# Regulation (EU) No 528/2012 concerning the making available on the market and use of biocidal products

Evaluation of active substances

Assessment Report



Biphenyl-2-ol

Product-type PT 4 (Preventol O Extra)

July 2015

Spain

# **CONTENTS**

1. STATEMENT OF SUBJECT MATTER AND PURPOSE	3
1.1. Procedure followed	3
1.2. Purpose of the assessment report	
1.2. Purpose of the assessment report	3
2. OVERALL SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	4
2.1. Presentation of the Active Substance	
2.1.1. Identity, Physico-Chemical Properties & Methods of Analysis	
2.1.2. Intended Uses and Efficacy	
2.1.3. Classification and Labelling	5
2.2. Summary of the Risk Assessment	
2.2.1. Human Health Risk Assessment	
2.2.1.1. Hazard identification	
2.2.1.2. Effects assessment	
2.2.1.3. Exposure assessment	
2.2.2. Environmental Risk Assessment	
2.2.2.1. Fate and distribution in the environment	18
2.2.2.2. Effects assessment	
2.2.2.3. PBT and POP assessment	
2.2.2.4. Exposure assessment	22
2.2.2.5. Risk characterisation	
2.2.2.6. Assessment of endocrine disruptor properties	25
2.3. Overall conclusions	26
2.4. List of endpoints	26
APPENDIX I: LIST OF ENDPOINTS	27
Chapter 1: Identity, Physical and Chemical Properties, Classification and	l Labelling27
Chapter 2: Methods of Analysis	29
Chapter 3: Impact on Human Health	30
Chapter 4: Fate and Behaviour in the Environment	34
Chapter 5: Effects on Non-target Species	36
Chapter 6: Other End Points	38
APPENDIX II: LIST OF INTENDED USES	39
APPENDIX III: LIST OF STUDIES	40

#### 1. STATEMENT OF SUBJECT MATTER AND PURPOSE

#### 1.1. Procedure followed

This assessment report has been established as a result of the evaluation of the active substance [Biphenyl-2-ol] as Product-type [4] (Food and feed area), carried out in the context of the work programme for the review of existing active substances provided for in Article 89 of Regulation (EU) No 528/2012, with a view to the possible approval of this substance.

Biphenyl-2-ol (CAS no. 90-43-7) was notified as an existing active substance, by LANXESS Deutschland GmbH and DOW Benelux B. V., hereafter referred to as the applicant, in Producttype 4.

Commission Regulation (EC) No 1451/2007 of 4 December 2007<sup>1</sup> lays down the detailed rules for the evaluation of dossiers and for the decision-making process.

In accordance with the provisions of Article 7(1) of that Regulation, Spain was designated as Rapporteur Member State to carry out the assessment on the basis of the dossier submitted by the applicant. The deadline for submission of a complete dossier for Biphenyl-2-ol as an active substance in Product-type 4 was 31st July 2007, in accordance with Annex V of Regulation (EC) No 1451/2007.

On 12<sup>th</sup> July 2007, Spanish competent authorities received a dossier from the applicant. The Rapporteur Member State accepted the dossier as complete for the purpose of the evaluation on 31st October 2008.

On 2<sup>nd</sup> June 2014, the Rapporteur Member State submitted to the Commission and the applicant a copy of the evaluation report, hereafter referred to as the competent authority report.

In order to review the competent authority report and the comments received on it, consultations of technical experts from all Member States (peer review) were organised by the Agency. Revisions agreed upon were presented at the Biocidal Products Committee and its Working Groups meetings and the competent authority report was amended accordingly.

# 1.2. Purpose of the assessment report

The aim of the assessment report is to support the opinion of the Biocidal Products Committee and a decision on the approval of [Biphenyl-2-ol] for Product-type 4, and, should it be approved, to facilitate the authorisation of individual biocidal products. In the evaluation of applications for product-authorisation, the provisions of Regulation (EU) No 528/2012 shall be applied, in particular the provisions of Chapter IV, as well as the common principles laid down in Annex VI.

For the implementation of the common principles of Annex VI, the content and conclusions of this assessment report, which is available from the Agency web-site shall be taken into account.

However, where conclusions of this assessment report are based on data protected under the provisions of Regulation (EU) No 528/2012, such conclusions may not be used to the benefit of another applicant, unless access to these data for that purpose has been granted to that applicant.

<sup>1</sup> Commission Regulation (EC) No 1451/2007 of 4 December 2007 on the second phase of the 10-year work programme referred to in Article 16(2) of Directive 98/8/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the placing of biocidal products on the market. OJ L 325, 11.12.2007, p. 3

# 2. OVERALL SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

#### 2.1. Presentation of the Active Substance

#### 2.1.1. Identity, Physico-Chemical Properties & Methods of Analysis

This evaluation covers the use of Biphenyl-2-ol in Product-type 4, but it does not cover sodium 2-biphenylate. The most important mechanism is the interaction with bio-membranes. In the first step an adsorption of Biphenyl-2-ol to the cell membrane takes place. The greater the proportion of undissociated molecules of the biocide in the surrounding medium the stronger will be the adsorption. In further steps the function of membrane proteins is disturbed, substrate transport and ATP synthesis are inhibited. The cell membrane loses its semi-permeability and ions and organic molecules escape.

Specifications for the reference source are established.

The physico-chemical properties of the active substance and of the representative biocidal product have been evaluated and are deemed acceptable for the appropriate use, storage and transportation of the active substance and biocidal product.

Validated analytical methods are available for the determination of Biphenyl-2-ol as manufactured and for the analysis of impurities. Validated analytical methods are also available for the determination of Biphenyl-2-ol in soil, water, air and food/feeding stuffs matrices. Other analytical methods are not deemed because Biphenyl-2-ol is not classified as toxic or highly toxic.

# 2.1.2. Intended Uses and Efficacy

The assessment of the biocidal activity of the active substance demonstrates that it has a sufficient level of efficacy against the target organisms and the evaluation of the summary data provided in support of the efficacy of the accompanying product, establishes that the product may be expected to be efficacious.

Biphenyl-2-ol has a broad efficacy against potentially harmful germs (bacteria, fungi and yeasts), e.g. *Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus, Listeria monocytogenes, Enterococcus hirae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica, Penicillium chrysogenum, Penicillium candidum, Penicillium cyclopium, Geotrichum candidum, Monascus rubber, Aspergillus fumigates, Candida pelliculosa* and *Candida albicans*.

Different tests with different test organisms were performed according to the NF T 72-281 standard to justify the activity of Biphenyl-2-ol for PT 4. The results indicate that Biphenyl-2-ol acts against bacteria, fungi and yeasts. The disinfectant diffusion running time was 3-6 minutes and the germ-carriers exposure running time (from the diffusion till withdrawal out of the test room) was 15 hours.

Due to the unspecific mode of action (multi-site activity) a development of resistance against biocidal use of Biphenyl-2-ol is not expected.

The biocidal product is a smoke generator preparation used for the disinfection of surfaces, by air route, in closed premises free from presence of humans, animals, plants or non-packed food. The following locations are intended to be treated with Fumispore OPP (Biphenyl-2-ol Smoke Generator):

Storage silos in the factory;

- Factories: transformation rooms, maturation and conditioning/packaging rooms; corridors and goods lifts; packaging storages; ventilation shafts; technical premises; waste zones;
- Food stuffs storage;
- Food trucks.

In addition, in order to facilitate the work of Member States in granting or reviewing authorisations, the intended uses of the substance, as identified during the evaluation process, are listed in Appendix II.

# 2.1.3. Classification and Labelling

# **CURRENT CLASSIFICATION**

Classification according to the CLP Regulation			
Hazard Class and Category	Eye Irrit. 2	H319	
Codes	Skin Irrit. 2	H315	
	STOT SE 3	H335	
	Aquatic Acute 1	H400	
Labelling			
Pictograms	GHS07		
	GHS09		
	Wng		
Signal Word	Warning		
Hazard Statement Codes	H319: Causes serious	eye irritation	
	H315: Causes skin irri	tation	
	H335: May cause resp	iratory irritation	
	H400: Very toxic to ac	ıuatic life	
Specific Concentration limits, M-Factors			

#### PROPOSED CLASSIFICATION

The proposed classification and labelling for Biphenyl-2-ol according to Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (CLP Regulation) is:

Classi	Classification according to the CLP Regulation				
Hazard Class and Category	Eye Irrit. 2	H319			
Codes	Skin Irrit. 2	H315			
	STOT SE 3	H335			
	Carc 2	H351			
	Aquatic Acute 1	H400			
	Aquatic Chronic 1	H410			
Labelling					
Pictograms	GHS07				
	GHS09				
	Wng				
Signal Word	Warning				
Hazard Statement Codes	H319: Causes serious	eye irritation			
	H315: Causes skin irr	itation			
	H335: May cause respiratory irritation				
	H351: Suspected of c	ausing cancer			

	H400: Very toxic to aquatic life H410: Very toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects
Specific Concentration limits, M-Factors	

## 2.2. Summary of the Risk Assessment

#### 2.2.1. Human Health Risk Assessment

#### 2.2.1.1. Hazard identification

#### Toxicokinetics and metabolism

A study was conducted in six human volunteers (males) to determine the degree of dermal absorption (Selim 6.2-03). The mean total absorption was 43.19%. For the purpose of risk assessment in this dossier 43% dermal absorption of Biphenyl-2-ol through the skin will be applied. The mean total absorption, defined as the compound-related radioactivity present in the urine, feces (excluding tape strips) was 43.15% (concentration  $0.4\% \cong 0.006$  mg Biphenyl-2-ol /kg bw). This indicates that the  $^{14}$ C-Biphenyl-2-ol derived radioactivity did not accumulate in the superficial layers of the skin.

A dermal study was conducted in six human volunteers (males) to obtain information on the metabolism of Biphenyl-2-ol (Bartels 6.2-01). Metabolites of Biphenyl-2-ol present in the urine samples from the study 6.2-03 were characterized. The major urinary metabolite was found to be the sulphate conjugate of Biphenyl-2-ol, accounting for 68.33% of the absorbed dose. Conjugation of Biphenyl-2-ol with glucuronic acid was less significant, accounting for only 3.46% of the absorbed dose. Hydroxylation of the phenol or phenyl ring, followed by conjugation was also shown to be significant, with phenylhydroquinoneglucuronide and 2,4'-dihydroxybiphenyl-sulfate representing 14.34% and 12.35% of the absorbed dose, respectively. Trace levels of unmetabolized parent compound (0.50% of absorbed dose) were found in early time interval samples only. No free phenylhydroquinone or phenylhydroquinone-sulphate were found in any of the urine samples (limit of detection = 0.25-0.59% absorbed dose). Biphenyl-2-ol, both free and conjugated, accounted for 73.0% of the total absorbed dose following dermal exposure to 0.4 mg test material for 8 h.

A study was conducted to determine the degree of oral absorption and to obtain information on the metabolism of <sup>14</sup>C-Biphenyl-2-ol in the B6C3F1 mouse (6.2-02). The mean total absorption for the mice treatment groups, defined as the compound-related radioactivity present in the urine, faeces, tissues and carcass was 95-104% (concentration 25 mg/kg and 1000 mg/kg). This suggests a low potential for bioaccumulation. The excretion of <sup>14</sup>C-Biphenyl-2-ol was rapid and complete by 12 - 24 h post-dosing with 74 - 98% of the recovered radioactivity in the urine and 6 - 13% in the faeces

An ADME study was conducted to obtain information on the metabolism of <sup>14</sup>C-Biphenyl-2-ol in the B6C3F1 mouse and Fischer rats ( 6.2-02). In mice Biphenyl-2-ol was completely metabolized and rapidly eliminated via the urine predominantly as a sulphate and glucuronide conjugate of Biphenyl-2-ol. Qualitatively the extent of metabolism was comparable between mice and rats, although quantitative differences in the extent of Biphenyl-2-ol sulphation and glucuronidation were seen between these species. Binding to macromolecules or conjugation with intracellular glutathione occurs very rapidly thereby preventing the substance from being detectable or appearing free in the plasma.

No specific study of inhalation absorption of Biphenyl-2-ol is available.

# Products of degradation (photolysis) in laboratory simulated ground waters

In laboratory experimental tests, it was observed that bisphenol-2-ol is degraded by photolysis in water (See Doc IIA, point 4.1.1.1.2 and 4.4) Two products of degradation are formed, benzoic acid and a diketohydroxy-compound, being this the higher proportion (maximum observes 13.7% of the Biphenyl-2-ol at day 1. The presence of these products is expected to be transiently as they are also quickly photodegraded.

toxicity than for Biphenyl-2-ol to aquatic media. Therefore, exposure and adverse effects in the aquatic media have been considered to be negligible and that the risk covered by the risk evaluated for the Biphenyl-2-ol. The risk of exposure for Biphenyl-2-ol and metabolites is considered negligible to aquatic media. Therefore it is still less likely the exposure to human to the product of transformation via the drinking water. In any case, the risk may be covered by the assessment of the Biphenyl-2-ol parent compound.

Therefore, additional toxicological information of this "products of transformation" (photolysis) is in principle not required as exposure to human via drinking water is expected to be negligible and risk may be covered from the assessment of parent compound. Nevertheless, it may be reasonable requiring performing an assessment for predicting the relative toxicity by read across from other similar substances in mammals, if enough information from similar substance is available.

#### Oral, dermal and inhalation absorption

A study was conducted in six human volunteers (males) to determine the degree of dermal absorption (Selim 6.2-03). The mean total absorption was 43.19. For the purpose of risk assessment in this dossier 43% dermal absorption of Biphenyl-2-ol through the skin will be applied.

A study was conducted to determine the degree of oral absorption and to obtain information on the metabolism of <sup>14</sup>C-Biphenyl-2-ol in the B6C3F1 mouse (2006) 6.2-02). The mean total absorption for the mice treatment groups, defined as the compound-related radioactivity present in the urine, faeces, tissues and carcass was 95-104% (concentration 25 mg/kg and 1000 mg/kg). For the purpose of risk assessment in this dossier 100% oral absorption of Biphenyl-2-ol will be applied.

No specific study to determine the inhalation absorption of Biphenyl-2-ol is available. For inhalation application of Biphenyl-2-ol 100% absorption is assumed for risk characterization.

#### **Acute toxicity**

The oral acute toxicity was evaluated in the available document Gilbert 6.1.1-01. Under the conditions of this study, the acute oral  $LD_{50}$  of Dowicide 1 Antimicrobial (99.9% Biphenyl-2-ol) for male and female Fischer 344 rats was 2733 mg/kg (2730.3 mg Biphenyl-2-ol/kg), by nonlinear interpolation.

The dermal acute toxicity was evaluated in the available document Bomhard 6.1.2-01. The  $LD_{50}$  values for male and female rats were greater than 2000 mg/kg body weight and were not exactly determined.

The acute inhalation toxicity was evaluated in the available document Landry 6.1.3-01a. The  $LD_{50}$  values for male and female Fischer rats were greater than 36 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (0.036 mg/L) and were not exactly determined because the highest test atmosphere that could be generated was 0.036 mg/L, which is too low to provide an accurate determination (Landry 6.1.3-01b).

#### **Irritation and Corrosivity**

Biphenyl-2-ol is currently classified as Skin Irrit. 2 (H315: Causes skin irritation). The skin irritation was evaluated in the available document Gilbert 6.1.4-01/1981a in New Zealand White rabbits.

Biphenyl-2-ol is currently classified as Eye Irrit. 2 (H319: Causes serious eye irritation). To investigate eye irritation properties of Biphenyl-2-ol a test in the eye of albino rabbit was performed (114-01/1981b).

Based on the weight of evidence from existing information, it can be reasonably concluded that the substance is moderately irritant to the eye and because of its proven irritant effects on mucosa, it can be reasonably assumed that Biphenyl-2-ol is irritating to the airways when inhaled in high concentrations (e.g. pure substance dust) then it is classified as STOT SE 3 (H335: May cause respiratory irritation).

#### Sensitisation

Biphenyl-2-ol was tested for its skin sensitisation potential in Buehler test on Guinea pigs (5.1.5-01/1994b) with Dowicide 1 Antimicrobial (99.9% Biphenyl-2-ol). The animals were in apparent good health and gained weight over the study period. Therefore, under the

conditions of this study, Dowicide 1 Antimicrobial (99.9% Biphenyl-2-ol) did not cause delayed contact hypersensitivity in guinea pigs.

A paper is submitted where Biphenyl-2-ol was tested for its skin sensitisation potential in Magnusson-Kligman test on Guinea pigs (Andersen 6.1.5-02) with Preventol O Extra (Biphenyl-2-ol concentration  $\geq$  99.5 %). No animals were sensitized by Preventol O Extra.

In humans there are some case reports indicating positive patch test reactions in dermatological patients. Important data for humans is available from a volunteer study showing clearly negative results. See below section of "Human Data" and Table 2.2.1.1 1.

The overall conclusion is that biphenyl-2-ol is not skin sensitizer in humans.

#### Repeated dose toxicity

Biphenyl-2-ol was examined in a 21-day dermal study (6.3.2-01a) in Fischer 344 rats, in a 28-day oral study with Dog Beagle (6.3.1-01, 6.5-02), in a 91-day oral study (6.4.1-01a) in male Fischer rats, in a 1-year oral study in dog (6.3.1-01, 6.5-02) and a 2-years oral study in Fischer rats (6.5-01a, 6.7-01a).

The NO(A)EL for dermal exposure in a 21-day dermal study in Fischer rat is 1000 mg/kg bw/day on the basis of the no systemic effects in any dose group.

The NO(A)EL for oral exposure in a 28-day oral study in dog Beagle is 300 mg/kg bw/day on the basis of the no adverse effects in any dose group.

The NO(A)EL for oral exposure in a 91-day oral study in male Fischer is 224 mg/kg/day (4000 ppm) on the basis of the urothelial hyperplasia and the necrotic foci in the bladders in the highest dose.

The NO(A)EL for oral exposure in a 1-year oral study in dog is 300 mg/kg/day on the basis of the no adverse effects in any dose group.

The NO(A)EL for oral exposure in a 2-year oral study in Fischer rats is 39 mg/kg/day on the basis of the increased incidence of simple urinary bladder hyperplasia in males and the increased incidence of urinary bladder transitional cell carcinoma in males.

No specific studies for subchronic and chronic dermal toxicity and for short, subchronic and chronic inhalation toxicity are available

#### Genotoxicity and carcinogenicity

#### Genotoxicity

In-vitro

The results of the Salmonella/Mammalian-Microsome Plate Incorporation Mutagenicity Assay (San 6.6.1-01) indicate that under the conditions of this study, a positive response was not observed with any of the tester strains either in the presence or absence of microsomal enzymes prepared from Aroclor induced rat and hamster liver.

The test substance Preventol O Extra (99.9 % Biphenyl-2-ol) is considered to be non mutagenic in the CHO-HGPRT Forward Mutation Assay, (Brendler 6.6.3-01) both with and without metabolic activation.

Biphenyl-2-ol was clastogenic in Chinese hamster ovary cells at cytotoxic concentrations. In the presence of S9 mix, phenylhydroquinone (metabolite produced from Biphenyl-2-ol) is formed which has a higher cytotoxic and clastogenic potential than Biphenyl-2-ol (6.6.2-01).

In-vivo

Preventol O Extra (99.9 % Biphenyl-2-ol) was evaluated as non-genotoxic in the in vivo comet assay in hepatocytes and kidney cells of male mice (Carcinogenicity) 6.6.5-01).

The carcinogenicity was examined in two combined chronic toxicity/oncogenicity testing studies:

• In the rat Fischer 344 ( 6.5-01a, 6.7-01a), where the urinary bladder showed evidence of a compound-induced neoplasia in the highest doses (male animals only). It was considered border-line at 4000 ppm (200 mg/kg body wt/day) as there was only a marginal and non-statistical increase in both urinary bladder hyperplasia and transitional cell carcinoma when compared to controls or 800-ppm males (39 mg/kg

- body wt/day). Evidence of a compound-induced neoplasia was not observed in female animals at any dose tested.
- In B6C3F1 mice (6.7-02a), where A statistically significant increased incidence of hepatocellular adenomas was observed in male mice of the 500 and 1000 mg/kgBW/day groups (in the middle and high dose groups) . There were no significant increases in tumours in female mice fed Biphenyl-2-ol.

For Biphenyl-2-ol there is convincing evidence that the carcinogenetic effects shown in rodents are threshold effects with an indirect and non-genotoxic mechanism and tumours observed in rodent species (liver tumours in mice and bladder tumours in rats) are not predictive of carcinogenicity for humans due to proven species differences. Based on the criteria for classification of Directive 2001/59/EC, liver tumours in sensitive strain of mice are not of relevance for classification.

In the WG and in the ad hoc follow up process for discussing the AF is was discussed the relevant of tumours for humans. The no relevant of the liver tumours in mice was agreed. The bladder tumour observed in male rats has been discussed in deep in Doc IIA and considering the special studies related with the use of biphenol-2-ol in alkaline conditions There are evidences suggesting that these tumours in male rats are not relevant to human as the MOA is related with special sensitivity to alkalinisation in male rat bladder. However three ad hoc follow-up participants considered that the mechanisms of bladder tumour formation is not completely known and the relevance of these tumours for humans cannot be completely excluded. Therefore, biphenyl-2-ol may be classified as carcinogen Cat 2.

#### Reproductive and developmental toxicity

The teratogenicity of the Biphenyl-2-ol is examined in two studies:

- (1) in Wistar rats ( 6.8.1- 01)
- (2) in New Zealand White rabbits ( 6.8.1-02).

The relevant NOAEL for maternal toxicity adopted was 100 mg/kg bw/day on the basis of the increased mortality (13%) in New Zealand White rabbits, gross pathologic alterations (ulceration and haemorrhage of the gastric mucosa, haemolysed blood in the intestinal tract and decreased ingesta) and histopathologic alterations (renal tubular degeneration and inflammation). The relevant NOAEL for teratogenic toxicity adopted was 250 mg/kg bw/day (the highest assayed dose).on the basis of no adverse embryonal/fetal effects were observed at any dose level tested in New Zealand White rabbits

Two two-generation studies examined the impact of Biphenyl-2-ol in fertility in Sprague-6.8.2-02a and 6.8.2-01). The NOAEL for parental toxicity in Dawley rats ( rats is 35 mg/kg bw/d in males and females, based on the incidence of urothelial hyperplasia and calculi in the kidney and/or urinary bladder was increased in male rats. The NOAEL for development (F1) is 457 mg/kg bw/d in males and females, based on no adverse effects in any dose group

## **Neurotoxicity**

Biphenyl-2-ol does not belong to a class of compounds for which a neurotoxic potential can be expected. In addition the available toxicity studies gave no indication of any relevant neurotoxic potential of the compound.

#### **Human data**

A short report entitled "Occupational medical experiences with Biphenyl-2-ol" is submitted (Heyne 6.12.1-01; no GLP). Occupational medical surveillance of workers exposed to Biphenyl-2-ol, performed every 3 years on a routine basis. The workers have been in the production of Biphenyl-2-ol in average for 13,9 years. During this period accidents with Biphenyl-2-ol or unwanted contamination with Biphenyl-2-ol haven't been recorded and consultations of the Medical Department due to work or contact with Biphenyl-2-ol haven't been required. The Phenol-levels in urine have always been far below German biological tolerance level of 200 mg/L (formerly 300 mg/L). Biphenyl-2-ol did not reveal any unwanted effects in the workers. Especially no sensitization of airways or skin to Biphenyl-2-ol has occurred. The examinations have included the above laboratory parameters as well as clinical and technical examinations. 9

A short communication is submitted (Adams 6.12.6-01) where it is described two cases of allergic contact dermatitis due to occupational contact with Biphenyl-2-ol containing products. In both patients the dermatitis was extensive and severe. In the case 1, a 34-year-old medical laboratory assistant applied a common over-the-counter "medicated" cream to various parts of his body for "dry skin". Patch testing with the cream and Biphenyl-2-ol in 0.5% and 1% concentrations showed strong positive reactions at 72 h. In the case 2, a 57-year-old male machinist had experienced a recurring dermatitis on the hands, arms, trunk, thighs and feet for 25 years. A patch testing revealed a positive reaction to 1% o-Pheny1phenol in petrolatum, and a positive "provocative use test" from a suspected coolant which contained this preservative.

A short communication is submitted (Van Hecke 6.12.6-02) where it is described a case of allergic contact dermatitis due to occupational contact with Biphenyl-2-ol containing products. A 24-year-old machinist had had dermatitis of the hands for 10 months due to a coolant and a cleanser.

A paper is submitted (Schnuch 6.12.6-03) where it is examined the role of different preservatives in a large number of patients with suspected allergic contact dermatitis. Patch test data and data from the patients' history were collected from the 24 departments participating in the Information Network of Departments of Dermatology from 1 January 1990 to 31 December 1994. Patch test data from 28349 patients tested with preservatives of the standard series (SS), from 11485 patients tested additionally with a preservative series (PS), and from 1787 patients tested with an industrial biocide tray (IB) were evaluated. Nine of 24 centers applied patch tests for 24 h, the remainder (15 of 24) for 48 h. Readings were done at 72 h after application of the test chambers. The PS and IB contained Biphenyl-2-ol at a concentration of 1% in petrolatum. Of 11418 subjects tested, 59 showed an irritant or questionable result, 33 (0.3%) were positive in PS. Of 1785 subjects tested, 5 showed an irritant or questionable result, 5 (0.4%) were positive in IB.

A paper is submitted (Brasch 6.12.6-05) where the main purpose was to identify the most frequent contact allergens and reconsider the test concentrations. This study is a retrospective evaluation of patch test results with medical antimicrobials and preservatives, performed by eight centres of the IVDK (Informations verb und Dermatolocischer Kliniken) from 1989 to 1991. It was evaluated the patch test results and questionnaires of 2059 patients tested with a preliminary series of medical antimicrobials and preservatives where Biphenyl-2-ol was included. This series was tested in patients clinically suspected to suffer from contact allergy to preservatives. Of 2043 subjects tested with Biphenyl-2-ol (at a concentration of 1% in petrolatum), 6 showed a medium positive reaction, 8 an equivocal reaction and one an irritant reaction.

A paper is submitted (Geier 6.12.6-04) where 1132 patients were patch tested with a variety of "antiseptics/industrial chemicals". Biphenyl-2-ol was one of the test compounds. Biphenyl-2-ol was applied as a 1% solution in petrolatum. Of 1131 patients tested with Biphenyl-2-ol, 5 individuals (0.4%) showed positive reactions. One individual showed ambiguous results.

Other no critic studies with complementary information which does not contradict the results of the key studies are included in the next table.

Table 2.2.1.1-1: Effects of Biphenyl-2-ol in Humans

Doc IIIA Section No.	Туре	Description	Results	Reference
6.12.1 Key study	Surveillance of manufacturing plant personnel	Medical surveillance of personnel involved in Biphenyl-2-ol production No. of workers exposed: 73 (2 ♀, 71 ♂) in average 13.9 years of medical supervision	No adverse effects. No airway or skin sensitisation towards Biphenyl-2-ol has occurred.	Heyne 6.12.1 (01)
6.12.6 Key study	Clinical cases	Two cases of allergic contact dermatitis due to occupational contact with Biphenyl-2-ol	allergic contact dermatitis in both cases due to Biphenyl-2-ol	Adams 6.12.6 (01)

Table 2.2.1.1-1: Effects of Biphenyl-2-ol in Humans

Table 2.2.1.1-1: Effects of Biphenyl-2-ol in Humans				
Doc IIIA Section No.	Туре	Description	Results	Reference
		containing products (1) germicidal agent (2) coolant		
6.12.6 Key study	Clinical case	One case of sensitivity to Biphenyl-2-ol due to occupational contact to a coolant containing Biphenyl-2-ol	Contact sensitivity to Biphenyl-2-ol in a coolant	Van Hecke 6.12.6 (02)
6.12.6 Key study	Multi-centre study	Patch tests on patients with suspected contact dermatitis. 11485 patients were tested additionally with a preservative series (PS) and 1785 were tested with an industrial biocide tray (IB). Occupational exposure was suspected in 17% of the cases	59 of 11418: irritative or questionable result in PS 33 of 11418: positive reaction in PS 5 of 1785: irritative or questionable result in IB 7 of 1785: positive reaction in IB	Schnuch 6.12.6 (03)
6.12.6 Key study	Study	retrospective study patch tests 1 % Biphenyl-2-ol was applied	6 of 2043: medium positive reaction 8 of 2043: equivocal reaction 1 of 2043: irritant reaction	Brasch 6.12.6 (05)
6.12.6 Key study	epidemiological study	1132 patients were patch tested with a variety of "antiseptics/industrial chemicals". Biphenyl-2-ol was one of the test compounds.	Of 1131 patients tested with Biphenyl-2-ol, 5 individuals (0.4%) showed positive reactions. One individual showed ambiguous results	Geier 6.12.6 (04)
6.12.6	Epidemiological study	Epidemiological study on metal workers. Patch tests with 1% Biphenyl-2-ol. 40 workers were tested. 39 of them presented with dermatitis of hands and/or forearms. 5 had incidences of dermatitis in the past.	Biphenyl-2-ol was not a contact allergen in any of the cases.	De Boer 6.12.6 (08)
6.12.6	epidemiological study	Epidemiological study on 424 metalworkers who were exposed to metal working fluid. Patch tests with 1% Biphenyl-2-ol on 277 patients.	2 of 277: positive reaction	Uter 6.12.6 (06)
6.12.1	Surveillance of manufacturing plant personnel	Regular medical examination and urine biomonitoring.	Medicinal surveillance and biomonitoring did not reveal findings of concern.	6.12.1 (02)

#### Other/special studies

A paper is submitted (Fukushima 6.10-01/AIII 6.10-1) where the effects of sodium biphenyl-2-olate (OPP-Na) and Biphenyl-2-ol on two-stage urinary bladder carcinogenesis in male F344 rats initiated with *N*-butyl-*N*-(4-hydroxybutyl)nitrosamine (BBN) were investigated. OPP-Na acts as a tumour promoter in the urinary bladder following initiation by BBN. OPP-Na alone also induced tumour formation in the urinary bladder and can therefore be considered a weak initiator in the two-stage model of carcinogenesis and a complete carcinogen. Biphenyl-2-ol had no significant tumour-promoting or initiating effects. The increase in urinary pH caused by OPP-Na but not by Biphenyl-2-ol might cause the difference in the carcinogenic potential of the two compounds.

A paper is submitted (Fujii 6.10-03/ AIII 6.10-2) where the effects of an alkalizer or an acidifier on bladder carcinogenesis induced by Biphenyl-2-ol or OPP-Na were examined. The results indicate that the administration of an alkalizer enhanced the carcinogenicity of Biphenyl-2-ol and the administration of an acidifier inhibited the carcinogenicity of OPP-Na to the rat urinary bladder. This suggests that the earlier finding that OPP-Na was more carcinogenic than Biphenyl-2-ol resulted from the higher alkalinity of OPP-Na.

A study is submitted (6.10-15/ AIII 6.10-3; no guideline; no GLP) where the possible role of prostaglandin-H-synthase (PGHS) in Biphenyl-2-ol-induced bladder tumour formation is investigated. Biphenyl-2-ol and phenylhydroquinone (PHQ) stimulate cyclooxygenase activity and are oxidised by PGHS. Biphenyl-2-ol, PHQ and 2-phenyl-1,4-benzo-quinone (PBQ) inhibit PGHS at higher concentrations.

Other no critic studies with complementary information which does not contradict the results of the key studies are included in the Table 2.2.1.1-2.

These effects of concern observed with Na/K salts (or Biphenyl-2-ol in alkaline condition) should be considered in the evaluation of the hazard and risk of products formulated or used in dilution in alkaline conditions.

Table 2.2.1.1-2: Other/special studies with Biphenyl-2-ol

Type of study	Dosage	Results	Reference
32-week, dietary, rats Key study	20000 ppm, with and without tumour initiator ad libitum	Biphenyl-2-ol had no significant tumour-promoting or -initiating effects in the urinary bladder.	6.10 (01)/AIII 6.10 (1)
26-week, dietary, rats Key study	12500 ppm, with/without NaHCO <sub>3</sub> ad libitum	Urinary bladder tumourigenesis of Biphenyl-2-ol is enhanced by NaHCO <sub>3</sub> .	6.10 (03)/ AIII 6.10 (2)
In-vitro interaction with PGHS Key study	Biphenyl-2-ol, PHQ, PBQ: 100 μΜ	Biphenyl-2-ol and PHQ stimulate cyclooxygenase activity and are oxidised by PGHS.Biphenyl-2-ol, PHQ and PBQ inhibit PGHS at higher concentrations.	Freyberger 6.10 (15)/ AIII 6.10 (3)
32-week, dietary, rats	12,500 ppm, with varying amounts of NaHCO <sub>3</sub> ad libitum	Morphological changes of the bladder epithelium, correlating with increased urinary pH.	6.10 (01)
32-week, dietary, rats	20,000 ppm, ad libitum	Reduced urinary osmolality. Increased pH and Na <sup>+</sup> correlate with tumourigenesis.	6.10 (04)

Table 2.2.1.1-2: Other/special studies with Biphenyl-2-ol

Type of study	Dosage	Results	Reference
12-week, dietary, rats	0, 2500, 5000, 10,000, 20,000 ppm, ad libitum	At 20,000 ppm: morphological changes of the bladder luminal surface evident by SEM	6.10 (02)
90-day, dietary + acute DNA- binding study in rats	90-day study: Biphenyl-2-ol, sodium biphenyl-2- olate: 2% in diet  Acute assay: Biphenyl-2-ol, sodium biphenyl-2- olate: 500 mg/kg	sodium biphenyl-2-olate , but not Biphenyl-2-ol, caused regenerative hyperplasia of the urinary bladder. Biphenyl-2-oltreated rats revealed renal damage. No interactions with DNA could be demonstrated for either compound.	6.10 (06)
8-week, dietary, rats	Biphenyl-2-ol: 1.25% with or without NaHCO <sub>3</sub>	Males are more sensitive to Biphenyl-2-ol than females under alkalinuric conditions with respect to bladder hyperplasia.	6.10 (07)
	sodium biphenyl-2- olate: 2% with or without NH <sub>4</sub> Cl		
1-week, dietary, rats	Biphenyl-2-ol, sodium biphenyl-2- olate: 0.1- 2.0%	Biphenyl-2-ol and sodium biphenyl-2-olate caused a dose- dependent increase in agglutinability of bladder epithelial cells by Con A which is an indication for carcinogenic potential.	6.10 (08)
Acute oral, rat	Biphenyl-2-ol, PHQ, PBQ: 700, 1400 mg/kg bw, single oral gavage, with or without inhibition of GSH synthesis	Biphenyl-2-ol treatment led to GSH depletion and eosinophilic degeneration of centrilobular hepatocytes. Inhibition of GSH synthesis aggravated hepatotoxicity of Biphenyl-2-ol.	6.10 (09)
Cytotoxicity test in primary rat hepato- cytes	Biphenyl-2-ol, PHQ: 0-1 mM	Biphenyl-2-ol cytotoxicity is enhanced by monooxygenase inhibition and GSH depletion. PHQ-induced cell death can be inhibited by sulfhydryl compounds.	6.10 (10)
In-vitro and in-vivo macro-	<sup>14</sup> C-Biphenyl- 2-ol: 1 μCi In vivo:	A non-linear increase in macromolecular binding of Biphenyl-2-ol and sodium	6.10 (11)

Table 2.2.1.1-2: Other/special studies with Biphenyl-2-ol

Type of study	Dosage	Results	Reference
molecular binding assay	Biphenyl-2-ol, sodium biphenyl-2- olate: 50-500 mg/kg, oral gavage, 16-18 h	biphenyl-2-olate was observed in vivo and in vitro. This may be caused by the saturation of detoxification pathways.	
In-vitro metabolism of Biphenyl-2- ol	Biphenyl-2-ol: 1-100 μM	Biphenyl-2-ol is oxidised to PHQ and PHQ is oxidised to PBQ by cytochrome P-450. PBQ is reduced back to PHQ by cytochrome P-450 reductase (redox cycling).	Roy 6.10 (12)
In-vivo assay of DNA synthesis in bladder	Biphenyl-2-ol, sodium biphenyl-2- olate: 2% in diet; 4–24 weeks	Biphenyl-2-ol and sodium biphenyl-2-olate cause a proliferative response in renal pelvis and papilla when given at a dietary level of 2%.	6.10 (13)
In-vitro and in-vivo GSH conjugation	In-vitro study: 79 µg/mL In-vivo study: 1000 mg/kg, single oral dose	PHQ-GSH is excreted via the bile after Biphenyl-2-ol administration to rats. In vitro, PHQ-GSH can be formed non-enzymatically from PBQ and GSH or enzymatically from Biphenyl-2-ol and GSH.	6.10 (14)
In-vivo assay of DNA and protein adducts in rats	0, 15, 50, 125, 250, 500, 1000 mg/kg Biphenyl-2-ol, single oral gavage	Biphenyl-2-ol or its metabolites form protein, but not DNA, adducts in urinary bladder tissue.	6.10 (16)
Ten-week feeding study in rats	Biphenyl-2-ol: 1.25% in diet sodium biphenyl-2- olate: 2.0% in diet 10 weeks	Biphenyl-2-ol and sodium biphenyl-2-olate caused urothelial hyperplasia in rats as evident by histology and increased cell proliferation.	6.10 (17)
7 and 14 days feeding study in male B6C3F1 mice	0, 500, and 1000 mg/kg/day Biphenyl-2-ol in the diet for 7 and 14 days	The results indicate that Biphenyl-2-ol may be an agonist ligand for PPARa.	OPP_TOX_chronMaus_PPAR tumors_REPORT_2009-10

#### 2.2.1.2. Effects assessment

The AELs were set as follows:

	Critical Study	Critical NOAEL	Assessment factor	AEL
Short exposure	teratogenicity oral study in New Zealand White rabbits	100 mg/kg bw/day	100	1 mg/kg bw/day
Mid exposure	2-years oral study	39 mg/kg/day for males	100	0.4 mg/kg bw/day
Long exposure	2-years oral study	39 mg/kg/day for males	100	0.4 mg/kg bw/day

Reasons for establishing critical endpoints

The acute AEL for risk characterization was deduced from a teratogenicity oral study in New Zealand White rabbits (6.8.1-02). The relevant NOAEL for maternal toxicity adopted was 100 mg/kg bw/day on the basis of the increased mortality (13%), gross pathologic alterations and histopathologic alterations. Therefore, considering an assessment factor of 100, an AELacute of 1 mg/kg bw/day was calculated.

For mid and long term exposure, an Acceptable Exposure Level (AEL) value for repeated use is deduced from the NO(A)EL for chronic oral exposure in a 2-years oral study (6.5-01a, 6.7-01a). The NOAEL is 39 mg/kg/day on the basis of the increased incidence of simple urinary bladder hyperplasia in males and the increased incidence of urinary bladder transitional cell carcinoma in males. An AF=100 was established after a follow up discussion (See comment below). Therefore, considering an assessment factor of 100, an AELmedium and AELlong of 0.39 mg/kg bw/day was calculated.

Conclusion of the follow up discussion for establishing AF

In the combined chronic toxicity and carcinogenicity study of the transitional cell carcinoma occurred in rats treated with biphenyl-2-ol at 200 mg/kg bw/d, while the same effect was reported in rats at 270 mg/kg bw/d after life span administration of sodium biphenylate (1985). The NOAEL of 39 mg/kg bw/d from study, to be used for the derivation of the reference values, would be 5-fold lower than the LOAEL of 200 mg/kg bw/d for transitional cell carcinoma. Overall, the rat seemed to be the most sensitive species, since the administration of biphenyl-2-ol to mice and dogs did not lead to adverse effects in the urinary bladder, and male rats appeared to be more susceptible to bladder tumours than the female rats. The male rat is in general considered much more susceptible to bladder changes including tumours related to local effects than other animal species and humans.

Three ad hoc follow-up participants considered that the mechanisms of bladder tumour formation is not completely known and the relevance of these tumours for humans cannot be excluded, therefore they proposed a margin of safety of 1000 from the LOAEL of 200 mg/kg bw/d, that would result in an additional assessment factor of 2.

However, given the bladder tumours species sensitivity, five participants agreed that an assessment factor of 100 applied to the conservative NOAEL of 39 mg/Kg bw/d would provide an adequate margin of safety for humans.

The eCA supported the majority view and an AF of 100 is applied.

The AELlong-term and AELmedium-term are rounded to 0.4 mg/kg bw/d

End points for Local effect assessment

For local effects, the NOAEC for short exposure is 7.5% on the basis of irritation effect of the assay dosing in the Screen Phase of the guinea pig sensitization study (6.1.5-01/1994b). An additional Assessment Factor (AF) is applied for deriving AEC for short exposure from a LOAEC. A AF of 10 (10 for intraspecies variability) is applied.

No NOAEC/LOAEC/AEC may be deduced for medium or long term exposure.

#### Conclusion of classification for carcinogenicity

There are evidences suggesting that these tumours in male rats are not relevant to human as the MOA is related with special sensitivity to alkalinisation in male rat bladder. However, the mechanisms of bladder tumour formation is not completely known and the relevance of these tumours for humans cannot be completely excluded. Therefore, biphenyl-2-ol may be classified as carcinogen Cat 2

#### 2.2.1.3. Exposure assessment

The human exposure assessment towards the active substance, Biphenyl-2-ol or Fumispore OPP (Biphenyl-2-ol Smoke Generator) as biocidal group Product-type 4 (food and feed area disinfectant) has been carried out considering the foreseen uses by the Applicant.

Fumispore OPP (Biphenyl-2-ol Smoke Generator) is a product for surface disinfection by smoke generation. The Biphenyl-2-ol representative formulation is presented as a 20% w/w concentration. Recommended application rate is 80 mg Biphenyl-2-ol/m³ air for the preventive dose and 160 mg Biphenyl-2-ol/m³ air for the curative dose (max.).

Professional exposure is considered for specialised disinfectors which provide cleaning services in the food industry which might be exposed on a long-term basis.

Non professional use is not envisaged.

Employees might be exposed when re-entering the premises after treatment via the inhalatory route. The secondary exposure scenario "consumer exposure via food" addresses the transfer of Biphenyl-2-ol residues from disinfected surfaces to food or feed prepared in the site and finally ingested by consumers (directly or by eating meat or edible offal from animals consuming feed containing Biphenyl-2-ol residues). A preliminary dietary exposure assessment is presented assuming a value for residues in surfaces after rinse, 0.2 m² surface area in contact with food, and 100% transference of residues from surface to food in contact.

The assessment of human exposure was performed according to the TNsG on Human Exposure to Biocidal Products (2002, 2007, taking into account User Guidance to report 2002).

# Human exposure assessment for professional users

The application consists in activating smoke generator units and in observing the recommended contact time (between 4 and 15 hours) after which the operator collects the used smoke tins. For the purpose of the professional exposure assessment data from a field study is used.

# Indirect exposure as results of use

Exposure of workers re-entering the premises is assessed using data from a field study.

#### Conclusion

It is concluded that under normal conditions of use the indirect exposure to Biphenyl-2-ol used for disinfection of food/feed areas does not pose a health risk to consumers provided that the following conditions are met:

# • Instruction of use must clearly specify the following conditions:

- Prior to application, all elements not subjected to disinfection have to be removed from the room; openings and possible leaks have to be blocked and ventilation has to be switched off.
- Fumigation is performed in closed premises free from presence of humans, animals, plants or food.
- Fumigation cannot be done in the presence of unwrapped or packed foods and uncovered tanks of process water or liquid food products.
- The level of the minimal efficiency of the ventilation system.
- Instructions for performing rinse after treatment and monitoring rinse efficiency.

It must be noted that decomposition products of Biphenyl-2-ol may be formed during the combustion stage after ignition of the cans. These by products should be identified and human exposure to should be addressed.

#### 2.2.1.4. Risk characterisation

The exposure for professional users is considered to be within the acceptable range.

Chronic Exposure Scenario	Exposure Adults (mg/kg bw/[d])	AEL (mg/kg bw/[d])	Exposure % AEL		
Specialised disinfectors providing cleaning services, application of daily curative dose (160 mg Biphenyl-2-ol/m³): activating smoke generator units and collecting used tins after recommended contact time, Tier 1					
Inhalation	9.56E-02	0.4	24		
Dermal*	0.019866	0.4	5		
Total	0.11549	0.4	29		
* gloves required when collecting used units					
Chronic Exposure Scenario	Exposure Adults (mg/kg bw/[d])	AEL (mg/kg bw/[d])	Exposure % AEL		
	(mg/kg bw/[d]) rs providing cleaning s yl-2-ol/m³): activating	(mg/kg bw/[d]) services, application g smoke generator ur	% AEL of daily curative		
Scenario Specialised disinfector dose (160 mg Biphen	(mg/kg bw/[d]) rs providing cleaning s yl-2-ol/m³): activating	(mg/kg bw/[d]) services, application g smoke generator ur	% AEL of daily curative		
Scenario Specialised disinfector dose (160 mg Biphen used tins after recomm	(mg/kg bw/[d]) rs providing cleaning s yl-2-ol/m³): activating mended contact time,	(mg/kg bw/[d]) services, application g smoke generator un Tier 2	% AEL of daily curative nits and collecting		
Scenario  Specialised disinfector dose (160 mg Biphen used tins after recommendation*	(mg/kg bw/[d]) rs providing cleaning s yl-2-ol/m³): activating mended contact time, 9.56E-03	(mg/kg bw/[d]) services, application of smoke generator under Tier 2  0.4	% AEL of daily curative nits and collecting 2.4		

The results also indicate an acceptable risk for the professional (chronic) indirect exposure to

Fumispore OPP (Biphenyl-2-ol Smoke Generator).

Chronic Exposure Scenario	Exposure Adults (mg/kg bw/[d])	AEL (mg/kg bw/[d])	Exposure % AEL		
Professionals indirect exposure at re-entry after disinfection, (160 mg Biphenyl-2-ol/m $^3$ ), Tier 1					
Inhalation*	0.03	0.4	7.5		
Dermal	-	0.4	-		
Total	0.03	0.4	7.5		
* At 0.18 mg/m³ Biphen	* At 0.18 mg/m³ Biphenyl-2-ol in air (study report)				

#### Consumer exposure via food

A preliminary dietary exposure assessment is presented, based on guidance that is not agreed at the time of the assessment. The conclusion is that adequate rinse procedures after treatment must be in place to meet the safety standards.

- Rinse procedures after treatment are mandatory to reduce residue levels on food surfaces to acceptable levels.
- The rinse procedure after treatment has yet to be clearly specified by the Applicant and included in label instructions for use.
- The biocidal product label should provide instructions for monitoring rinsing

In the event the b.p. Fumispore Biphenyl-2-ol is applied to feed areas for disinfection and given the fact that most of orally applied Biphenyl-2-ol is excreted by rats within 24 days post dosing as well as the metabolic profile of Biphenyl-2-ol in lactating goat (study report B.6.7.1.1 submitted for PT3 uses), it is safely assumed that Biphenyl-2-ol metabolism in pigs follows a similar pattern<sup>2</sup>, and it is concluded that Biphenyl-2-ol does not accumulate in tissues of mammals (ruminants).

Thus Biphenyl-2-ol exposure of consumers via meat or edible offal from ruminants (as results of the application of Fumispore Biphenyl-2-ol for disinfection in areas where feed is prepared/stored) can be excluded.

In any case, a full dietary risk assessment on agreed guidance might have to be performed at product authorisation stage.

#### 2.2.2. Environmental Risk Assessment

#### 2.2.2.1. Fate and distribution in the environment

Considering the hydrolytic stability determined under stringent temperature conditions and at different pH values, it is not expected that hydrolytic processes will contribute to the degradation of Biphenyl-2-ol in the aquatic systems (estimated  $DT_{50} > 1$  year).

Biphenyl-2-ol is rapidly photodegraded in sterile aqueous 0.01 M phosphate buffer

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Plan Protection Products Guidance Documents APPENDIX F METABOLISM AND DISTRIBUTION IN DOMESTIC ANIMALS <a href="http://ec.europa.eu/food/plant/resources/publications en.htm">http://ec.europa.eu/food/plant/resources/publications en.htm</a>

(experimental  $DT_{50} = 0.3$  days). Diketohydroxy-compound (maximum 13.6% AR) and benzoic acid (maximum 7.9% AR) were identified as the major transformation products, other 3 unidentified compounds were found to have a maximum between 1% and 10% of the AR. Innumerable minor phototransformation products (each < 1% AR) were formed. All transformation products occurred transiently and decreased to amounts of < 5% AR at the end of the study. In all cases the QSAR estimates were indicative of a significant potential for rapid degradation in the environment.

The tropospheric half-life of Biphenyl-2-ol was estimated using the AOPWIN program (v. 1.91, 2000). Using a mean daily OH concentration in air of  $0.5 \times 10^6$  OH radicals per cm<sup>3</sup>, a half-life in air of 0.59 days was assessed - corresponding to a chemical life-time in air of about 0.85 days - due to indirect photodegradation. It is not to be expected that it can be carried in the gaseous phase over long distances or can accumulate in air. Furthermore, Biphenyl-2-ol has a low vapour pressure.

Biphenyl-2-ol is concluded to be readily biodegradable (71-76% after 28 days and 100% after 16 days, respectively). Moreover, high overall removal rates in activated sludge wastewater treatment plants of 99 to 100% (complete mineralization) were observed in a monitoring study conducted by Körner et al. (2000) in a municipal sewage plant Steinhäule located on the Danube River in southern Germany. Several studies in different municipal sewage plants presented by the applicant (Ternes et al. (1998), and Lee et al. (2005)) confirm the data from Körner, and a value of 99% elimination efficiency is used in the Tier 2 approach for the risk assessment.

The simple first order  $DT_{50}$  value of Biphenyl-2-ol in the test soil was 1 day ( $DT_{50}$  2.7 hours) providing an appropriate margin of safety. The  $DT_{50}$  has been re-calculated considering a biphasic approach. A DT<sub>50</sub> default value in soil of 30 days (according to the TGD for Risk Assessment Chapter 3, Table 8) is considered to be as worst case for the risk assessment and a  $DT_{50}$  of 15.08 days as a refinement.

Based on two reliable adsorption/desorption studies and the results obtained in the soil degradation study, no potential for translocation into deeper soil layers or even ground water is given.  $K_{oc}$  values were 346.7 in the HPLC screening test and 252-392 in the adsorption/desorption (batch equilibrium) study. Based on a classifications Koc value of 347 L·kg<sup>-1</sup>, Biphenyl-2-ol can be classified as a moderately mobile substance.

Although a log Pow of 3.18 was determined, no indication for a possible bioaccumulative potential of Biphenyl-2-ol is given due to a calculated steady-state bioconcentration factor (BCF) of 21.7 (wet weight), 114-115 (lipid content). Taking into consideration these low bioconcentration factors and the low computed concentrations in surface water, a significant food chain concern does not exist.

#### 2.2.2.2. Effects assessment

#### STP compartment

According to TGD for Risk Assessment (EC, 2003), and taking into account the test available with aquatic micro-organisms (according to OECD 209 with activated sludge,  $EC_{50} = 56$  mg Biphenyl-2-ol·L-1), an assessment factor of 100 can be applied. Thus, a PNEC<sub>microorganisms</sub> of 0.56 mg a.i./L is derived.

#### **Surface water compartment**

The toxicity of Biphenyl-2-ol to aquatic organisms is well documented by acute and long-term studies. Three chronic NOEC values for the three trophic levels of the base set (fish, Daphnia, algae) are available for the aquatic compartment resulting in NOECs of 0.036 mg a.i./L (Pimephales promelas), 0.006 mg a.i./L (Daphnia magna) and 0.468 mg a.i./L (*Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata*). A sediment-water chironomid toxicity test using spiked water is available with *Chironomus riparius* with a NOEC of 1.85 mg a.i./L. Since concentrations declined during the test (34-55% present in the water phase after 7 days), initial concentrations in water are not adequate to express the NOEC.

The lowest NOEC value ( $Daphnia\ magna$ ) of 0.006 mg a.s./L is considered for the PNEC calculation. Since long-term NOECs are available for all three trophic levels, an assessment factor of 10 was applied to the lowest long-term NOEC value. The PNEC<sub>water</sub> was thus calculated to be 0.0006 mg a.i./L.

#### **Sediment**

In two preliminary range finding test (non-GLP) with spiked sediment and spiked water, it was found that the test organisms exposed to spiked water were affected at considerably lower concentrations than the larvae exposed to spiked sediment, with a NOEC of 1.85 mg/L expressed as a concentration in water.

However, it is not agreed to use the NOEC for *C. riparius* because this NOEC is expressed on the basis of initial concentrations in the water phase and, actual concentrations during the 28-days were much lower because of distribution to sediment. For this reason, the equilibrium partitioning on the PNEC<sub>water</sub> has been used. For this, the Foc in suspended matter (0.1) should be used instead of the Foc sediment resulting in a PNEC<sub>sediment</sub> of 0.0049 mg/kg<sub>wwt</sub> (0.02254 mg/kg<sub>dwt</sub>).

```
\begin{array}{lll} \text{PNEC}_{\text{sed}} & = (K_{\text{susp-water}}/\text{RHO}_{\text{susp}}) * \text{PNEC}_{\text{water}} * 1000 & \text{(page 113 of TGD)} \\ K_{\text{susp-water}} & = \text{Fwater}_{\text{susp}} + (\text{Fsolid}_{\text{susp}} * (Kp_{\text{susp}}/1000) * \text{RHO}_{\text{solid}}) & \text{(page 47 of TGD)} \\ & = 0.9 + (0.1 * (34.7/1000) * 2500) = 9.575 \text{ m}^3/\text{m}^3 & \\ \text{PNEC}_{\text{sed}} & = (9.575/1150) * 0.0006 * 1000 = 0.0049 \text{ mg/kg} \\ \text{PNEC}_{\text{sed}} & = 0.0049 \text{ mg/kg Biphenyl-2-ol/kg wet sediment} & \\ \end{array}
```

# **Terrestrial compartment**

For the effects assessment of the soil, compartment tests are available for three trophic levels (terrestrial microorganisms, earthworms, and plants):

- Terrestrial microorganisms (C- and N-cycle):

$$EC_{50}$$
 (28 days) = 633.5 mg a.s.·kg<sub>dw</sub><sup>-1</sup> soil

- Earthworms (Eisenia fetida):

$$LC_{50}$$
 (14 days) = 198.2 mg a.i.·kg<sup>-1</sup> soil  
NOEC (14 days) = 125 mg a.i.·kg<sub>dw</sub><sup>-1</sup> soil

- Terrestrial plants (Avena sativa):

```
LC_{50} (14 days) = 53.9 mg a.i.·kg<sup>-1</sup> soil

NOEC (14 days) = 12.5 mg a.i.·kg<sub>dw</sub><sup>-1</sup> soil
```

The lowest result was obtained in the study with plants. A PNEC<sub>soil</sub> was calculated on basis of the lowest LC<sub>50</sub> of three trophic levels using an assessment factor of 1000 (TGD, Table 20).

```
PNEC<sub>soil</sub> = 53.9 mg Biphenyl-2-ol·kg<sup>-1</sup> dry weight soil·10^{-3}
= 0.054 mg Biphenyl-2-ol·kg<sup>-1</sup> dry weight soil
= 0.054 * 1.13
PNEC<sub>soil</sub> = 0.061 mg Biphenyl-2-ol·kg<sup>-1</sup> wet weight soil
```

# Non-compartment specific effects relevant to the food chain(secondary poisoning)

A flow-through study was conducted to evaluate the bioconcentration of Biphenyl-2-ol in zebra fish (*Danio rerio*). The arithmetic means of five consecutive steady-state BCF were 21.7 (wet weight), 114-115 (lipid content), indicating a negligible potential of the test substance to bioaccumulate. The achievement of steady-state conditions during the uptake (53 h exposure) phase as well as the consecutive depuration (19 h) were rapid processes.

A risk due to the proposed uses of Biphenyl-2-ol can be ruled out, since these data show that Biphenyl-2-ol does not accumulate in the environment. There is no need to assess this exposure route further.

The summary of ecotoxicity data used for the risk assessment are summarised in the Table 2.2.2.2-1.

Table 2.2.2.2-1: Summary of toxicity data used for the risk assessment

Species	Endpoint /Type of test	Results [mg a.i./L]
Oncorhynchus mykiss	Fish acute 96 h - LC <sub>50</sub> Mortality	4
Daphnia magna	Aquatic invertebrates acute 48 h - LC <sub>50</sub> Mortality	2.7
Pseudo-kirchneriella subcapitata	Algae growth inhibition 72 h – NOEC Growth inhibition	0.468
Activated sludge	Microorganisms 3 h - respiration inhibition	56
Pimephales promelas (Fathead minnow)	Fish chronic 21 d - NOEC Reproduction (Egg hatch F1) 21 d - LOEC Reproduction (Egg hatch F1)	36 293
Daphnia magna	Aquatic invertebrates chronic 21 d - NOEC Reproduction	0.006
Avena sativa	14 d – EC <sub>50</sub> Germination rate, mortality and phytotoxicity	53.9
Eisenia fetida	Earthworms 14 d -LC <sub>50</sub> Mortality, weight, abnormal behaviour	198.2
Soil microorganisms	28 d - EC <sub>50</sub> nitrification	633.5
Mallard duck	Birds 14 d – LC <sub>50</sub>	>2250
Mallard duck	Birds 5 d – LD <sub>50</sub>	>5620
Rat Fischer 344	Mammals acute LD <sub>50</sub> 1 dose + 2 weeks of observation	2733 mg/kg
Beagle Dogs	Mammals chronic NOAEL 1 year	300 mg/kg/day

# 2.2.2.3. PBT and POP assessment

#### Assessment of PBT criteria

Biphenyl-2-ol can be considered readily biodegradable. Monitoring and laboratory studies have

also shown that Biphenyl-2-ol is easily removed in STP systems. Based on literature studies, Biphenyl-2-ol is also not persistent water-sediment systems, and a soil biodegradation study also has shown that Biphenyl-2-ol is removed either by sorption or by biodegradation process. Considering the hydrolytic stability determined under stringent temperature conditions and at different pH values it is not expected that hydrolytic processes will contribute to the degradation of Biphenyl-2-ol in the aquatic systems (estimated  $DT_{50} > 1$  year), however, from the photolysis study in water, it has been shown that Biphenyl-2-ol is photolytically unstable in the aqueous medium. Therefore, it is unlikely that Biphenyl-2-ol persists in the water, sediment or soil compartments.

The assessment of the (potential for) bioaccumulation in the context of PBT or vPvB evaluation makes use of measured bioconcentration factor. When not available, BCF value may be estimated from the octanol/water partition coefficient (Kow) by using (Q)SAR models. The calculated steady-state bioconcentration factor (BCF) for fish of 21.7 L/kg (wet weight), 114-115 (lipid content), indicates a negligible potential of Biphenyl-2-ol to bioaccumulate. Therefore, Biphenyl-2-ol does not fulfil the B criterion since its BCF is under the cut-off values proposed in the TGD (BCF > 2,000 for PBT assessment and > 5,000 for vPvB assessment).

The lowest NOEC obtained for Biphenyl-2-ol was 0.006 mg/L (*Daphnia magna* test). Since the cut off value given by the TGD corresponds to 0.01 mg/L, the substance meets the T criterion.

#### Assessment of POPs criteria

The vapour pressure of Biphenyl-2-ol is 0.906 Pa at 25°C, the half-life in air is of 0.587 days, indicating that the criteria for long-range transport potential (vapour pressure < 1000 Pa and half-life in air > 2 days) is not fulfilled. In soil, biodegradation and sorption study was performed to understand the persistence of Biphenyl-2-ol in this compartment, indicating that Biphenyl-2-ol is relatively low mobile in soil, although a biodegradation character can also be attributed.

The calculated steady-state bioconcentration factor (BCF) for fish is 21.7 L/kg (wet weight), 114-115 (lipid content), and hence < 5000. Thus, the bioaccumulation criterion is not fulfilled for Biphenyl-2-ol.

In conclusion, considering the above rationale, it can be concluded that Biphenyl-2-ol does not fulfil the POPs criteria.

#### Conclusion:

Biphenyl-2-ol must not be regarded as a Persistent or Bioaccumulative, Toxic, POP or ED substance because it does not fulfil the criteria. Therefore, Biphenyl-2-ol is not PBT/vPvB.

# 2.2.2.4. Exposure assessment

Sewage water treatment plants can be regarded as the only pathway of Biphenyl-2-ol emissions after use as disinfectant for food and feed areas (see Doc. II-B).

In this environmental exposure assessment, two different scenarios have been applied: disinfection in slaughterhouses and butcheries (area =  $10,000 \text{ m}^2$  and height = 5 m), and large scale catering kitchens and canteens (area =  $2,000 \text{ m}^2$  and height = 3 m). It has to be clearly pointed out that only emissions to STP may result from wet cleaning operations. Very low amounts of Biphenyl-2-ol might go to drain together with the washing water. However, most of the applied Biphenyl-2-ol will remain on the surfaces or dissipate/degradate.

Hence, a daily local release to waste water (Elocal,  $_{water}$ ) of 8 kg x  $d^{-1}$  for slaughterhouses and

 $0.96 \text{ kg x d}^{-1}$  for large kitchens has been calculated.

Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC) values were determined for different environmental compartments in Doc. II-B.

#### 2.2.2.5. Risk characterisation

#### Aquatic compartment (incl. sewage treatment plant)

The following risk quotients were derived for the aquatic compartment from the calculated/measured exposure and effect data for Biphenyl-2-ol (see Table 2.2.2.5-1).

Table 2.2.2.5-1: PEC/PNEC ratios for Biphenyl-2-ol (aquatic compartment)

Compartments		PEC		PEC/PNEC	
		Slaughter houses	Large kitchens	Slaughter houses	Large kitchens
CTD officent [mg/L]	Tier 1 <sup>1</sup>	4.92E-01	5.90E-02	0.88	0.11
STP effluent [mg/L]	Tier 2 <sup>2</sup>	4.00E-02	4.80E-03	0.07	0.01
Local concentration in	Tier 1 <sup>1</sup>	4.92E-02	5.90E-03	81.96	9.83
surface water during emission episode [mg/L]	Tier 2 <sup>2</sup>	4.00E-03	4.80E-04	6.66	0.80
Sodimont [ma/ka]	Tier 1 <sup>1</sup>	4.09E-01	4.91E-02	83.56	10.03
Sediment [mg/kg]	Tier 2 <sup>2</sup>	3.33E-02	3.99E-03	6.79	0.82

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Tier 1: 12.31% of the influent residues being present in the STP effluent water phase

**Sewage treatment plant**: The derived risk quotients are < 1, even using the worst-case assumption (Tier 1) of 12.3% of the influent residues being present in the STP effluent water phase. Thus, it is considered that there is no risk for microorganisms in a STP caused by Biphenyl-2-ol in the smoke generator formulation.

**Surface water**: There is an unacceptable risk for the surface water compartment in the Tier 1 approach. However, no unacceptable risk has been identified for the aquatic compartment in the Tier 2 approach for the large kitchens, considering 99% degradation of Biphenyl-2-ol in STP.

**Sediment**: The PEC/PNEC ratio is > 1 for sediment dwelling organisms, except in the Tier 2 approach for the large kitchens, considering 99% degradation of Biphenyl-2-ol in STP.

#### <u>Terrestrial compartment (soil)</u>

To assess the risk for the environmental compartment soil regarding the exposure via sludge, the  $PNEC_{soil}$  is compared with the  $PEC_{soil}$  (see Table 2.2.2.5-2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Tier 2: 1% of the influent residues being present in the STP effluent water phase

Table 2.2.2.5-2: PEC/PNEC ratios for Biphenyl-2-ol (terrestrial compartment)

		PEC <sub>soil</sub> values Concentration in agricultural soil over 30 days [mg/kg <sub>wwt</sub> ]		PEC/	PNEC
		Slaughter houses Large kitchens		Slaughter houses	Large kitchens
DT - 20 d	Tier 1 <sup>1</sup>	4.57E-01	5.49E-02	7.49	0.90
$DT_{50} = 30 d$	Tier 2 <sup>2</sup>	1.69E-01	2.03E-02	2.78	0.33
DT - 15 00 d	Tier 1 <sup>1</sup>	3.35E-01	4.02E-02	5.49	0.66
$DT_{50} = 15.08 d$	Tier 2 <sup>2</sup>	1.19E-01	1.42E-02	1.95	0.23

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Tier 1: 3.13% of the STP influent residues being present in STP sludge

There is an unacceptable risk for the terrestrial compartment in the slaughterhouses scenario. However, no unacceptable risk has been identified for the soil compartment due to the use of Biphenyl-2-ol as disinfectant in the large kitchens scenario.

#### **Groundwater compartment**

According the EU TGD (European Commission, 2003), the predicted concentration of the active substance in soil pore water is taken as a surrogate estimate of the potential concentration in groundwater. No accepted ecological endpoints have been established to enable characterisation of risk to the groundwater compartment (European Commission, 2003). However, the groundwater directive (Directive 2006/118/EC) stipulates a maximum acceptable concentration for pesticides in groundwater of 0.1  $\mu$ g·L-1. The PECs values are given in Table 2.2.2.5-3.

Table 2.2.2.5-3: PEC values for Biphenyl-2-ol (groundwater)

		PEC <sub>aw</sub> values [mg·L <sup>-1</sup> ]		PEC <sub>aw</sub>	values · L <sup>-1</sup> ]
		Slaughter houses			Large kitchens
	Tier 1 <sup>1</sup>	2.77E-02	3.33E-03	27.7	3.33
$DT_{50} = 30 d$	Tier 2 <sup>2</sup>	1.26E-02	1.51E-03	12.6	1.51
DT - 15 00 d	Tier 1 <sup>1</sup>	1.41E-02	1.69E-03	14.1	1.69
DT <sub>50</sub> = 15.08 d	Tier 2 <sup>2</sup>	6.38E-03	7.65E-04	6.38	0.77

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Tier 1: 3.13% of the STP influent residues being present in STP sludge

From the values presented above it can be seen that emissions associated with the use of Biphenyl-2-ol as disinfectant result in porewater concentrations exceeding this threshold even when a  $DT_{50}$  of 15.08 days was considered. It is therefore concluded that the use of Biphenyl-2-ol as disinfectant in slaughterhouses and large kitchens represents a risk to groundwater following the application of sewage sludge to land.

However, simulations with FOCUS PEARL for groundwater prove that PEC<sub>qw</sub> values (80<sup>th</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Tier 2: 1% of the STP influent residues being present in STP sludge

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Tier 2: 1% of the STP influent residues being present in STP sludge

percentiles of the annual average concentrations in the percolate at 1 m soil depth) of Biphenyl-2-ol were of  $< 0.01 \,\mu\text{g/L}$  in all scenarios. It is therefore concluded that Biphenyl-2-ol does not represent a risk to groundwater following the application of sewage sludge to land.

#### Non compartment specific effects relevant to the food chain (secondary poisoning)

A flow-through study was conducted to evaluate the bioconcentration of Biphenyl-2-ol in zebra fish (*Danio rerio*). The arithmetic means of five consecutive steady-state BCF were 21.7 (wet weight), 114-115 (lipid content), indicating a negligible potential of the test substance to bioaccumulate. The achievement of steady-state conditions during the uptake (53 h exposure) phase as well as the consecutive depuration (19 h) were rapid processes.

A risk due to the proposed uses of Biphenyl-2-ol can be ruled out, since these data show that Biphenyl-2-ol does not accumulate in the environment. There is no need to assess this exposure route further.

A secondary exposure of Biphenyl-2-ol to man via the food chain can be excluded due to low tonnage of the biocidal product used in whole Europe, rapid degradation in water and minimum amounts which reach the environmental compartments. A risk due to the proposed uses of Biphenyl-2-ol can be ruled out, since these data show that Biphenyl-2-ol does not accumulate in the environment. There is no need to assess this exposure route further.

#### 2.2.2.6. Assessment of endocrine disruptor properties

In relation to the potential of Biphenyl-2-ol to interfere with the hormone system, Biphenyl-2-ol is present in one of the documents-lists of the Commission staff working document on implementation of the Community Strategy for Endocrine Disrupters - a range of substances suspected of interfering with the hormone systems of humans and wildlife (COM(2004) 1372), and cited as "candidate substance" for a first-in depth study. No endocrine disruption effect was reported in this document or in the following (COM(2007) 1635).

In addition, the prolonged toxicity of Biphenyl-2-ol to fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*) was tested in a reproductive performance test by (2002). In the test, measures of fecundity were assessed daily. Viability of resultant embryos was assessed in animals held in the same treatment regime to which the adults were exposed. A suite of histological and biological endpoints, that potentially are directly reflective of effects associated with endocrine disrupting chemicals, was also evaluated. The results of the study show that Biphenyl-2-ol does not indicate any adverse effects on reproductive parameters of pair-breeding fathead minnows up to a nominal test concentration of 50 µg a.i./L. With regard to the induction of the biomarker vitellogenin as an early indicator of possible endocrine modulation, no substance-related effects were noted compared to the positive control 17a-ethynylestradiol.

Result of the first EU evaluation project on potential endocrine substances (EUROPEAN COMMISSION, STUDY ON THE SCIENTIFIC EVALUATION OF 12 SUBSTANCES IN THE CONTEXT OF ENDOCRINE DISRUPTER PRIORITY LIST OF ACTIONS, 2002).

From the summary for humans: "The available data from in vivo studies in laboratory mammals (using oral or dermal exposure routes) indicates that Biphenyl-2-ol does not cause adverse effects on reproductive and developmental endpoints (which may be endocrine mediated) at exposure levels where general systemic toxic effects are observed. The lowest NOEL in the in vivo studies was 250  $\rm mg\cdot kg_{bw}^{-1}\cdot day^{-1}$  for foetotoxic and developmental effects. Limited exposure data for workers and consumers has been located."

For wildlife: "The available aquatic effects data shows that the threshold exposure concentrations of Biphenyl-2-ol above which reproduction of the invertebrate *Daphnia magna* and fish (fathead minnow) are reduced (NOECs =  $0.036 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$  and  $0.009 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$  respectively)

are lower than the threshold levels for general toxic effects (i.e. lethality). The effects observed on reproduction in fish were evidently not oestrogen mediated. However, there is no information on the mechanism of action for the effects on reproduction observed in *Daphnia magna*."

The results of this EU evaluation project were also confirmed in a peer evaluation done by the CSTEE (2003)

Thus, it can be stated that, to date, no evidence of endocrine disruption activity can be attributed to Biphenyl-2-ol.

# 2.3. Overall conclusions

The outcome of the assessment for Biphenyl-2-ol in Product-type 4 is specified in the BPC opinion following discussions at the 11th meeting of the Biocidal Products Committee (BPC). The BPC opinion is available from the ECHA website.

# 2.4. List of endpoints

The most important endpoints, as identified during the evaluation process, are listed in  $\frac{Appendix I}{I}$ .

# Appendix I: List of endpoints

# Chapter 1:Identity, Physical and Chemical Properties, Classification and Labelling

Active substance (ISO Name)

o-Phenylphenol (ISO) Synonims: Biphenyl-2-ol (EINECS name),

OPP

Product-type

Food and feed area

# **Identity**

Chemical name (IUPAC)

Chemical name (CA)

CAS No

EC No

Other substance No.

Minimum purity of the active substance as manufactured (g/kg or g/l)

Identity of relevant impurities and additives (substances of concern) in the active substance as manufactured (g/kg)

Molecular formula

Molecular mass

Structural formula

2-Pheny	Iphenol

[1,1'-Biphenyl]-2-ol

90-43-7

201-993-5

CIPAC No. 246

≥ 995 g/kg

None

 $C_{12}H_{10}O$ 

170.2 g/mol

OH

#### Physical and chemical properties

Melting point (state purity)

Boiling point (state purity)

Thermal stability / Temperature of decomposition

Appearance (state purity)

Relative density (state purity)

Surface tension (state temperature and concentration of the test solution)

Vapour pressure (in Pa, state temperature)

56.7 °C (purity: 99.9%)

287 °C (purity: 99.9%)

Exothermal decomposition starts at 290 °C. As no decomposition of the test substance could be observed below 150 °C, Biphenyl-2-ol is considered to be stable at room temperature.

Colourless solid flakes with slight phenolic odour (purity: 99.9%)

1.237 at 20 °C (purity: 99.9%)

58.72 mN/m at 20.1 °C (0.558 g/L)

0.474 Pa at 20 °C.

0.906 Pa at 25 °C

Ratio between vapour pressure and water Henry's law constant (Pa m<sup>3</sup>mol<sup>-1</sup>) solubility: 0.15 Pa×m<sup>3</sup>×mol<sup>-1</sup> at 20 °C and pH 5 0.14 Pa×m<sup>3</sup>×mol<sup>-1</sup> at 20 °C and pH 7 0.13 Pa×m<sup>3</sup>×mol<sup>-1</sup> at 20 °C and pH 9 Solubility in water (g/L or mg/L, state Results at pH 5: 0.43 g/L at 10°C temperature) 0.53 g/L at 20°C 0.70 g/L at 30°C Results at pH 7: 0.45 g/L at 10°C 0.56 g/L at 20°C 0.73 g/L at 30°C Results at pH 9: 0.52 g/L at 10°C 0.64 g/L at 20°C 0.84 g/L at 30°C Results at 20 °C: Solubility in organic solvents (in q/L or n-heptane: 50.3 g/L mg/L, state temperature) acetone, 1,2-dichloroethane, ethyl acetate, methanol, p-xylene: > 250 g/L No significant temperature dependence is expected. Biphenyl-2-ol as manufactured does not Stability in organic solvents used in include an organic solvent in PT 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, biocidal products including relevant 10 and 13. Therefore a study regarding breakdown products stability in organic solvents does not apply. The b. p. for PT 1 and 9 contains an organic solvent. Log Pow: 3.18 at 22.51 °C. Partition coefficient (log P<sub>OW</sub>) (state (more accurate value which is to be used temperature) exclusively) "the log P<sub>OW</sub> of Biphenyl-2-ol is nearly independent from pH value when investigated at pH 5, pH 7 and pH 9." pK = 9.5 at 20 °C Dissociation constant Molar absorptivity: UV/VIS absorption (max.) (if absorption 12800 at 245 nm > 290 nm state  $\varepsilon$  at wavelength) 8200 at 267 nm The UV-visible spectrum show a band with a maximum at 285 nm and a bandwidth of 40 nm, therefore a short absorption appears above 290 nm. Biphenyl-2-ol is not highly flammable, does Flammability or flash point not liberate gases in hazardous amounts when contact with water, does not deliver indications of pyrophoric properties and does not undergo spontaneous combustion. Based on scientific judgement it is certified Explosive properties that due to the structural formula Biphenyl-2-ol contains neither oxidising groups nor other chemically instable functional groups. Thus Biphenyl-2-ol is incapable of rapid decomposition with evolution of gases or release of heat, i.e. the solid material does

not present any risk for explosion.

Oxidising properties

Based on scientific judgement it is certified that due to the structural formula Biphenyl-2-ol does not contain oxidising groups in its molecular backbone and thus may not react exothermically with a combustible material. Therefore Biphenyl-2-ol does not have oxidising properties.

Auto-ignition or relative self ignition temperature

Biphenyl-2-ol does not undergo spontaneous combustion

#### Classification and proposed labelling

with regard to physical hazards with regard to human health hazards

with regard to environmental hazards

#### None

Carc 2: H351; Eye Irrit. 2: H319; Skin Irrit. 2: H315; STOT SE 3: H335

Aquatic Acute 1: H400; Aquatic Chronic 1: H410

# **Chapter 2: Methods of Analysis**

#### Analytical methods for the active substance

Technical active substance (principle of method)

Biphenyl-2-ol is separated by means of gas chromatography using flame ionisation detection. The quantitative evaluation is carried out by area normalisation with consideration of water content and non-volatile components.

Impurities in technical active substance (principle of method)

The analytical method for the determination of impurities in the active substance is confidential. This information is provided separately in the confidential part of the dossier.

#### **Analytical methods for residues**

Soil (principle of method and LOQ)

Air (principle of method and LOQ)

Water (principle of method and LOQ)

Body fluids and tissues (principle of method and LOQ)

Food/feed of plant origin (principle of method and LOQ for methods for monitoring purposes)

Food/feed of animal origin (principle of method and LOQ for methods for monitoring purposes)

HPLC-MS/MS; LOQ =  $5 \mu g/kg$ 

GC-MS; LOQ =  $0.35 \,\mu g/m^3$ .

Surface and drinking water: HPLC-MS/MS; LOQ = 0.1µg/L

Not applicable since Biphenyl-2-ol is not classified as toxic or highly toxic.

Citrus Fruit: GC-MS; LOQ = 0.1 mg/kg OuEChERS Method: EN155662:2008

Meat: GC-MS/MS; LOQ = 0.01 mg/kg

# **Chapter 3:Impact on Human Health**

# Absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion in mammals

Rate and extent of oral absorption: 100% is assumed

Rate and extent of dermal absorption\*: 43% is assumed

Distribution: Extensively metabolized. Poorly distributed.

Potential for accumulation: Low potential for bioaccumulation.

Rate and extent of excretion: Quickly excreted (12 - 24 h post-dosing).

Toxicologically significant metabolite(s) phenylhydroquinoneglucuronide and 2,4'-

dihydroxybiphenyl-sulfate

#### **Acute toxicity**

Rat LD<sub>50</sub> oral 2730 mg/kg bw

Rat  $LD_{50}$  dermal > 2000 mg/kg bw

Rat  $LC_{50}$  inhalation  $> 36 \text{ mg/m}^3 (0.036 \text{ mg/L})$ 

**Skin corrosion/irritation** Skin Irrit. 2 (H315: Causes skin irritation)

**Eye Irrit.** 2 (H319: Causes serious eye

irritation)

Respiratory tract irritation

No data

Skin sensitisation (test method used and result)

Non Sensitizer (Buehler test on Guinea pigs; 0/10 Number of animals sensitised/total number of animals)

Non Sensitizer (Magnusson-Kligman test on Guinea pigs; 0/20 Number of animals sensitised/total number of animals)

Respiratory sensitisation (test method used and result)

No data

# Repeated dose toxicity

#### **Short term**

Species/ target / critical effect

Oral: New Zealand White rabbits / increased mortality (13%), gross pathologic alterations and histopathologic alterations

Dermal: Fischer 344 rats/ no systemic

effects in any dose group

<sup>\*</sup> the dermal absorption value is applicable for the active substance and might not be usable in product authorization

Relevant oral NOAEL / LOAEL

NOAEL = 100 mg/kg bw/day (teratogenicity oral study)

LOAEL = 250 mg/kg bw/day (teratogenicity oral study)

Relevant dermal NOAEL / LOAEL

NOAEL = 1000 mg/kg bw/day (21-day dermal study)

Relevant inhalation NOAEL / LOAEL

No data

#### **Subchronic**

Species/ target / critical effect

Rats /urinary blader/ increased incidence of simple urinary bladder hyperplasia in males and the increased incidence of urinary bladder transitional cell carcinoma in males

Relevant oral NOAEL / LOAEL

NOAEL = 39 mg/kg bw/day (2-years oral study)

LOAEL = 200 mg/kg bw/day (2-years oral study)

Relevant dermal NOAEL / LOAEL Relevant inhalation NOAEL / LOAEL

No Data

No Data

# Long term

Species/ target / critical effect

Rats /urinary blader/ increased incidence of simple urinary bladder hyperplasia in males and the increased incidence of urinary bladder transitional cell carcinoma in males

Relevant oral NOAEL / LOAEL

NOAEL = 39 mg/kg bw/day (2-years oral study)

LOAEL = 200 mg/kg bw/day (2-years oral study)

Relevant dermal NOAEL / LOAEL
Relevant inhalation NOAEL / LOAEL

No Data

No Data

#### Genotoxicity

In vitro

Biphenyl-2-ol is considered to be nonmutagenic but it was clastogenic in Chinese hamster ovary cells at cytotoxic concentrations

In vivo

Biphenyl-2-ol is not genotoxic or mutagenic in vivo.

#### Carcinogenicity

Species/type of tumour

Fischer 344 rat/ neoplasia in urinary bladder (male animals only)

B6C3F1 mice/ hepatocellular adenomas(male animals only)

The tumours found in mice are not predictive of carcinogenicity for humans. The relevance of urinary bladder tumours in male rats cannot be completely excluded

Relevant NOAEL/LOAEL

200 mg/kg body wt/day 500 mg/kgBW/day

#### Reproductive toxicity

Developmental toxicity

Species/ Developmental target / critical effect

New Zealand White rabbits/ No recorded effect on development parameters/ No effects on foetal development

Relevant maternal NOAEL

NOAEL = 100 mg/kg/day

Relevant developmental NOAEL

NOAEL = 250 mg/kg/day

**Fertility** 

Species/critical effect

RatCD Sprague-Dawley/ No recorded effect on reproductive parameters/ bladder calculi, urothelial hyperplasia

Relevant parental NOAEL

NOAEL = 35 mg / kg bw / day

Relevant offspring NOAEL

NOAEL = 125 mg / kg bw / day

Relevant fertility NOAEL

NOAEL = 457 mg / kg bw / day

#### **Neurotoxicity**

Species/ target/critical effect

No data

# **Developmental Neurotoxicity**

Species/ target/critical effect

No data

# **Immunotoxicity**

Species/ target/critical effect

No data

#### **Developmental Immunotoxicity**

Species/ target/critical effect

No data

# Other toxicological studies

Human data:

allergic contact dermatitis or contact sensitivity to Biphenyl-2-ol

Other/special studies:

Biphenyl-2-ol is carcinogenic in urinary bladder in alkaline conditions in rats

	_	_		
RA	$\sim$ 4	ica	ıa	2+2
IV				<i>a</i> 11a

#### **Summary**

 $\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{AEL}_{\mathsf{long-term}} \\ \mathsf{AEL}_{\mathsf{medium-term}} \\ \mathsf{AEL}_{\mathsf{short-term}} \\ \\ \mathsf{ADI}^3 \\ \mathsf{ARfD} \end{array}$ 

Value	Study	Safety factor
0.4 mg/kg bw/day	2-years oral study	100
0.4 mg/kg bw/day	2-years oral study	100
1 mg/kg bw/day	teratogenicity oral study in New Zealand White rabbits	100
0.4 mg/kg bw/day	2-years oral study	100
No relevant		

#### **MRLs**

Relevant commodities	
Reference value for groundwater	

# **Dermal absorption**

Study (in vitro/vivo), species tested

According to BPR Annex VI, point 68

Formulation (formulation type and including concentration(s) tested, vehicle)

Dermal absorption values used in risk assessment

Dermal absorption, excretion in vivo, humans.
0.4% (w/v) Biphenyl-2-ol solution in isopropyl alcohol
43% (100% in corrosive products)

# Acceptable exposure scenarios (including method of calculation)

Formulation of biocidal product Intended uses

Not assessed

Disinfection of surfaces, by air route, in closed premises free from presence of humans, animals, plants or food Preventive dose: 0.4 g Fumispore Biphenyl-2-ol (Smoke Generator) / m³ (i.e. 80 mg Biphenyl-2-ol /m³).

Curative dose: 0.8 g Fumispore Biphenyl-2-ol (Smoke Generator) /  $m^3$  (i.e. 160 mg Biphenyl-2-ol /  $m^3$ )

Not applicable

Industrial users

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>If residues in food or feed.

Professional users

Specialised disinfector, chronic exposure via inhalation and dermal route: data from field studv.

Operators at re-entry: exposure via inhalation route, data from field study.

No risk

Non professional users

General public

Exposure via residue in food

Not applicable.

Not applicable.

Consumers via food: preliminary

assessment.

Daily intake: residues in surface after rinse  $(mg/m^2) \times 0.2m^2$  (area in contact with food)

x 100% transference /body weight

No risk (dependent on efficacy of rinse procedure which have yet to be established)

# **Chapter 4: Fate and Behaviour in the Environment**

# Route and rate of degradation in water

Hydrolysis of active substance and relevant metabolites (DT<sub>50</sub>) (state pH

and temperature)

Estimated  $t_{1/2} > 1$  year

pH 5: stable at 50 °C

pH 7: stable at 50 °C

pH 9: stable at 50 °C

Photolytic / photo-oxidative degradation of active substance and resulting relevant metabolites

Biphenyl-2-ol:

Experimental DT<sub>50</sub>: 0.3 days (pure water) Environmental DT<sub>50</sub> [Phoenix, AZ, USA]: 1.7

Environmental DT<sub>50</sub> [Athens, Greece]: 2.6 days

Diketohydroxy-compound (max. 13.6% at day 1, < 5% after 7 days):

Experimental  $DT_{50}$ : 1.3 days (pure water) Environmental DT<sub>50</sub> [Phoenix, AZ, USA]: 7.2

Environmental DT<sub>50</sub> [Athens, Greece]: 11.1 days

Readily biodegradable (yes/no)

Yes:

71-76% biodegradation after 28 d 100% biodegradation after 14 d

100% biodegradation after 10 d (inherent test)

Inherent biodegradable (yes/no)

Biodegradation in freshwater

Biodegradation in seawater

Not relevant since Biphenyl-2-ol is not used or released in the marine environment at considerable amounts. Therefore, a seawater biodegradation test is not required.

Non-extractable residues

Not relevant due to indoor use.

Distribution in water / sediment systems (active substance)

Not relevant due to indoor use. Estimation from screening experiments: < 14

Distribution in water / sediment systems (metabolites)

Not relevant due to indoor use.

#### Route and rate of degradation in soil

Mineralization (aerobic)

Laboratory studies (range or median, with number of measurements, with

regression coefficient)

degradation in the saturated zone:

Field studies(state location, range or median with number of measurements)

Anaerobic degradation

Soil photolysis

Non-extractable residues

Relevant metabolites - name and/or code, % of applied a.i. (range and maximum)

Soil accumulation and plateau concentration

Results are given as mean value of duplicate test of [phenyl-UL-14C]-labelled Biphenyl-2-ol in % of the applied radioactivity for day 127 of incubation under aerobic conditions:

9.6% (n = 2, 20 ± 1 °C)

DT<sub>50 lab</sub> (20 °C, aerobic):

2.7 hours\* (n = 1),  $r^2 = 0.994$ 

15.08 days (recalculated considering a biphasic approach)

DT<sub>90lab</sub> (20 °C, aerobic):

8.81 hours\* (n = 1),  $r^2 = 0.994$ 

0.34 days (recalculated considering a biphasic approach)

Not relevant due to indoor use

Not relevant due to indoor use.

Not relevant due to indoor use.

77.4% at day 127 (n = 2, 20  $\pm$  1 °C)

No relevant metabolites

Not relevant due to indoor use

# Adsorption/desorption

Ka, Kd

Ka<sub>oc</sub> , Kd<sub>oc</sub>

pH dependence (yes / no) (if yes type of dependence)

Adsorption, OECD Guideline 106:

 $K_f$ : 7.04, 7.47, 8.53, 11.66 (n = 4)

 $K_{oc}$ : 252, 355, 389, 393 (n = 4, mean: 347)

Desorption 1:

 $K_{fdes}$ : 9.36, 16.42, 16.78, 18.62 (n = 4)

 $K_{\text{ocdes}}$ : 334, 621, 699, 864 (n = 4)

Adsorption, OECD Guideline 121:

estimated mean Koc value: 346.7

K<sub>d</sub> was not reported

pH dependence was not apparent

#### Fate and behaviour in air

Direct photolysis in air

Quantum yield of direct photolysis Photo-oxidative degradation in air Volatilization Not relevant because there is no relevant release of the compound to the air compartment

 $DT_{50} = 0.59 \text{ days}$ 

Not relevant because there is no relevant release of the compound to the air compartment

#### Reference value for groundwater

According to BPR Annex VI, point 68

# Monitoring data, if available

Soil (indicate location and type of study)
Surface water (indicate location and type of study)

No data presented

Municipal Steinhäule sewage plant located on the Danube River in southern Germany. The plant has mechanical purification devices (primary clarification), actived sludge treatment, biological nitrate removal (nitrification/denitrification), biological phosphate removal and final settlement tanks as main cleaning steps. Concentrations of Biphenyl-2-ol in 24 h influent and effluent samples from 10/11 March 1998

Substance (µg/L)	Influent 10/11 March (8 a.m-8a.m)	Efluent 10/11 March (4 p.m-4 p.m)
Biphenyl-2- ol	1.54 ± 0.349	< 0.015

Ground water (indicate location and type of study)

Air (indicate location and type of study)

No data presented

No data presented

#### **Chapter 5: Effects on Non-target Species**

# Toxicity data for aquatic species (most sensitive species of each group)

Species	Time- scale	Endpoint	Toxicity
---------	----------------	----------	----------

		Fish						
Oncorhynchus mykiss	96 hours	Mortality	$LC_{50} = 4.0 \text{ mg/L}$ Dill et al. (1985)					
Dimenhales promotes	21 days	Danraduction	NOEC = 0.036 mg/L					
Pimephales promelas	21 days	Reproduction	(2002)					
	Inve	rtebrates						
Daphnia magna	48 hours	Mortality	$LC_{50} = 2.7 \text{ mg/L}$ Dill <i>et al.</i> (1985)					
Daphnia magna	21 days	Survival & repro- duction	NOEC = 0.006 mg/L Bruns (2001)					
	ı	Algae						
Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata	72 hours	Growth inhibition	$E_rC_{50} = 3.57 \text{ mg/L}$ $E_bC_{50} = 1.35 \text{ mg/L}$ NOEC = 0.468  mg/L Hicks (2001)					
Microorganisms								
Activated sludge	3 hours	Inhibition of respiratory rate	EC <sub>50</sub> = 56 mg/L Klecka, Landi, and Bodner (1985)					

### Effects on earthworms or other soil non-target organisms

Acute toxicity to earthworms ..

 $LC_{50}$  (14 days) = 198.2 mg/kg Moser & Scheffczyk (2004)

Reproductive toxicity to earthworms

No study available

### **Effects on soil micro-organisms**

Nitrogen mineralization

 $EC_{50}$  (28 days) = 633.5 mg a.s./kg d.wt. soil Schulz.L (2012)

Carbon mineralization

#### **Effects on terrestrial vertebrates**

Acute toxicity to mammals

Chronic toxicity to mammals (Annex IIA, point VI.6.5)

Acute toxicity to birds

Dietary toxicity to birds

Biphenyl-2-ol	Product-type 4 July 2015
Reproductive toxicity to birds	No study available
Effects on honeybees	
Acute oral toxicity	No study available
Acute contact toxicity	No study available
Effects on other beneficial arthropode	s
Acute oral toxicity	No study available
Acute contact toxicity	No study available
Acute toxicity to	No study available
Bioconcentration	
Bioconcentration factor (BCF)	BCF = 21.7 (whole fish), 114-115 (lipid content)
	Caspers (1999)
Depuration time(DT <sub>50</sub> )	< 1 h (5 μg/L) / < 19 h (50 μg/L)
Depuration time(DT <sub>90</sub> )	2 h (5 μg/L) / < 6 h (50 μg/L)
Level of metabolites (%) in organisms accounting for $> 10$ % of residues	No metabolites identified

# **Chapter 6:Other End Points**

## Appendix II: List of Intended Uses

			Formulatio	n		Application	n		d amou reatmer	•	
Object and/or situation	Product name	Organisms controlled	Type (d-f)	Conc. of a.s.(i)	method kind (f-h)	number min max	interval between applications (min)	g a.s./L min max	water L/m <sup>2</sup> min max	g a.s./m <sup>2</sup> min max	Re marks:
Food / feed area disinfectant PT 4	Fumispore OPP (Smoke Generator)	Bacteria, fungi and yeasts The contact time was according to NF T 72-281 The disinfectant diffusion running time was 3-6 minutes and the germ-carriers exposure running time (from the diffusion till withdrawal out of the test room) was 15 hours.	FU	200 g/kg	fumigation		- ·	0.160 g / m <sup>3</sup>	_	0.076 g/m <sup>2</sup>	Maximum curative dose

### **Appendix III: List of studies**

Data protection is claimed by the applicant in accordance with Article 60 of Regulation (EU) No 528/2012.

(Sub)Sectio n / Annex point	Authors (s)	Year	Title	Testing Company	Report No.	GLP Study (Yes/No	Publishe d (Yes/No	Data Protectio n Claimed (Yes/No)	Data Owner
A2.6(01) IIA, II 2.6	Stroech, K.D.	1991	Preventol O Extra (2- Phenylphenol) Synthesis.  Date: 1991-02-19  CONFIDENTIAL	Bayer AG, Leverkusen, Germany		No	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A2.7(01) IIA, II 2.7	Anonymous	2000	Preventol O Extra in flakes. Date: 2000-02-11	BU, Material Protection Products, Leverkusen, Germany		No	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A2.7(01) IIA, II 2.7 also filed: A2.8(01)	Erstling, K.	2005	Determination of main and minor components in Preventol O Extra, 5-batch analysis.  Date: 2005-02-16	Bayer Industry Services GmbH & Co. OHG, BIS- SUA-Analytics, Leverkusen, Germany	Study No.: G 05/0009/00 LEV	Yes	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
			CONFIDENTIAL						

(Sub)Sectio n / Annex point	Authors (s)	Year	Title	Testing Company	Report No.	GLP Study (Yes/No	Publishe d (Yes/No	Data Protectio n Claimed (Yes/No)	Data Owner
A2.7(02) IIA, II 2.7	Stroech, K.	2014	Quality Control Data from the production plant covering approximately 68 months (Jan. 2009 to Sept. 2014) to derive a specification limit for Biphenyl-2-ol.	LANXESS Deutschland GmbH Köln, Germany		Yes			LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A2.8(02) IIA, II 2.8	Feldhues, E.	2006	Additional information on study report No. 2005/0009/00, Determination of main and minor components in Preventol O extra 5-Batch-Analysis.  Date: 2006-05-12  CONFIDENTIAL	Bayer Industry Services GmbH & Co KG, BIS-SUA- PUA I, Leverkusen, Germany		No	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH

(Sub)Sectio n / Annex point	Authors (s)	Year	Title	Testing Company	Report No.	GLP Study (Yes/No	Publishe d (Yes/No	Data Protectio n Claimed (Yes/No)	Data Owner
A3.1.1(01) IIA, III 3.1 also filed: A3.1.2(01) also filed: A3.1.3(01) also filed A3.10(01)	Erstling, K.	2001 a	Physicochemical properties. Date: 2001-09-13 Amended: 2004-12-02, 2006-03-02, 2006-04-24, 2007-06-26	Bayer AG, Leverkusen, Germany	A 00/0068/01 LEV	Yes	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A3.1.3(02) IIA, III 3.1	Erstling, K.	2007	Physicochemical properties of Preventol O Extra	Bayer Industry Services, Leverkusen, Germany	2007/0045/02	Yes	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A3.2(01) IIA, III 3.2	Olf, G.	2003	Vapour pressure, Physical-Chemical properties. Date: 2003-02-11 Amended: 2003-02-24 2007-06-29	Bayer AG, Leverkusen, Germany	03/003/01	Yes	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A3.2(02) IIA, III 3.2 also filed: A7.3.1(01)	Beiell, U.	2004	Preventol O Extra (Biphenyl-2-ol) Calculation of Henry's Law Constant and Photodegradation. Date: 2004-09-27	Dr. Knoell Consult GmbH, Mannheim, Germany		No	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH

(Sub)Sectio n / Annex point	Authors (s)	Year	Title	Testing Company	Report No.	GLP Study (Yes/No	Publishe d (Yes/No	Data Protectio n Claimed (Yes/No)	Data Owner
A3.3(01) IIA, III 3.3	Stroech, K.	2006	o-Phenylphenol / Appearance. Date: 2006-04-11	LANXESS Deutschland GmbH, Leverkusen, Germany	-	No	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A3.4(01) IIA, III 3.4	Erstling, K.	2004	Spectral Data of Preventol O Extra. Date: 2004-07-16 Amended: 2004-12-01	Bayer Industry Services, Leverkusen, Germany	A 02/0162/03 LEV	Yes	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A3.5(01) IIA, III 3.5	Erstling, K.	2002	Water solubility. Date: 2002-02-15	Bayer AG, Leverkusen, Germany	A 00/0068/02 LEV	Yes	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A3.6(01) - also filed: A3.9(01)	Kausler	1991	Partition coefficient, dissociation constant, pH value. Date: 1991-01-09 Amended: 2005-02-03 2007-06-26	Bayer AG, Leverkusen, Germany	A 89/0062/06 LEV	Yes	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH

(Sub)Sectio n / Annex point	Authors (s)	Year	Title	Testing Company	Report No.	GLP Study (Yes/No	Publishe d (Yes/No	Data Protectio n Claimed (Yes/No)	Data Owner
A3.6(02) - also filed: A3.9(02)	Erstling, K.	2001 b	Partition coefficient (n-octanol/water) / Dissociation constant. Date: 2001-10-23 Amended: 2001-11-14, 2004-12-03 and 2005-01-14 2007-06-28	Bayer AG, Leverkusen, Germany	A 00/0068/03 LEV	Yes	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A3.7(01) IIIA, III.1	Jungheim, R.	2004	Solubility of Preventol O Extra in organic solvents. Date: 2004-07-26	Bayer Industry Services, Leverkusen, Germany	A 02/0162/04 LEV	Yes	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A3.7(02) IIIA, III.1	Feldhues, E.	2006 a	Statement Solubility of Preventol O Extra in organic solvents, Temperature dependence. Date: 2006-11-20	Bayer Industry Services, BIS- SUA-PUA I, Leverkusen, Germany		No	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A3.9(03) IIA, III 3.6	Feldhues, E.	2006 b	Statement Partition coefficient noctanol/water of Preventol O Extra, Temperature and pH dependence. Date: 2006-11-20	Bayer Industry Services, BIS- SUA-PUA I, Leverkusen, Germany		No	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH

(Sub)Sectio n / Annex point	Authors (s)	Year	Title	Testing Company	Report No.	GLP Study (Yes/No	Publishe d (Yes/No )	Data Protectio n Claimed (Yes/No)	Data Owner
A3.10	Wasser C.	2014	Residues of the Combustion of OPP20, Residues in fumes and gases Date: 12 December 2014	Anadiag Laboratories, France 67500 Haguenau	R B4256	No		Yes	LCB Food Safety
A3.11(01) IIA, III 3.8	Heinz, U.	2004	Determination of safety relevant data of Preventol O Extra. Date: 2004-07-12 Amended: 2005-01-14	Bayer Industry Services, Leverkusen, Germany	04/00223	Yes	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A3.13(01) IIA, III 3.10	Olf, G.	2004	Surface tension of Preventol O Extra. Date: 2004-09-16	Bayer Technology Services, Leverkusen, Germany	04006/03	Yes	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A3.15(01) IIA, III 3.11	Stroech, K.	2004 a	o-Phenylphenol / Explosive properties. Date: 2004-07-29	Bayer Chemicals AG, Leverkusen, Germany		No	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A3.16(01) IIA, III 3.12	Stroech, K.	2004 b	o-Phenylphenol / Oxidising properties. Date: 2004-07-29	Bayer Chemicals AG, Leverkusen, Germany		No	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH

(Sub)Sectio n / Annex point	Authors (s)	Year	Title	Testing Company	Report No.	GLP Study (Yes/No	Publishe d (Yes/No	Data Protectio n Claimed (Yes/No)	Data Owner
A3.17(01) IIA, III 3.13 also filed A8.1(02)	Kraus, H.	2006	o-Phenylphenol (OPP) / Reactivity towards container material. Date: 2006-05-30	LANXESS Deutschland GmbH, Leverkusen, Germany		No	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A4.1(01) IIA, IV 4.1	Feldhues, E.	2005	Validation of analytical methods for the determination of main and minor components in Preventol O Extra. Date: 2005-02-04 Amended: 2006-04-24 CONFIDENTIAL	Bayer Industry Services GmbH & Co. OHG, BIS- SUA-Analytics, Leverkusen, Germany	A 02/0162/08 LEV	Yes	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A4.1(02) IIA, IV 4.1	Dick, W.	1990 a	Water – Volumetric method. Date: 1990-12-18 CONFIDENTIAL	ZF- DZA/Analytik LEV/OAL, Leverkusen, Germany	2011- 0131301-90	No	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A4.1(03) IIA, IV 4.1	Dick, W.	1990 b	Karl Fischer titrant (KF-T) – Equivalent water concentration- Volumetric method. Date: 1990-12-18	ZF- DZA/Analytik LEV/OAL, Leverkusen, Germany	2011- 0131401-90	No	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH

(Sub)Sectio n / Annex point	Authors (s)	Year	Title	Testing Company	Report No.	GLP Study (Yes/No	Publishe d (Yes/No	Data Protectio n Claimed (Yes/No)	Data Owner
A4.2(01) IIA, IV 4.2	Brumhard, B.	2004	Method 00829 for the determination of residues of Preventol O Extra in soil by HPLC-MS/MS.  Date: 2004-01-05	Bayer Crop Science AG, Monheim am Rhein, Germany	Bayer Method No.: 00829; Report No.: MR- 107/03	Yes	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A4.2(02) IIA, IV 4.2	Feldhues, E.	2005 b	Validation of an analytical method for the determination of Preventol O Extra in air samples. Date: 2005-02-21 Amended: 2007-06-20 2010-01-22	Bayer Industry Services GmbH & Co. OHG, BIS- SUA-Analytics, Leverkusen, Germany	A 02/0162/05 LEV	Yes	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A4.2(03) IIA, IV 4.2	Königer, A.	2010	Validation of a GC method for the determination of Preventol O Extra in air.  Date: 2010-01-22	CURRENTA GmbH &Co. OHG Services Analytik Leverkusen Germany	2009/0013/01	Yes			LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH

(Sub)Sectio n / Annex point	Authors (s)	Year	Title	Testing Company	Report No.	GLP Study (Yes/No	Publishe d (Yes/No	Data Protectio n Claimed (Yes/No)	Data Owner
A4.2(04) IIA, IV 4.2	Brumhard, B.	2003	Enforcement method 00828 (MR-100/03) for the determination of Preventol O Extra in surface and drinking water by HPLC-MS/MS. Date: 2003-12-17 Amended: 2005-03-14 2007-07-02	Bayer Crop Science AG, Monheim am Rhein, Germany	Report No.: MR-100/03; Method No.: 00828	Yes	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A4.3(01) IIA, IV 4.3	Stroech, K.	2014	Residue determination of 2- phenylphenol in meat via GC/MS/MS measurement. 2014- 06-16, amended 2014-10-23	Lanxess Deutschland GmbH, Köln, Germany		No	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH

(Sub)Sectio n / Annex point	Authors (s)	Year	Title	Testing Company	Report No.	GLP Study (Yes/No	Publishe d (Yes/No	Data Protectio n Claimed (Yes/No)	Data Owner
A4.3(02) IIA, IV 4.3	Semrau, J	2011	Determination of residues of orthophenylphenol (OPP) and phenylhydroquinone (PHQ) and their conjugates after a single postharvest application of AGF/1-04 in oranges, Southern Europe 2011.	Eurofins Agroscience Services GmbH, Stade, Germany, (), 2011-12-12	Report No.: S11-01940	Yes	No	Yes	Agrupost, Valencia, Spain
A5 IIA 5.4	Russell, A.D., Hugo, W.B. and Ayliffe, G.A.J.	1990	Principles and practice of disinfection, preservation and sterilisation.				Yes	No	Second Edition, Blackwell Scientific Public
A5.3.1(01) IIA, V 5.3	Bomblies, L. and Wedde, A.	2000	Preventol O Extra (active substance. Determination of the "Minimal Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) against various test microorganisms. Date: 2000-09-16	Labor L+S, Bad-Bocklet- Großenbrach, Germany	01020940	No	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH

(Sub)Sectio n / Annex point	Authors (s)	Year	Title	Testing Company	Report No.	GLP Study (Yes/No	Publishe d (Yes/No )	Data Protectio n Claimed (Yes/No)	Data Owner
A5.3.1(02) IIA, V 5.3	Exner, O.	1997	Preventol O Extra: Determination of bactericidal effectiveness in a qualitative suspension disinfection test in accordance with German Society of Hygiene and Microbiology (DGHM) guidelines. Date: 1997-11-28	Bayer AG, Material Protection Business Unit, Krefeld, Germany		No	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A6.1.1(01) IIA, VI 6.1.1	and	1994	Dowicide <sup>™</sup> 1 Antimicrobial: Acute Oral Toxicity Study in Fischer 344 Rats. Date: 1994-07-29	Dow Chemical Company	K-001024- 057A	Yes	No	Yes	Dow Chemical Company
A6.1.2(01) IIA, VI 6.1.2		1991	Preventol O Extra (Schuppen) – Acute Dermal Toxicity Study in Male and Female Wistar Rats. Date: 1991-01-09	Bayer AG	19831	Yes	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH

(Sub)Sectio n / Annex point	Authors (s)	Year	Title	Testing Company	Report No.	GLP Study (Yes/No	Publishe d (Yes/No	Data Protectio n Claimed (Yes/No)	Data Owner
A6.1.3(01) IIA, VI 6.1.3	and	1992	ortho-Phenylphenol: Acute Aerosol Inhalation Toxicity Study in Fischer 344 Rats. Date: 1992-02-24	Dow Chemical Company	K-001024-049	Yes	No	Yes	Dow Chemical Company
A6.1.3(01)	Marple et al.	1978	A Dust Generator for Laboratory Use.		Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J. <b>39</b> : 26-32				
A6.1.4(01) IIA, VI 6.1.4		1994 a	Dowicide™ 1 Antimicrobial: Primary Dermal Irritation Study in New Zealand White Rabbits. Date: 1994-07-29	Dow Chemical Company	K-001024- 057B	Yes	No	Yes	Dow Chemical Company
A6.1.4(02) IIA, VI 6.1.4		1981 b	Report on the test of Preventol O Extra for irritation of the mucous membrane.  Date: 1981-11-04	Fraunhofer- Institut für Toxikologie und Aerosol- forschung, Schmallenberg , Germany	T2004666	No	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH

(Sub)Sectio n / Annex point	Authors (s)	Year	Title	Testing Company	Report No.	GLP Study (Yes/No	Publishe d (Yes/No	Data Protectio n Claimed (Yes/No)	Data Owner
A6.1.5(01) IIA; VI 6.1.5		1994 b	Dowicide™ 1 Antimicrobial: Dermal Sensitization Potential in the Hartley Albino Guinea Pig. Date: 1994-07-29	Dow Chemical Company	K-001024- 057E	Yes	No	Yes	Dow Chemical Company
A6.1.5(02) IIA; VI 6.1.5	Andersen, K.E. and Hamann, K.	1984	The Sensitizing Potential of Metalworking Fluid Biocides (Phenolic and Thiazole Compounds) in the Guinea-Pig Maximization Test in Relation to Patch-Test Reactivity in Eczema Patients.	Department of Dermatology, Gentofte Hospital, Hellerup, Denmark	Fd. Chem Toxic. <b>22</b> (8), pp. 655-660	No	Yes	No	
A6.2(01) IIA, VI 6.2	Bartels, M.J., Brzak, K.A., McNett, D. and Shabrang, S.N.	1997	ortho-Phenylphenol (OPP): Limited Metabolism Study in Human. Date: 1997-02-03	Dow Chemical Company	HET K-001024- 059	Yes	No	Yes	Dow Chemical Company
A6.2(02) IIA, VI 6.2	and	1997	ortho-Phenylphenol (OPP): Metabolism of <sup>14</sup> C-Labelled OPP in B <sub>6</sub> B <sub>3</sub> F <sub>1</sub> Mice and Fischer 344 Rats. Date: 1997-02-06	Dow Chemical Company	HET K-001024- 060	Yes	No	Yes	Dow Chemical Company

(Sub)Sectio n / Annex point	Authors (s)	Year	Title	Testing Company	Report No.	GLP Study (Yes/No	Publishe d (Yes/No	Data Protectio n Claimed (Yes/No)	Data Owner
A6.2(03) IIA, VI 6.2	Selim, S.	1996	A Single Open Dose Label Study to Investigate the Absorption and Excretion of <sup>14</sup> C/ <sup>13</sup> C- Labeled <i>ortho</i> - Phenylphenol Formulation after Dermal Application to Healthy Volunteers. Date: 1996-09-19	Bayer AG	P0995002	Yes (GCP)	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A6.3.1(01) IIA, VI 6.3.1 also filed: A6.5(02)	and	1990	ortho-Phenylphenol: Palatability/Probe, Four-Week and One- Year Oral Toxicity Studies in Beagle Dogs. Date: 1990-09-24	Dow Chemical Company	K-001024-039	Yes	No	Yes	Dow Chemical Company
A6.3.2(01) IIA, VI 6.3.2	and and	1993	ortho-Phenylphenol: 21-Day Repeated Dermal Dose Study of Systemic Toxicity in Fischer 344 Rats. Date: 1993-03-03	Dow Chemical Company	K-001024-056	Yes	No	Yes	Dow Chemical Company

(Sub)Sectio n / Annex point	Authors (s)	Year	Title	Testing Company	Report No.	GLP Study (Yes/No	Publishe d (Yes/No	Data Protectio n Claimed (Yes/No)	Data Owner
A6.4.1(01) IIA, VI 6.4	and	1996 a	Technical Grade ortho-Phenylphenol: A Special Subchronic Dietary Study to Examine the Mechanism of Urinary Bladder Carcinogenesis in the Male Rat. Date: 1996-11-11	Bayer AG	92-972-MS	No	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A6.5(01) IIA, VI 6.5 also filed: A6.7(01)	and	1996	Technical Grade ortho-Phenylphenol: A Combined Chronic Toxicity / Oncogenicity Testing Study in the Rat. Date: 1996-02-23, Amended: 1999	Bayer AG	92-272-SC	Yes	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A6.6.1(01) IIA, VI 6.6.1	San, R.H.C. and Springfield, K.A.	1989	Salmonella/Mammalia n-Microsome Plate Incorporation Mutagenicity Assay (Ames Test). Date: 1989-12-22	Bayer AG	C141.501017	Yes	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH

(Sub)Sectio n / Annex point	Authors (s)	Year	Title	Testing Company	Report No.	GLP Study (Yes/No	Publishe d (Yes/No	Data Protectio n Claimed (Yes/No)	Data Owner
A6.6.1(01)	Ames et al.	1975	Methods for detecting carcinogens and mutagens with salmonella-mammalian-microsome mutagenicity test		Mutation Res. <b>31</b> , 347-363				
A6.6.1(01)	Maron & Ames	1983	Revised methods for the salmonella mutagenicity test		Mutation Res. <b>113</b> , 173-215				
A6.6.2(01) IIA, VI 6.6.2	Tayama, S., Kamiya, N. and Nakagawa, Y.	1989	Genotoxic effects of o-Phenylphenol metabolites in CHO- K1 cells.	Dept. of Toxicology, Tokyo Metropolitan Research Laboratory of Public Health, Tokyo, Japan	Mutat. Res. 223, pp. 23- 33	No	Yes	No	
A6.6.3(01) IIA, VI 6.6.3	Brendler, S.	1992	Preventol O Extra – Mutagenicity Study for the Detection of Induced Forward Mutations in the CHO- HGPRT Assay In Vitro. Date: 1992-04-09	Bayer AG	21278	Yes	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH

(Sub)Sectio n / Annex point	Authors (s)	Year	Title	Testing Company	Report No.	GLP Study (Yes/No	Publishe d (Yes/No	Data Protectio n Claimed (Yes/No)	Data Owner
A6.6.5(01) IIA, VI 6.6.5		2000	Preventol O Extra – Comet Assay In Vivo in Mouse Liver and Kidney. Date: 2000-08-08	Bayer AG	PH 30130	Yes	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A6.8.1(02) IIA, VI 6.8.1	and	1991	ortho-Phenylphenol (OPP): Gavage Teratology Study in New Zealand White Rabbits. Date: 1991-04-23	Dow Chemical Company	K-001024-045	Yes	No	Yes	Dow Chemical Company
A6.8.1(01) IIA, VI 6.8.1	Kaneda, M., Teramoto, S., Shingu, A. and Yasuhiko, S.	1978	Teratogenicity and Dominant-Lethal Studies with <i>o</i> -Phenylphenol.	Toxicology Division, Institute of Environmental Toxicology, Kodaira, Tokyo, Japan	J. Pesticide Sci. <b>3,</b> pp. 365-370	No	Yes	No	
A6.8.2(01) IIA, VI 6.8.2	and	1995	A Two-Generation Dietary Reproduction Study in Sprague- Dawley Rats Using Technical Grade ortho-Phenylphenol. Date: 1995-09-28	Bayer AG	93-672-VX	Yes	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH

(Sub)Sectio n / Annex point	Authors (s)	Year	Title	Testing Company	Report No.	GLP Study (Yes/No	Publishe d (Yes/No	Data Protectio n Claimed (Yes/No)	Data Owner
A6.8.2(02) IIA, VI 6.8.2		1990	Two-Generation Dietary Reproduction Study in Rats Using ortho-Phenylphenol. Date: 1990-09-17 (revised report, original report date: 1989-01-13)		85-671-02	Yes	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A6.10(01)	Fukushima, S., Kurata, Y., Shibata, M., Ikawa, E. and Ito, N.	1983	Promoting Effect of Sodium o-Phenylphenate and o-Phenylphenol on Two-Stage Urinary Bladder Carcinogenesis.	First Department of Pathology, Nagoya City University Medical School, Nagoya, Japan	<i>Gann.</i> , <b>74</b> , pp. 625-632	No	Yes	No	
A6.10(02)	Fujii, T., Nakamur a, K. and Hiraga, K.	1987	Effects of pH on the Carcinogenicity of o-Phenylphenol and Sodium o-Phenylphenate in the Rat Urinary Bladder.,	Dept. of Toxicology, Tokyo Metropolitan Research Laboratory of Public Health, Tokyo, Japan	Fd. Chem. Toxic. <b>25</b> (5), pp. 359-362	No	Yes	No	

(Sub)Sectio n / Annex point	Authors (s)	Year	Title	Testing Company	Report No.	GLP Study (Yes/No	Publishe d (Yes/No	Data Protectio n Claimed (Yes/No)	Data Owner
A6.10(03)		1994	o-Phenylphenol – Interactions of o- Phenylphenol (OPP) and its metabolites with microsomal prostaglandin-H- synthase: possible implications for OPP- induced tumour formation in the rat urinary bladder. Date: 1994-01-12	Bayer AG	22788	No	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A6.12.1(01) IIA, VI 6.12.1	Heyne, R. and Attig, G.	2004	Occupational Medical Experiences with <i>o</i> -Phenylphenol. Date: 2004-12-06	Bayer Industry Services, Leverkusen, Germany		No	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A6.12.6(01) IIA, VI 6.9.6	Adams, R.M.	1981	Allergic contact dermatitis due to <i>o</i> -Phenylphenol.	Palo Alto Medical Clinic, Palo Alto, CA, USA	Contact Dermatitis <b>7</b> , p. 332	No	Yes	No	
A6.12.6(02) IIA, VI 6.9.6	van Hecke, E.	1986	Contact sensitivity to o-Phenylphenol in a coolant.	Dept. of Dermatology, University Hospital, Gent, Belgium	Contact Dermatitis <b>15</b> (1) <b>,</b> p. 46	No	Yes	No	

(Sub)Sectio n / Annex point	Authors (s)	Year	Title	Testing Company	Report No.	GLP Study (Yes/No	Publishe d (Yes/No	Data Protectio n Claimed (Yes/No)	Data Owner
A6.12.6(03) IIA, VI 6.9.6	Schnuch, A., Geier, J., Uter, W. and Frosch, P.J.	1998	Patch testing with preservatives, antimicrobials and industrial biocides. Results from a multicentre study.	Information Network of Dermatological Clinics in Germany (IVDK)	Br. J. Dermatology <b>138,</b> pp. 467- 476	No	Yes	No	
A6.12.6(04) IIA, VI 6.9.6	Geier, J., Kleinhans, D. and Peters, KP.	1996	Kontaktallergien durch industriell verwendete Biozide – Ergebnisse des Informationsverbunds Dermatologischer Kliniken (IVDK) und der Deutschen Kontaktallergie- gruppe. (Contact Allergy Due to Industrial Biocides– Results of the IVDK and the German Dermatitis Research Group.)	Information Network of Departments of Dermatology in Germany (IVDK)	Dermatosen / Occup. Environ. <b>44,</b> pp. 154-159	No	Yes	No	

(Sub)Sectio n / Annex point	Authors (s)	Year	Title	Testing Company	Report No.	GLP Study (Yes/No	Publishe d (Yes/No	Data Protectio n Claimed (Yes/No)	Data Owner
A6.12.6(05) IIA, VI 6.12.6	Brasch, J., Henseler, T. and Frosch, P.	1993	Patch Test Reactions to a Preliminary Preservative Series – A retrospective study based on data collected by the "Information Network of Dermatological Clinics" (IVDK) in Germany.	Information Network of Departments of Dermatology in Germany (IVDK)	Dermatosen <b>41</b> (2) <b>,</b> pp. 71- 76	No	Yes	No	
A6.15(01) IIIA, VI 4	Stroech, K.D.	2013	Residue determination of 4- chloro-3- methylphenol and 2- phenylphenol in edible tissues of 15 broiler chicken that were reared on an area disinfected with the LCB trial product "CMK/OPP 32". date: 2013-01-22	LANXESS Deutschland GmbH,		No	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH,
A7.1.1.1(0 1) IIA, VII.7.6.2.1	Reusche, W.	1991	Hydrolysis study of 2- phenylphenol according to OECD guideline 111. Date: 1991-01-02, amended: 2004-12- 02	Bayer AG, Leverkusen, Germany	G 89/0056/02 LEV	Yes	No	Yes	Bayer Crop Science AG

(Sub)Sectio n / Annex point	Authors (s)	Year	Title	Testing Company	Report No.	GLP Study (Yes/No	Publishe d (Yes/No	Data Protectio n Claimed (Yes/No)	Data Owner
A7.1.1.1.2(0 1) IIA, VII.7.6.2.2	Heinemann, O.	2005	[Phenyl-UL- <sup>14</sup> C]-2- phenylphenol: Phototransformation in Water. Date: 2005-03-15.	Bayer CropScience AG, Monheim, Germany	MEF-05/018	Yes	No	Yes	Bayer Crop Science AG
A7.1.1.1.2(0 2) IIA, VII.7.6.2.2	Wick, L.Y. and Gschwend, P.M.	1998	Source and chemodynamic behaviour of diphenyl sulfone and <i>ortho</i> -and <i>para</i> -hydroxybiphenyl in a small lake receiving discharges from an adjacent superfund site.	Ralph M. Parsons laboratory, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA	Environ. Sci. Technol. <b>32</b> , pp. 1319- 1328.	No	Yes	No	
A7.1.1.1.2(0 2)	Haag, W. and Hoigné J.	1986	Singlet oxygen in surface waters .3. Photochemical formation and steady- state concentrations in various types of waters		Environ. Sci. Technol., <b>20</b> , pp. 341-348		Yes	No	
A7.1.1.1.2(0 2)	Leifer, A.	1988	The Kinetics of Environmental Aquatic Photochemistry.		American Chemical Society, Washington, DC, USA		Yes	No	

(Sub)Sectio n / Annex point	Authors (s)	Year	Title	Testing Company	Report No.	GLP Study (Yes/No	Publishe d (Yes/No	Data Protectio n Claimed (Yes/No)	Data Owner
A7.1.1.2.1(0 1) IIA, VII.7.6.1.1	Gonsior, S.J. and Tryska, T.J.	1997	Evaluation of the Ready Biodegradability of <i>o</i> -Phenylphenol.  Date: 1997-08-01	Environmental Chemistry Research Laboratory, The Dow Chemical Company, Midland, Michigan	971080	Yes	No	Yes	The DOW Chemical Company
A7.1.1.2.1(0 2) IIA, VII.7.6.1.1	Kanne, R.	1989 a	Preventol O Extra. Biodegradation. Date: 1989-07-24	Bayer AG, Institut für Umweltanalyse und Bewertungen, Leverkusen, Germany	51A/88/I	Yes	No	Yes	Bayer AG
A7.1.1.2.1(0 3)	Painter H.A. and King E.F.	1985	Ring test programme 1983-84. Assessment of biodegradability of chemicals in water by manometric respirometry	Ring test, monitored by the Water Research Centre, Elder Way, UK - Stevenage Herts	EUR 9962 EN	No	No	No	Commissio n of the EC: Environme nt and Quality of life

(Sub)Sectio n / Annex point	Authors (s)	Year	Title	Testing Company	Report No.	GLP Study (Yes/No )	Publishe d (Yes/No	Data Protectio n Claimed (Yes/No)	Data Owner
A7.1.1.2.1(0 4)	Kanne, R.	1989 b	Preventol O Extra. Biodegradation in Rhine River Water. Date: 1989-07-24	Bayer AG, Institut für Umweltanalyse und Bewertungen, Leverkusen, Germany	Report-No. 51A/88/II	Yes	No	Yes	Bayer AG
A7.1.1.2.2(0 1) IIA, VII.7.6.1.2	Wellens, H.	1990	Zur biologischen Abbaubarkeit mono- und disubstituierter Benzolderivate.	Abwasser- biologische Laboratorien der HOECHST AG, Frankfurt, Gedrmany	Z. Wasser- Abwasser- Forsch. 23, 85- 98	No	Yes	No	
A7.1.2.1.1(0 1) IIIA, XII.2.1	Körner W., Bolz U., Süßmuth W., Hiller G., Schuller W., Hanf V. & Hagenmaier H.	2000	Input/Output Balance of Estrogenic Active compounds in a Major Municipal Sewage Plant in Germany.	Institute of Organic Chemistry, University of Tübingen, Germany	Chemosphere <b>40</b> , 1131- 1142.	No	Yes	No	
A7.1.2.1.1(0 1) IIIA, XII.2.1	Bolz, U., Körner, W., Hagenmeier, H.	2000	Development and validation of a GC/MS method for determination of phenolic xenoestrogens in aquatic samples.	Institute of Organic Chemistry, University of Tübingen, Germany	Chemosphere <b>40</b> , 929-935.	No	Yes	No	

(Sub)Sectio n / Annex point	Authors (s)	Year	Title	Testing Company	Report No.	GLP Study (Yes/No	Publishe d (Yes/No	Data Protectio n Claimed (Yes/No)	Data Owner
A7.1.2.1.1(0 2) IIIA, XII.2.1	Ternes, T., Stumpf, M., Schuppert, B., Haberer, K.	1998	Simultaneous Determination of Antiseptics and Acidic Drugs in Sewage and River Water.	ESWE- Institute for Water Research and Water Technology, Wiesbaden, Germany	Vom Wasser, 90, 295-309.	No	Yes	No	-
A7.1.2.1.1(0 3) IIIA, XII.2.1	Lee, HB., Peart, T.E., Svoboda, M.L.	2005	Determination of endocrine-disrupting phenols, acidic pharmaceuticals, and personal-care products in sewage by solid-phase extraction and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry.	Aquatic Ecosystem Protection Research Branch, National Water Research Institute, Environment Canada. Ontario, Canada.	Journal of Chromatograp hy A, 1094, 122-129.	No	Yes	No	

(Sub)Sectio n / Annex point	Authors (s)	Year	Title	Testing Company	Report No.	GLP Study (Yes/No	Publishe d (Yes/No	Data Protectio n Claimed (Yes/No)	Data Owner
A7.1.2.2.2(0 1) IIIA, XII 2.1	Bruns, E.	2005	Preventol O Extra (ortho-Phenylphenol). Summary of screening experiments concerning the behaviour of ortho-Phenylphenol (OPP) in a "water-sediment system".  Date: 2005-03-29	Bayer Industry Services GmbH & Co. OHG, Leverkusen, Germany		Yes	No	Yes	Bayer Crop Science AG
A7.1.3(01) IIA, VII 7.7	Erstling, K.	2001 c	Preventol O Extra in Schuppen – Adsorption/Desorptio n, during the period June to September 2001. Date: 2001-09-17	Bayer AG, Zentrale Analytik, Leverkusen, Germany	A 0/0068/04 LEV	Yes	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A7.2.1(01) IIIA, VII 4, XII 1.1	Fliege, R.	2005	[phenyl-UL- <sup>14</sup> C]- ortho-Phenylphenol: Aerobic soil metabolism in one European soil. Date: 2005-03-23	Bayer CropScience AG, Development, Metabolism / Environmental fate, Germany	MEF-05/072	Yes	No	Yes	Bayer Crop Science AG

(Sub)Sectio n / Annex point	Authors (s)	Year	Title	Testing Company	Report No.	GLP Study (Yes/No	Publishe d (Yes/No	Data Protectio n Claimed (Yes/No)	Data Owner
A7.2.2.1(02)	Nitsche, M.	2011	Biodegradation of Preventol® O Extra (2-phenylphenol) in soil under aerobic conditions	Lanxess Deutschland GmbH, Leverkusen, Germany	-	No	No	Yes	Lanxess Deutschlan d GmbH
A7.2.2.1 (02)	Loehr, Raymond C. and Matthews, John E.	1992	Loss of organic chemicals in soil: Pure compound treatability studies	Journal of Soil Contamination <b>1</b> (4) 339-360					
A7.2.3.1(01) IIIA, XII.1.2	Oddy, A. and Jacob, O.	2005	[14C]-2-Phenylphenol: Adsorption to and Desorption from four soils. Date: 2005-03-16	Battelle AgriFood Ltd., Essex, UK	CX/04/019	Yes	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A7.3.2 IIIA 12.3	Wasser, C.	2014	Residues of the Combustion of OPP20, Residues in fumes and gases.	Anadiag Laboratories, France 67500 Haguenau	R B4256	No	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A7.4.1.1(01) IIA, VII.7.1		1990	Acute Fish Toxicity of Preventol O Extra.  Date: 1990-04-10	Bayer AG, Institut für Umweltanalyse n und Bewertungen, Leverkusen, Germany	51 A/88 F	Yes	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH

(Sub)Sectio n / Annex point	Authors (s)	Year	Title	Testing Company	Report No.	GLP Study (Yes/No	Publishe d (Yes/No	Data Protectio n Claimed (Yes/No)	Data Owner
A7.4.1.1(02)		1991	o-Phenylphenol Toxicity to Fish Chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tschawytscha). Date: 1991-10-22	British Columbia Research Corp., Vancouver, Canada	2-11-200-222- 91001	No	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A7.4.1.2(01) IIA, VII.7.2	and	1985	Evaluation of the toxicity of Dowicide 1 Antimicrobial, Technical <i>o</i> -Phenylphenol to representative aquatic organisms.  Date: 1985-12-12	Mammalian and Environmental Toxicology, Health & Environmental Sciences, Midland, Michigan, USA	ES-811	No	No	Yes	Dow Chemical Company
A7.4.1.2(02)	Kühn, R., Pattard, M., Pernak, K D. Winter,	1988	Harmful effects of chemicals in the Daphnia reproduction test as a basis for assessing their environmental hazard in aquatic systems.  March 1988	Institute for Water, Land and Air Hygiene of the Federal German Health Office	10603052	No	Yes	No	

(Sub)Sectio n / Annex point	Authors (s)	Year	Title	Testing Company	Report No.	GLP Study (Yes/No	Publishe d (Yes/No	Data Protectio n Claimed (Yes/No)	Data Owner
A7.4.1.3(01) IIA, VII.7.3	Hicks, S.	2002	ortho-Phenylphenol: Growth Inhibition Test with the Green Alga, Selenastrum capricornutum. Date: 2002-03-12	ABC Laboratories, Inc., Missouri, USA	ABC Study No. 46980, Dow Study No. 010167	Yes	No	Yes	Dow Chemical Company
A7.4.1.3(02)	Caspers, N.	1989	Cellular proliferation inhibitory test: Scenedesmus subspicatus CHODAT (green alga). Date: 1989-07-04	Bayer AG	No. 51 A/88	No	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A7.4.1.4(01) IIA, VII.7.4	Mueller, G.	1990	Preventol O Extra, 2- phenylphenol, Toxicity to Bacteria. Date: 1990-08-08	Bayer AG, Institute of Environmental Analysis, Leverkusen, Germany	51 A/88B	Yes	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A7.4.1.4(01) IIA, VII.7.4	Weyers, A.	2006	Preventol O Extra, Toxicity to Bacteria. Re-Evaluation based on Study Report No. 51 A/88 B, corresponding raw data and additional information provided by the sponsor. Date: 2006-09-05	Bayer Industry Services, Leverkusen, Germany		Yes	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH

(Sub)Sectio n / Annex point	Authors (s)	Year	Title	Testing Company	Report No.	GLP Study (Yes/No	Publishe d (Yes/No	Data Protectio n Claimed (Yes/No)	Data Owner
A7.4.1.4(02)	Klecka, G.M., Landi, L.P. and Rodner, K.M.	1985	Evaluation of the OECD Activated Sludge, Respiration Inhibition Test		Chemosphere 14, pp. 1239- 1251	No	Yes	No	
A7.4.2(01) IIA, VII.7.5	Fàbregas, E.	2007	o-Phenylphenol - Calculation of the Bioconcentration Factor (BCF). Date: 2007-06-05	Dr. Knoell Consult GmbH, Leverkusen, Germany	Report-No. KC- BCF-08/07	No	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A7.4.3.2(01) IIIA, XIII 2.2	and Carlo	2002	Preventol O Extra: Determination of Effects on the Reproduction of Fathead minnow (Pimephales promelas). Date: 2002-03-25	Brixham Environmental Laboratory, AstraZeneca UK Limited, Brixham, UK	BL7213/B	Yes	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A7.4.3.3.1(0 1) IIIA, XIII.2.3	Caspers, N.	1999	Investigation of the Ecological Properties of Preventol O Extra, Test on Bioaccumulation.  Date: 1999-05-27	Bayer AG, Leverkusen, Germany	793 A/98	Yes	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH

(Sub)Sectio n / Annex point	Authors (s)	Year	Title	Testing Company	Report No.	GLP Study (Yes/No	Publishe d (Yes/No	Data Protectio n Claimed (Yes/No)	Data Owner
A7.4.3.4(01) IIIA, XIII 2.4	Bruns, E.	2001	Preventol O Extra, Daphnia magna Reproduction Test. Date: 2001-12-13	Bayer AG, WD-UWS, Institute of Environmental Analysis and Evaluation, Leverkusen	1092 A/01 DL	Yes	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
7.4.3.4/02	Caspers, N.	1989	Life cycle test with water fleas - Daphnia magna - EC <sub>50</sub> immobilisation and EC <sub>50</sub> reproduction. Date: 1989-10-13	Bayer AG	No. 51 A/88	No	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A7.4.3.5.1(0 1) IIIA, XIII 2.4	Egeler, P. and Gilberg, D.	2005	Preventol O Extra: A study on the toxicity to the sediment dweller Chironomus riparius.  Date: 2005-02-28	ETC Oekotoxikologi e GmbH, Germany	AI1ME	Yes	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A7.5.1.1/01	Reis, K-H.	2007	Effects of 2- Phenylphenol (Preventol O Extra) on the Activity of the Soil Microflora in the Laboratory. Date: 2007-06-21	Institut für Biologische Analytik und Consulting IBACON GmbH, Rossdorf, Germany	35591080	Yes	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH

(Sub)Sectio n / Annex point	Authors (s)	Year	Title	Testing Company	Report No.	GLP Study (Yes/No	Publishe d (Yes/No	Data Protectio n Claimed (Yes/No)	Data Owner
A7.5.1.1(02)	Schulz, L.	2012	Effects on the activity of soil microflora (Nitrogen transformation test) Date: 2012-02-10	BioChem agrar, Labor für biologische und chemische Analytik GmbH 04827 Gerichshain, Germany	Project-No. 12 10 48 003 N	No	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A7.5.1.2(01) IIIA, XIII 3.2	Moser, Th. and Scheffczyk, A.	2004	Preventol O Extra: Acute toxicity to the earthworm <i>Eisenia fetida</i> in an artificial soil test. Date: 2004-12-08	ETC Oekotoxikologi e GmbH, Flörsheim, Germany	AI1RA	Yes	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A7.5.1.3	Bützler, R., Meinerling, M.	2008	Effects of 2- Phenylphenol (Preventol O Extra) on Terrestrial (Non- Target) Plants: Seedling Emergence and Seedling Growth Test. Date: 2008-10-17	IBACON GmbH, Rossdorf, Germany,	Report No. 35594084	Yes	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A7.5.3.1.1(0 1) IIIA, XIII 1.1		1986 a	ortho-Phenylphenol Technical: An Acute Oral Toxicity Study with the Mallard. Date: 1986-06-06	Wildlife International Ltd., St. Michaels, Maryland, USA	ES-874 (103- 248)	Yes	No	Yes	Dow Chemical Company

(Sub)Sectio n / Annex point	Authors (s)	Year	Title	Testing Company	Report No.	GLP Study (Yes/No	Publishe d (Yes/No	Data Protectio n Claimed (Yes/No)	Data Owner
A7.5.3.1.2(0 1) IIIA, XIII 1.2		1986 b	ortho-Phenylphenol Technical: A Dietary LC <sub>50</sub> Study with the Bobwhite. Date: 1986-06-06	Wildlife International Ltd., St. Michaels, Maryland, USA	ES-873 (103- 246)	Yes	No	Yes	Dow Chemical Company
A7.5.3.1.2(0 2) IIIA, XIII 1.2		1986 c	ortho-Phenylphenol Technical: A Dietary LC <sub>50</sub> Study with the Mallard. Date: 1986-06-06	Wildlife International Ltd., St. Michaels, Maryland, USA	ES-875 (103- 247)	Yes	No	Yes	Dow Chemical Company
A7.5.5.1(01) IIIA, 13.3	Fàbregas, E.	2007	o-Phenylphenol - Calculation of the Bioconcentration Factor in Earthworms (BCFearthworm). Date: 2007-06-05	Dr. Knoell Consult GmbH, Leverkusen, Germany	Report-No. KC- BCF-09/07	No	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH
A8.1(01) IIA, VIII 8.1 also filed: A8.2(01) also filed: A8.3(01) also filed: A8.4(01) also filed: A8.5(01)	Anonymous	2004	Safety Data Sheet Preventol O Extra. Date: 2004-03-10	LANXESS Deutschland GmbH, Leverkusen, Germany	011472/23	No	No		LANXESS Deutschlan d GmbH

(Sub)Section / Annex point	Authors (s)	Year	Title	Testing Company	Report No.	GLP Study (Yes/No)	Published (Yes/No)	Data Protection Claimed (Yes/No)	Data Owner
B2.3(01) IIB, I 2.3 also filed B3.1(01)	Joly, V.	2007a	Visual Aspect of the disinfectant fumigant Fumispore OPP. Date: 2007-04-05	LCB Laboratory, la Salle, France	095-OPP- CH-070405	No	No	Yes	LCB
B2.3(02) IIB, I 2.3 also filed B3.1(02)	Joly, V.	2007b	Olfactory characteristics of the disinfectant fumigant Fumispore OPP. Date: 2007-04-05	LCB Laboratory, la Salle, France	096-OPP- CH-070405	No	No	Yes	LCB
B3.2(01) IIB, III 3.2 also filed B3.3(01) also filed B3.4(01)	Michot, C.	1988	Essais d'explosibilite et d'inflammabilite sur un fumigene. Date: 1988-08-18	Cherchar, Verneuil- en-Halatte, France	EXP-Dga R1-99 88-(2)-98- 78-2544	No	No	Yes	LCB
B3.2(02) IIB, III 3.2 also filed B3.3(02) also filed B3.4(02)	Brachet, A. and Belgaid, R.	2005	Determination of flammability, explosive and oxidising properties of smoke-generator disinfectant containing Hydroxyacetic acid.  Date: 2005-10-14	BATTELLE, Geneva Research Centres, Analytical Chemistry Laboratory, Carouge/Geneva, Switzerland	Study No.: P-70-05-01	No	No	Yes	LCB
B3.5(01) IIB, III 3.5 also filed B3.6(01)	Bouillis, G.	2007a	Fumispore OPP, pH and bulk density. Date: 2007-04-05	SGS Multilab, Saint Etienne du Rouvray cedex, France	RN07- 04691.001	No	No	Yes	LCB
B3.7(01) IIB, III 3.7	Bellier, C.	2006a	Accelerated storage procedure, . Date: 2006-05-22	SGS Multilab, Saint Etienne du Rouvray cedex, France	RN06- 06355.001A RN06- 06355.001B	No	No	Yes	LCB

(Sub)Section / Annex point	Authors (s)	Year	Title	Testing Company	Report No.	GLP Study (Yes/No)	Published (Yes/No)	Data Protection Claimed (Yes/No)	Data Owner
B3.7(02) IIB, III 3.7	Bellier, C.	2006b	ortho-Phenylphenol content after 21 months of storage at room temperature. Date: 2006-01-18	SGS Multilab, Saint Etienne du Rouvray cedex, France	RN06- 00543.002 RN06- 0543.001	No	No	Yes	LCB
B4.1(01) IIB, IV 4.1	Bouillis, G.	2007b	Validation of ortho- Phenylphenol (OPP) quantification method by HPLC/UV in powder samples. Date: 2007-06-05	SGS Multilab, Saint Etienne du Rouvray cedex, France	RN07-04952	No	No	Yes	LCB
B5 IIB 5.8	Russell, A.D., Hugo, W.B. and Ayliffe, G.A.J.	1990	Principles and practice of disinfection, preservation and sterilisation.				Yes	No	Second Edition, Blackwell Scientific Public
B5.10(01) IIB, V 5.10	Le Dreau, I. and Joly, V.	2006a	Fumispore OPP: Report on the activity assessment on surfaces of an airborne disinfectant (according to the NF T 72-281 standard).  Date: 2006-02-22 (No. 06/01), 2006-02-22 (No. 06/02), 2006-02-13 (No. 06/03)	LCB, 71260 La Salle, France	Report No. 06/01 06/02 06/03	No	No	Yes	LCB

(Sub)Section / Annex point	Authors (s)	Year	Title	Testing Company	Report No.	GLP Study (Yes/No)	Published (Yes/No)	Data Protection Claimed (Yes/No)	Data Owner
B5.10(02) IIB, V 5.10	Le Dreau, I. and Joly, V.	2006b	Fumispore OPP: Report on the activity assessment on surfaces of an airborne disinfectant (according to the NF T 72-281 standard).  Date: 2006-06-13 (No. 06/14), 2006-06-20 (No. 06/15), 2006-10-03 (No. 06/22)	LCB, 71260 La Salle, France	Report No. 06/14 06/15 06/22	No	No	Yes	LCB
B5.10(03) IIB, V 5.10	Le Dreau, I. and Joly, V.	2006c	Fumispore OPP: Report on the activity assessment on surfaces of an airborne disinfectant (according to the NF T 72-281 standard).  Date: 2006-12-05 (No. 06/23), 2006-12-18 (No. 06/24)	LCB, 71260 La Salle, France	Report No. 06/23 06/24	No	No	Yes	LCB
B5.10(04) IIB, V 5.10	Le Dreau, I. and Joly, V.	2006d	Fumispore OPP: Report on the activity assessment on surfaces of an airborne disinfectant (according to the NF T 72-281 standard).  Date: 2006-03-07 (No. 06/04), 2006-03-07 (No. 06/07)	LCB, 71260 La Salle, France	Report No. 06/04 06/07	No	No	Yes	LCB

(Sub)Section / Annex point	Authors (s)	Year	Title	Testing Company	Report No.	GLP Study (Yes/No)	Published (Yes/No)	Data Protection Claimed (Yes/No)	Data Owner
B5.10(05) IIB, V 5.10	Le Dreau, I. and Joly, V.	2006e	Fumispore OPP: Report on the activity assessment on surfaces of an airborne disinfectant (according to the NF T 72-281 standard).  Date: 2006-03-01 (No. 06/05)	LCB, 71260 La Salle, France	Report No. 06/05	No	No	Yes	LCB
B5.10(06) IIB, V 5.10	Le Dreau, I. and Joly, V.	2006f	Fumispore OPP: Report on the activity assessment on surfaces of an airborne disinfectant (according to the NF T 72-281 standard).  Date: 2006-03-01 (No. 06/06)	LCB, 71260 La Salle, France	Report No. 06/06	No	No	Yes	LCB
B5.10(07) IIB, V 5.10	Le Dreau, I. and Joly, V.	2006g	Fumispore OPP: Report on the activity assessment on surfaces of an airborne disinfectant (according to the NF T 72-281 standard).  Date: 2006-03-29 (No. 06/09)	LCB, 71260 La Salle, France	Report No. 06/09	No	No	Yes	LCB

(Sub)Section / Annex point	Authors (s)	Year	Title	Testing Company	Report No.	GLP Study (Yes/No)	Published (Yes/No)	Data Protection Claimed (Yes/No)	Data Owner
B5.10(08) IIB, V 5.10	Le Dreau, I. and Joly, V.	2006h	Fumispore OPP: Report on the activity assessment on surfaces of an airborne disinfectant (according to the NF T 72-281 standard).  Date: 2006-04-13 (No. 06/10)	LCB, 71260 La Salle, France	Report No. 06/10	No	No	Yes	LCB
B5.10(09) IIB, V 5.10	Le Dreau, I. and Joly, V.	2006i	Fumispore OPP: Report on the activity assessment on surfaces of an airborne disinfectant (according to the NF T 72-281 standard).  Date: 2006-04-04 (No. 06/11)	LCB, 71260 La Salle, France	Report No. 06/11	No	No	Yes	LCB
B5.10(10) IIB, V 5.10	Le Dreau, I. and Joly, V.	2006j	Fumispore OPP: Report on the activity assessment on surfaces of an airborne disinfectant (according to the NF T 72-281 standard).  Date: 2006-07-06 (No. 06/16)	LCB, 71260 La Salle, France	Report No. 06/16	No	No	Yes	LCB

(Sub)Section / Annex point	Authors (s)	Year	Title	Testing Company	Report No.	GLP Study (Yes/No)	Published (Yes/No)	Data Protection Claimed (Yes/No)	Data Owner
B6.4 IIB VI.6.4	Selim, S.	1996	A Single Open Dose Label Study to Investigate the Absorption and Excretion of <sup>14</sup> C/ <sup>13</sup> C- Labeled <i>ortho</i> - Phenylphenol Formulation after Dermal Application to Healthy Volunteers. Date: 1996-09-19	Bayer AG	P0995002	Yes (GCP)	No	Yes	LANXESS Deutschland GmbH
B6.6 IIB VI.6.6	Arnould, P.	2006	FIELD TRIAL of the new Fumispore® OPP Smoke generator. Date: 2006-08-11	LCB, La Salle, France	-	No	No	Yes	LCB
B6.6 IIB VI.6.6	Heller, V.	2006	FIELD TRIAL of the new Fumispore® OPP Smoke generator.	LCB, La Salle, France	Giraudet - 1006-1-GB	No		Yes	LCB
B8.1(01) IIB, VIII 8.1 also filed B8.2(01) also filed B8.4(01) also filed B8.5(01) also filed B8.5(01)	Anonymous	2006	Safety Data Sheet Fumispore OPP. Date: 2006-01-24	LCB, Laboratoire de Chimie et Biologie, La Salle, France	Version 01	No	No	No	LCB