ECHA by **10 June 2016** an update of the registration dossier containing the information required by this decision, including, where relevant, an update of the Chemical Safety Report.



## III. Statement of reasons

The decision of ECHA is based on the examination of the testing proposal submitted by the Registrant for the registered substance.

• In vivo mammalian erythrocyte micronucleus test (Annex IX, Section 8.4.)

## a) Examination of the testing proposal

Pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA may require the Registrant to carry out the proposed test.

"Mutagenicity" is an information requirement as laid down in Annex VIII, Section 8.4. of the REACH Regulation. Column 2 of Annex IX, Section 8.4. provides that "[*i*]f there is a positive result in any of the in vitro genotoxicity studies in Annex VII or VIII and there are no results available from an in vivo study already, an appropriate in vivo somatic cell genotoxicity study shall be proposed by the Registrant."

The technical dossier contains two *in vitro* studies, an *in vitro* mammalian chromosome aberration test (OECD guideline 473) and an *in vitro* mammalian cell gene mutation test (OECD guideline 476), performed with the registered substance that show positive results. Both tests were positive in the absence of metabolic activation. For the gene mutation test the Registrant states '*The increases in mean mutant frequency was predominantly due to increased small colony formation when compared to the concurrent vehicle control, which, according to current opinion, suggests large DNA events such as the loss of whole chromosomes, translocations, transversions and large deletions, but not point mutations.' ECHA concludes that the positive results indicate that the substance is inducing chromosomal aberrations under the conditions of the tests.* 

An appropriate *in vivo* genotoxicity study to follow up the concern on chromosomal aberrations is not available for the registered substance but shall be proposed by the Registrant. Consequently, there is an information gap and the Registrant proposed to generate information for this endpoint.

Hence, the Registrant has submitted a testing proposal for an *In vivo* mammalian erythrocyte micronucleus test (OECD Guideline 474).

ECHA considers that the Registrant has adequately demonstrated the need to perform the proposed test. ECHA considers that the proposed test is appropriate test to investigate effects on chromosomal aberrations *in vivo* as described in the ECHA Guidance document on information requirements and chemical safety assessment R.7a, chapter R.7.7.1. and figure R.7.7-1 (February 2014).

### b) Outcome

Therefore, pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant is requested to carry out the proposed study with the registered substance subject to the present decision: *In vivo* mammalian erythrocyte micronucleus test (test method: EU B.12./OECD 474).

### Note for consideration by the Registrant:

The Registrant is reminded that according to the column 2 of section 8.4 of Annex IX of the REACH Regulation, if positive results from an *in vivo* somatic cell study are available, "*the potential for germ cell mutagenicity should be considered on the basis of all available data*,



including toxicokinetic evidence. If no clear conclusions about germ cell mutagenicity can be made, additional investigations shall be considered".

## IV. Adequate identification of the composition of the tested material

The process of examination of testing proposals set out in Article 40 of the REACH Regulation aims at ensuring that the new study meet real information needs. Within this context, the Registrant's dossier was sufficient to confirm the identity of the substance to the extent necessary for examination of the testing proposal. The Registrant must note, however, that this information has not been checked for compliance with the substance identity requirements set out in Section 2 of Annex VI of the REACH Regulation.

In addition, it is important to ensure that the particular sample of substance tested in the new study is appropriate to assess the properties of the registered substance, taking into account any variation in the composition of the technical grade of the substance as actually manufactured. If the registration of the substance covers different grades, the sample used for the new study must be suitable to assess these.

Finally, there must be adequate information on substance identity for the sample tested and the grade(s) registered to enable the relevance of the study to be assessed.

## V. Information on right to appeal

An appeal may be brought against this decision to the Board of Appeal of ECHA under Article 51(8) of the REACH Regulation. Such appeal shall be lodged within three months of receiving notification of this decision. Further information on the appeal procedure can be found on the ECHA's internet page at <u>http://www.echa.europa.eu/regulations/appeals</u>. The notice of appeal will be deemed to be filed only when the appeal fee has been paid.

Leena Ylä-Mononen Director of Evaluation